

# SUPERCRITICAL FLUID EXTRACTION: A REVIEW

## ABSTRACT

Industries are in search of best and cost-effective processing technologies to extract components from any material with maximum purity and quantity. The drawbacks of traditional solvent extraction methods led to the development of novel processing technologies that can be employed into any industry. This review mainly focuses on supercritical fluid extraction (SFE) process, an innovative, environmentally friendly tool for food processing techniques. Here, we are discussing about the general aspect of SFE and their recent potential commercial applications in different fields. Nowadays, this method gained lots of importance in food as well as non-food industrial applications.

**Key Words:** Extraction, Supercritical fluid, Supercritical carbon dioxide technology, Purity, Pharmaceuticals

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The process of obtaining specific substance from a mixture or compound by physical, chemical or mechanical means is called extraction. Physical extraction methods include maceration, distillation, etc., chemical methods include solvent extraction and supercritical fluid extraction while mechanical process include expeller, hydraulic press etc. Extraction is the first step for separating the desired products from the raw materials. The two phases yield during this process include feed solvent rich raffinate phase and solute rich extract phase (Sapkale *et al.*, 2010).

Extraction involves standard extraction procedures using selective solvents for the separation of active compounds of animal or plant tissue from inert components. The obtained products are intended only for oral or external use in the form of relatively impure liquids, semisolids or powders. Continuous extraction done to obtain improved yields of drug derived from plant and animal sources. Investigation of novel techniques of extraction continued to get higher yields of the active substances from natural sources with maximum purity.

## 2. SOLVENT EXTRACTION

Solvent extraction or liquid-liquid extraction refers to the partition of components of the liquid mixture by contacting with a suitable insoluble liquid solvent which dissolves one or more components (Birajdar *et al.*, 2015). In this method a substance is extracted from one liquid phase into another liquid phase. Unequal distribution of the components between two immiscible liquids is the major factor that affecting separation of the components. Liquid extraction consists of two phases: the feed solution phase and the extraction solvent as another phase. In this method, both feed and solvent forms a homogenous mixture and are separated by contacting it with one another which separates out one of the two liquids preferentially (Mizzi *et al.*, 2017). Solvent extraction is used in processing of perfumes, ore processing, nuclear processing, production of fine organic compounds and other industries.

## 3. SUPER CRITICAL FLUID EXTRACTION

The process of filter outting the extractant from any matrix using supercritical fluids as the extracting solvent is known as Supercritical Fluid Extraction (SFE). Usually, solid matrix is used, but liquid can also be used. Due to the high efficiency of SFE, this was introduced and studied extensively for separation of active compounds from herbs and other plants (Qingyong and Chien, 2001). The high solvation power of supercritical fluids (SF) was first reported over a century ago (Hartono *et al.*, 2001). It was first introduced in 1879 by Hannay and Hogarth. In 1969, demonstration of SFE technology for industrial applications was reported by Zosel at the Max Planck Institute for Kohlenforschung (Jose *et al.*, 2013).

Selective separation of the desired compounds can be achieved by supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> without leaving toxic residues in extracts and the thermal degradation risk of processed products. The high volatility of solvents at ambient conditions influences the solvating power near the critical points of the fluids and the sensitivity to small disturbances in temperature, pressure and modification of the solvent. Deeper penetration into solid plant matrix and more efficient and faster extraction were achieved by the favourable transport properties of fluids near their critical points.

The high investment costs and unfamiliarity of operation restricted the commercial application of supercritical fluid technology to few products for the past three decades. The advances in process, equipment and product design and the potential for the production of high value-added products with profitable opportunities give way to more and more interest in supercritical fluid technology in different industries (Sihvonen *et al.*, 1999). Batch or continuous high-pressure equipment can be used for the extraction. Separation of desirable product is attained by the contact of the SF with the material in both cases. Expansion of the SF to atmospheric conditions due to the saturation of SF with extracted product and recovery of the solubilized product is possible in the separation vessel permitting the SF to recycle for further use.

In recent years, SFE has received a great deal in analytical applications (Tariq *et al.*, 2019). Nowadays, SFE has become an acceptable extraction technique in many areas. Extraction of active natural products from herbal and plant materials using SFE has become one of the most important application areas (Qingyong and Chien, 2001). This method also gained much importance in many fields, especially with the increasing public interest in herbal medicines and natural products.

#### 4. SUPERCRITICAL FLUID

The temperature and pressure of any substance above its critical point is called supercritical fluid. It holds properties of both gas and liquid by diffusing through solids like a gas and dissolving materials like a liquid. It is having a density that analogous to a liquid, while viscosity and diffusivity are similar to a gas. Therefore, a supercritical fluid can act as a solvent similar to a liquid, but with enhanced mass transfer kinetics. The substitution of organic solvents with supercritical fluids are gaining importance in a range of industrial and laboratory processes. The most commonly used supercritical fluids include carbon dioxide and water (Tariq *et al.*, 2019). The critical properties of some commonly used supercritical fluids are given in Table 1 and the phase diagram of supercritical fluid is represented in Figure 1.

**Table 1: Critical properties for some components commonly used as supercritical fluids**

Critical properties of various solvents (Reid et al., 1987)				
Solvent	Molecular weight (g/mol)	Critical temperature (K)	Critical pressure MPa (atm)	Critical density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )
Carbon dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> )	44.01	304.1	7.38 (72.8)	0.469
Water (H <sub>2</sub> O)	18.015	647.096	22.064 (217.755)	0.322
Methane (CH <sub>4</sub> )	16.04	190.4	4.60 (45.4)	0.162
Ethane (C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> )	30.07	305.3	4.87 (48.1)	0.203
Propane (C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub> )	44.09	369.8	4.25 (41.9)	0.217
Ethylene (C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> )	28.05	282.4	5.04 (49.7)	0.215
Propylene (C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>6</sub> )	42.08	364.9	4.60 (45.4)	0.232
Methanol (CH <sub>3</sub> OH)	32.04	512.6	8.09 (79.8)	0.272
Ethanol (C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> OH)	46.07	513.9	6.14 (60.6)	0.276
Acetone (C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>6</sub> O)	58.08	508.1	4.70 (46.4)	0.278

## 4.1 Phase diagram




Figure 1: Phase Diagram of Supercritical Fluid (Source: Intechopen, 2022)

## 4.2 Properties of Supercritical Fluid

The physical and thermal properties of supercritical fluids are in between of pure liquid and gas; therefore, known as compressible liquid or dense gas.

- Supercritical fluids possess liquid like densities which is 100 to 1000 times greater than gases.
- They are having higher diffusivities than liquid in the range of  $10^{-3}$  to  $10^{-4}$  cm<sup>2</sup>/s.
- They have good solvating power similar to light hydrocarbons for most of the solutes.
- The presence of highly compressed gases of SF combines properties of gases and liquids in a fascinating manner.
- Solubility of SCF increases with increasing density causing low viscosity which is 10 to 100 times less than liquid.
- The reactions that being difficult or even impossible to achieve by conventional solvents can be rectified by SFs.
- The miscibility of fluids with permanent gases like N<sub>2</sub> or H<sub>2</sub> leads to the extraction of much higher concentrations of dissolved gases than conventional solvents.
- They also possess high penetrating power compared to conventional solvents.

## 4.3 Carbon Dioxide

For the development of a SFE process, proper selection of SFs is very important and number of compounds can be used as solvents in this technique (Sihvonen *et al.*, 1999). Among various supercritical fluids used for extraction, supercritical CO<sub>2</sub>(SC-CO<sub>2</sub>) is the most widely used one since it is non-toxic, non-flammable, non-corrosive, tasteless and odourless, inert and supercritical operation at low pressures and near room temperature is easy to handle. Its high degree of purity, cheapness and availability in bulk quantities are another benefit (Daintree *et al.*, 2008). Carbon dioxide ensure minimal alteration of the bioactive compounds and to preserve functional and therapeutic properties (Sahena *et al.*, 2010).

Non-explosive, inexpensive and the ability to solubilize lipophilic substances make this SC-CO<sub>2</sub> is an attractive and alternative technique to organic solvents(Wang *et al.*, 2008).Use of gaseous CO<sub>2</sub> at room temperature and pressure ensure very simple recovery of compound and solvent-free extracts. FDA (U.S. Food and Drug Administration) and EFSA (European Food Safety Authority) were acknowledged this molecule as“Generally Recognized As Safe” (GRAS) and environmental friendly.

#### 4.4 Other Super Critical Fluids

Extraction of highly polar compounds from a matrix is less effective because of the least polar nature of the Carbon Dioxide (Herrero *et al.*, 2006). Polar molecules are poorly soluble in SC-CO<sub>2</sub> and hence are not extractable (Akinlua *et al.*, 2008). For this reason, the use of other solvent compounds is needed in order to enhance solubility and the selectivity of the process and they must be added only in small quantities (Sihvonen *et al.*, 1999). This phenomenon is known as co-solvent effect attributed to various components acting as solubility enhancers and is called co-solvent effect. Cosolvents or modifiers include hexane, methanol, ethanol, isopropanol and dichloromethane. The lower toxicity and miscibility of ethanol in CO<sub>2</sub> surges its application as a co-solvent in SFE (Liza *et al.*, 2010), but safety and environment considerations due to some unfavourable properties limited its usage (Wang and Weller, 2006). Other co-solvents used are Nitrous Oxide which is similar in solvating and separations properties to CO<sub>2</sub> and alkanes better solvent characteristics for non-polar solutes.

#### 5. PRINCIPLE

In supercritical carbon dioxide technology (SC-CO<sub>2</sub> technology) destruction of microorganisms is achieved by utilizing pressure in combination with carbon dioxide without affecting the nutritional content and organoleptic attributes by an alternative for pasteurization of bioactive compounds in food and medicine (Guclu-Ustundagand Temelli, 2005). The solubility of the target compound is the driving force for any extraction process which depends on the interactions between the solvent and solute. Reduction of extraction time, less consumption of organic solvents; being suitable for thermo-sensitive substance, production of cleaner extracts and environmental benignity make this method as a superior alternative technique for extraction of bioactive species from natural produces (Vigano *et al.*, 2015).

By employing pressure and temperature above the critical point of a compound, mixture or element attain solvating properties of supercritical fluids which becomes the basis for SFE process. Modification of extractability of SF by proper controlling of SFE parameters enable application of this process from food to pesticide researches. For extraction of non-polar compounds CO<sub>2</sub> is the preferred one, but the addition of a miscible polar compound such as ethanol as modifier increases the polarity of SC-CO<sub>2</sub> technology (Taylor *et al.*, 1997). Low concentration of undesired compounds in the extracts is obtained by the selectivity of the SFE process (Sanchez-Camargo *et al.*, 2014). Besides, SC-CO<sub>2</sub> becomes gaseous after depressurization and can be easily eliminated from a flow system.

#### 6. SUPERCRITICAL FLUID EXTRACTION PROCESS

The SFE system must contain a pump for pumping the CO<sub>2</sub>, a pressure vessel to contain the sample, a pressure maintenance arrangement in the system, a collecting vessel and heating and cooling mechanism. Pumping of liquid to a heating zone causes heating of the liquid which is further converted to supercritical conditions. After reaching the extraction vessel, the material to be extracted is dissolved in the SF by rapidly diffuses into the solid matrix. Low pressure separator swept the dissolved material from the extraction cell where the extracted material settles out. After the completion of extraction, cooling, recompressing and recycling or discharging of CO<sub>2</sub> can be done. The operating principle of semi batch supercritical fluid extraction is represented in Figure 2.




Figure 2: Operating principle of semi batch Supercritical fluid extraction (Source: ResearchGate, 2000)

## 6.1 Pumps

Carbon dioxide is usually pumped as a liquid, usually below 5°C and a pressure of about 50 bars. As the solvent is almost incompressible, it is pumped as a liquid. Much of the pump stroke is used for compressing the fluid rather than pumping due to supercritical nature of the fluid. Reciprocating CO<sub>2</sub> pumps or syringe pumps are used for small-scale extractions, while larger scale extractions use diaphragm pumps. Both pump heads and CO<sub>2</sub> require cooling for the efficient working of the pump.

## 6.2 Pressure Vessels

Pressure vessels of different types vessels are available from simple tubing to more sophisticated purpose-built cells with quick release fittings. The minimum pressure requirement is 74 bars, but less than 350 bar is preferred for most extractions. In case of vegetable oil extraction, higher pressures of 800 bar are sometimes required for complete miscibility of the two phases (King and Jerry, 2002). A heating mechanism is equipped with the vessel. For small vessels, heating system can be placed inside an oven, while larger vessels use oil or electrically heated jacket. If rubber seals are used on the vessel, proper care must be taken to avoid dissolution of CO<sub>2</sub> in the rubber which causing swelling and rupture of rubber on depressurization.

## 6.3 Pressure Maintenance

Maintenance of pressure in the system must be carried out from the pump right through the pressure vessel. A simple restrictor can be used in smaller systems to maintain pressure at different flow rates either as a capillary tube cut to length, or a needle valve. Backpressure regulator is used in larger systems in which a spring, compressed air, or electronically driven valve employed for upstream pressure maintenance. During pressure maintenance adiabatic expansion of the CO<sub>2</sub> occur which results in significant cooling, to avoid this heating must be supplied whichever method is used. Presence of water or other extracted material in the sample causes problems related to freezing of these in the restrictor or valve and initiation blockages.

## 6.4 Collection

A vessel with lower pressure than extraction vessel is used for collecting the supercritical solvent. The pressure causes sharp variation in the density and dissolving power of supercritical fluids,

which further initiate precipitation of material due to much lower solubility in the lower density CO<sub>2</sub>. Fractionation of the dissolved material is possible by using a series of vessels at reducing pressure. The CO<sub>2</sub> can be recycled or depressurized to atmospheric pressure and vented. The pressure is usually dropped to atmospheric pressure in case of analytical SFE, but nowadays, for trapping the precipitated components the gaseous carbon dioxide is bubbled through the solvent.

## 6.5 Heating and Cooling

This is an important aspect consist of cooling the fluid before pumping to maintain liquid conditions, and heating after pressurization. Heating must be provided to block excessive cooling when the fluid is expanded into the separator. Preheating the fluid in alength of tubing inside the oven containing the extraction cell is sufficient for analytical purposes, where electrical or heating with a hair dryer is enough in the restrictor. The thermodynamic properties of the SF can be used for calculating energy requirement during each stage of the process for larger systems.

## 6.6 Extraction/Separation Methods

Several methods are available for the separation of solute from supercritical fluid. The three basic concepts that can be used to accomplish this include

- The solvent capability of the SF changes by changing the pressure or temperature.
- Washing solute out of the SF using a solvent that can strip the solute from the SF.
- Separation of multiple solutes within the SF using a packed column.

The simplest and easiest method of removal use the concept of changing the pressure of the SF so that fluid is no longer exist in supercritical condition or the solute become insoluble in the SF. In this method the solute/solvent combination passed to a restriction valve and then to a collection vessel. The pressure inside the collection vesselwas reduced to room pressure, which cause change of state from supercritical toinitial gas, thus the solvent released into the collection vessel. The collection vessel consists of a solvent to receive the solute forperforming further analysis. Keeping the vessel in empty condition during other times causes crystallization of the solute upon leaving the SF.

Another method consists of changing the temperature of SF to remove the solute. Temperature change may causethe fluid to leave the supercritical region by maintaining the pressure of the fluid. Here the pressurized fluid can be recirculated without having to repressurize it which could save money. This method was utilized by Lancas *et al.* (1999)to remove their respective solutes from the SF. Temperature drop of the solvent cause removal of the solute until the solute is no longer soluble and precipitates from the SF and referred as a cryogenic trap. After completion of the extraction, depressurization of the system takes place and the extract can be removed from the sample chamber.

Washing is the other method used for the removal of the solute from SF. In this method, constant pressure should be maintained as like cryogen gap without having to shut the process down. Decaffeination of green coffee beans to remove the caffeine from SC-CO<sub>2</sub> is the best example of this type of removal (McHugh and Krukonis, 1994).

## 7. ADVANTAGES AND DSADVANTAGES

### 7.1 Advantages

- Environmental improvement and reduced product contamination.
- Rapid process completed within 10 to 60 minutes.
- Supercritical fluid can be separated from analyte by simply releasing pressure and complete separation of solvent from extract and raffinate is possible.
- Recovery of solvent and analytes are simple and easy.
- Elimination of organic solvents which reduces risk of storage.
- Suitable for extraction and purification of compounds having low volatility present in solid or liquid.

- Continuous process and low handling cost.
- Efficient and versatile method

## 7.2 Disadvantages

- Lack of standard extraction procedure
- Difficulties in extracting polar compounds
- Inefficiency in cleaning up

## 8. APPLICATIONS

Application of SFE is gaining much importance in different areas. These include food, natural products, pharmaceuticals, environmental applications etc. It is based on the observation that when any gas compressed above a critical point, its dissolution power will increase (Brunner, 2003). In this review, recent research works conducted in SFE from last five years are mentioned below.

Decaffeination of tea or coffee (Ilgazet *et al.*, 2018), extraction of flavors from herbs (Vieitez *et al.*, 2018), extraction of fats and oils (Jahurul *et al.*, 2018), extraction of sugars from bamboo (Zou *et al.*, 2018), extraction of antioxidants such as vitamin E and C (Gustinelli *et al.*, 2018), extraction of aromas from different juices (Sharifi *et al.*, 2019), de-acidification of oil (Garmuset *et al.*, 2019) and extraction of tocopherols from vegetable oils (Majid *et al.*, 2019) are the major fields where SFE technique is used. Other important applications of SFE are such as extraction of hops (Hoshino *et al.*, 2018), extraction of bioactive compounds (Vardanega *et al.*, 2019; Lima *et al.*, 2018; Soto-Armenta *et al.*, 2018), extraction of free amino acids (Varaee *et al.*, 2019), and the separation of spices and essential oils (Frohlich *et al.*, 2019).

Ferrentino *et al.* (2018) applied SFE for the extraction of phenolic compounds from freeze-dried apple pomace. Campone *et al.* (2018) employed SFE for the recovery of flavonoids from brown onion peels. The extract obtained under optimized conditions (10 MPa, 40°C and 85% ethanol) presented high content of quercetin and quercetin derivatives. Apple seed oil was extracted from apple seed under conditions such as pressure: 300, 500, 750, 1000 and 1300 bar and temperature 43, 53 and 63°C (Montanes *et al.*, 2018). Green coffee beans were used for extracting oil using 0-5.7% ethanol as co-solvent under optimized conditions (200–400 bar and 40–60°C) by Bitencourt *et al.* (2018). Jokic *et al.*, (2018) separated oxygenated monoterpenes,  $\alpha$ -humulene, viridiflorol and manool from sage leaves under 100–300 bar pressure and 40–60°C temperature. Total phenolic compounds and total flavonoids were collected from radish leaves using pressure: 300 and 400 bar, temperature: 35, 40, and 50°C, CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate: 10 g/min and co-solvent: ethanol (Goyeneche *et al.*, 2018).

Extraction of flavonoids from *Odontonema strictum* leaves were carried out by Ouedraogo *et al.* (2018) under 200 and 250 bar, 55–65°C, CO<sub>2</sub> flow: 15 g/min and 95% ethanol. Pimentel-Moral *et al.* (2018) conducted extraction of phenolic compounds from *Hibiscus sabdariffa* using pressure: 150–350 bar, temperature: 40 to 60°C, Co-solvent: ethanol 7–15% and total flow: 25 g/min). Tocopherol was extracted from Quinoa under optimized conditions of pressure: 200–400 bar and temperature: 40–60°C (Benito-Román *et al.*, 2018). Different studies have been carried out to extract  $\beta$ -carotene and lycopene from tomato processing waste stream (Saini *et al.*, 2018). Extraction of cholesterol and some other fats without removing polar fats that is liable for sensory characteristics (Ghosh *et al.*, 2018). Pourmortazavi *et al.* (2018) done extraction of cholesterol, lipids and fats at optimum particle size and moisture content. Alvarez *et al.* (2018) studied the effectiveness of SFE and the use of ethanol as a co-solvent for the recovery of phytochemicals with antioxidant capacity from soybean oil extraction by-products (soybean expellers).

*Catharanthus roseus*, a rich source of alkaloids, from which two dimeric alkaloids were extracted that are extensively used as antineoplastic drugs vinblastine and vincristine in pharmacy (Karimi and Raofie, 2019). Sánchez-Camargo *et al.* (2019) optimized the SFE conditions for the isolation of carotenoids from mango peel using a Box–Behnken design. Roselló-Soto *et al.* (2019) investigated the effect of SFE pressure (10–40 MPa) on the recovery of oil from “horchata” by-products. Lima *et al.* (2019) has been used the extract of mango peel as a natural antioxidant in

sunflower oil to control lipid oxidation; the optimal conditions were 25 MPa, 60°C and ethanol in water (15%) by using a Box–Behnken design.

Caffeine was extracted from Guayusa leaves on study conducted by Cadena-Carrera *et al.* (2019) under optimized parameters (150, 200 and 250 bar, 45, 60 and 75°C and CO<sub>2</sub> mass flow: 8.3 g/min). Germacrene was obtained using SFE technique from leaves of *Piper klotzschianum* by Lima *et al.* (2019) (180, 200 and 220 bar, 40, 60 and 80°C and Co-solvents: methanol 1%, ethanol 3% and isopropanol 5%). Natolino and Da Porto (2019) used pomegranate seed oil for fatty acid extraction at pressure: 240, 280 and 320 bar, temperature: 40, 50 and 60°C and CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate: 133.3 g/min.

Devani *et al.* (2020) conducted a study on rotten onion waste using SFE to evaluate the oleoresin extraction yield, sulfur content and pyruvate content through a central composite rotatable design through evaluating the effects of pressure (15–45 MPa), temperature (50–90°C), time (30–150 min) and particle size (0.4–1.2 mm). Hatami *et al.* (2020) obtained tocopherols from passion fruit by-products by proposing a process based on the integration of SFE and supercritical adsorption (SESA). Salinas *et al.* (2020) optimized SFE to extract oil from chanar almonds, a residue from “arropé” production. Using the optimum conditions such as Pressure: 250, 300 and 350 bar, temperature: 40, 50 and 60°C, CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate: 3, 5 and 6 g/min and particle size: 0.2–0.4 mm raspberry seed oil was extracted from raspberry seeds by Pavlic *et al.* (2020).

The selective enrichment of terpenoids in the different olive leaves extracts were done by innovative supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> fractionation process based on the online coupling of supercritical fluid extraction (SFE) and dynamic adsorption/desorption by using different commercial adsorbents such as silica gel, zeolite, and aluminum oxide under operating at 30 MPa and 60°C (Zully *et al.*, 2021). Luis *et al.* (2021) extracted phenolic compounds from mango seed kernels and sugar using supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> and EtOH as an extraction solvent and evaluated the effect of extraction pressure (11–21 MPa), temperature (40–60°C) and co-solvent contribution (5–15% w/w EtOH) on extraction yield, oxidative stability of sunflower edible oil, total phenolics content, total flavonoids content, and DPPH radical assay. A study was conducted by Thibault *et al.* (2021) to selectively extract bioactive compounds and pigments from rosemary using supercritical fluid extraction by optimisation using supercritical fluid extraction online coupled with a supercritical fluid chromatography (SFE-SFC) system.

Mari *et al.* (2022) evaluated the effects of SFE on the recovery of bioactive and antioxidant compounds using *Coccomyxa onubensis*, by studying variables such as extraction yield, lutein purity and recovery, total phenols and antioxidant capacity using a Box–Behnken design based on a response surface methodology along with the overall extraction curve fitted to a spline linear model. A study aims to explore the optimal preparation technology of fucoxanthin from *Undaria pinnatifida* stems using supercritical carbon dioxide methods and provides approaches for the extraction and preparation of bioactive compounds from a waste seaweed part (Shipeng *et al.*, 2022).

Shi *et al.* (2023) used uric acid as the model compound of nitrogenous components in chicken manure to investigate the transformation mechanism of nitrogen during the SCWG process. Mateusz *et al.* (2023) determined the capacity of residues of alfalfa and goldenrod after supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> extraction to carry micronutrients and energy production against raw plant materials.

## 9. CONCLUSION

Supercritical Fluid Extraction (SFE), an innovative extraction technique that is gaining momentum in the past two decades. In general, supercritical carbon dioxide technology is widely used. It is used for the decaffeination of coffee, extraction of bioactive compounds from natural sources and by-products, extraction of fats and oils, separation of colour, flavour and essential oils from herbs or spices, extraction of antioxidants etc. This versatile method can be employed in food industry, pharmaceuticals, cosmetics, analytical procedures and environmental analysis. Therefore, supercritical fluid technologies are very much relevant with its wide application and it is foreseen that the technologies will be expanded in the next decade.

## References

- Akinlua, A., Torto, N. and Ajayi, T.R. (2008). Supercritical fluid extraction of aliphatic hydrocarbons from Niger Delta sedimentary rock. *Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, 45(1):57-63.
- Alvarez, M.V., Cabred, S., Ramirez, C.L. and Fanovich, M.A. (2018). Valorization of an agro-industrial soybean residue by supercritical fluid extraction of phytochemical compounds. *Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, 143: 90–96.
- Benito-Román, O., Rodríguez-Perrino, M., Sanz, M.T., Melgosa, R. and Beltrán, S. (2018). Supercritical carbon dioxide extraction of quinoa oil: Study of the influence of process parameters on the extraction yield and oil quality. *Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, 139: 62–71.
- Birajdar, S.D., Rajagopalan, S., Sawant J.S. and Padmanabhan, S. (2015). Continuous Pre-dispersed Solvent Extraction Process for the Downstream Separation of 2,3-Butanediol from Fermentation Broth, *Separation and Purification Technology*, 151: 115-123.
- Bitencourt, R.G., Ferreira, N.J., De Oliveira, A.L., Cabral, F.A. and Meirelles, A.J.A. (2018). High pressure phase equilibrium of the crude green coffee oil—CO<sub>2</sub>-ethanol system and the oil bioactive compounds. *Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, 133: 49–57.
- Brunner, G. (2003). Supercritical fluid extraction of ethanol from aqueous solutions. *Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, 25(1): 45–55.
- Cadena-Carrera, S., Tramontin, D.P., Cruz, A.B., Cruz, R.C.B., Müller, J.M. and Hense, H. (2019). Biological activity of extracts from guayusa leaves (*Ilex guayusa* Loes.) obtained by supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> and ethanol as cosolvent. *Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, 152: 1–9.
- Campone, L., Celano, R., Lisa, A., Pagano, I., Carabetta, S., Di, R., Russo, M., Ibañez, E., Cifuentes, A. and Rastrelli, L. (2018). Response surface methodology to optimize supercritical carbon dioxide/cosolvent extraction of brown onion skin by-product as source of nutraceutical compounds. *Food Chemistry*, 269: 495–502.
- Daintree, L., Kordikowski, A. and York P. (2008) Separation processes for organic molecules using SCF Technologies. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*, 60(3): 351–372.
- Devani, B.M., Jani, B.L., Balani, P.C. and Akbari, S.H. (2020). Optimization of supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> extraction process for oleoresin from rotten onion waste. *Food and Bioproducts Processing*, 119: 287–295.
- Ferrentino, G., Morozova, K., Mosibo, O.K., Ramezani, M. and Scampicchio, M. (2018). Bio-recovery of antioxidants from apple pomace by supercritical fluid extraction. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 186: 253–261.
- Frohlich, P.C., Santosa, K.A., Palúa, F., Cardozo-Filho, L., Da Silva, C. and Da Silva, E.A. (2019). Evaluation of the effects of temperature and pressure on the extraction of eugenol from clove (*Syzygium aromaticum*) leaves using supercritical CO<sub>2</sub>. *Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, 143: 313–320.
- Garmus, T.T., de Oliveira Giani, N.A., Rammazzina Filho, W.A., Queiroga, C.L. and Cabral, F.A. (2019). Solubility of oleic acid, triacylglycerol and their mixtures in supercritical carbon dioxide and thermodynamic modeling of phase equilibrium. *The Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, 143: 275-285.
- Ghosh, M., Srivastava Shubhangi, C.J. and Mishra, H.N. (2018). Advent of clean and green technology for preparation of low cholesterol dairy cream powder: Supercritical fluid extraction process. *Food Quality and Safety*, 2(4): 205-211.
- Goyeneche, R., Fanovich, A., Rodrigues, C., Nicolao, M.C. and Di Scala, K. (2018). Supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> extraction of bioactive compounds from radish leaves: Yield, antioxidant capacity and cytotoxicity. *Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, 135: 78–83.
- Guclu-Ustundag, O. and Temelli, F.J. (2005). Solubility behaviour of ternary systems of lipids, cosolvents and supercritical carbon dioxide and processing aspects, *Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, 36: 1–15.

Gustinelli, G., Eliasson, L., Svelander, C., Andlid, T., Lundin, L., Ahrné, L. and Alming, M. (2018). Supercritical fluid extraction of berry seeds: Chemical composition and antioxidant activity. *Journal of Food Quality*.

Hartono, R., Mansoori, G.A. and Suwono, A. (2001). Prediction of solubility of biomolecules in supercritical solvents. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 56: 6949-6958.

Hatami, T., Cristina, L., Leone, G., Virginia, P., Pontes, D.A., Augusto, E., Batista, C., Helena, L., Mei, I. and Martínez, J. (2020). Integrated supercritical extraction and supercritical adsorption processes from passion fruit by-product: Experimental and economic analyses. *Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, 162.

Herrero, M., Cifuentes, A. and Ibaez, E. (2006). Sub and supercritical fluid extraction of functional ingredients from different natural sources: plant

Hoshino, Y., Ota, M., Sato, Y., Smith, R.L. and Inomata, H. (2018). Fractionation of hops-extract-ethanol solutions using dense CO<sub>2</sub> with a counter-current extraction column. *Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, 136: 37-43.

Ilgaz, S., Sat, I.G. and Polat, A. (2018). Effects of processing parameters on the caffeine extraction yield during decaffeination of black tea using pilot-scale supercritical carbon dioxide extraction technique. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 55(4): 1407-1415.

Jahurul, M.H.A., Zaidul, I.S.M., Sahena, F., Sharifudin, M.S., Norulaini, N.N., Ali, M.E. and Omar, A.K.M. (2018). Physicochemical properties of cocoa butter replacers from supercritical carbon dioxide extracted mango seed fat and palm oil mid-fraction blends. *International Food Research Journal*, 25(1): 143-149.

Jokic, S., Molnara, M., Jakovljević, M., Aladić, K. and Jerković, I. (2018). Optimization of supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> extraction of *Salvia officinalis* leaves targeted on Oxygenated monoterpenes,  $\alpha$ -humulene, viridiflorol and manool. *Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, 133: 253-262.

Jose, A., Mendiola, Miguel, H., María, C. and Elena, I. (2013). *Supercritical Fluid Extraction. Natural Product Extraction: Principles and Applications*, 196-230.

Karimi, M. and Raofie, F. (2019). Micronization of vincristine extracted from *Catharanthus roseus* by expansion of supercritical fluid solution. *Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, 146: 172-179.

King and Jerry, W. (2002). *Supercritical Fluid Technology for Lipid Extraction. Fractionation and Reactions*, Lipid Biotechnology, 663-687.

Lancas, F.M., Rissaato, S.R. and Galhiane, M.S. (1999). Determination of 2,4-D and dicamba in food crops by MEKC. *Chromatography*, 50(1-2): 35-44.

Lima, M.A., Charalampopoulos, D. and Chatzifragkou, A. (2018). Optimisation and modelling of supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> extraction process of carotenoids from carrot peels. *Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, 133: 94-102.

Lima, M.A., Kestekoglou, I., Charalampopoulos, D. and Chatzifragkou, A. (2019). *Supercritical Fluid Extraction of Carotenoids from Vegetable Waste Matrices*. *Molecules*, 24: 466.

Lima, R.N., Ribeiro, A.S., Ilho, C.L., Vedoy, D. and Alves, P.B. (2019). Extraction from Leaves of *Piper klotzschianum* using Supercritical Carbon Dioxide and Co-Solvents. *Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, 147: 205-212.

Liza, M., Abdul Rahman, R., Mandana, B., Jinap, S., Rahmat A., Zaidul, I. and Hamid, A. (2010). Supercritical carbon dioxide extraction of bioactive flavonoid from *strobilanthes crispus* (Pecah Kaca). *Food and Bioproducts Processing*, 88(2-3): 319-326.

Luis, M.B., Gabriela, F., Hugo, A.M., Diego, B., Andrea del, P.S., Diego, M., Carlos-Eduardo, N. and Fabián, P. (2021). *Supercritical Fluid Extraction of Phenolic Compounds from Mango (Mangifera*

indica L.) Seed Kernels and Their Application as an Antioxidant in an Edible Oil, *Molecules*, MDPI, 26: 1-15.

Majid, A., Naz, F., Phull, A.R., Abbasi, S., Khaskheli, A.H., Sirohi, M.H., Ahmed, I., Ahmed, W. and Narejo, G.F. (2019). Extraction and quantification of tocopherols from edible oils using high performance liquid chromatography. *International Journal of Biosciences*, 14(4): 181-187.

Mari, C.R., Elena, M., Francisca, S., Waldo, B., Juan-Luis, F., Carlos, V., Inés, G. and Pedro, C. (2022). Methodological Optimization of Supercritical Fluid Extraction of Valuable Bioactive Compounds from the Acidophilic Microalga *Coccomyxaonubensis*, *Antioxidants*, MDPI, 11: 1-21.

Mateusz, S., Agnieszka, D., Łukasz, T., Anna, Z., Piotr, R., Konstantinos, M. and Katarzyna, C. (2023). Applicability of alfalfa and goldenrod residues after supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> extraction to plant micronutrient biosorption and renewable energy production, *Journal of Energy*, 262(A): 1-17.

McHugh, M. and Krukonis, V. (1994). *Supercritical Fluid Extraction*, Second Edition. Butterworth Heinemann, Boston.

Mizzi, B., Meyar, M., Prant, L., Augier, F. and Leinekugel-Le-Cocq, D. (2017). General Design Methodology for Reactive Liquid-Liquid Extraction: Application to Dicarboxylic Acid Recovery in Fermentation Broth, *Chemical Engineering and Processing: Process Intensification*, 113: 20-34.

Montanes, F., Catchpole, O.J., Tallon, S., Mitchell, K.A., Scott, D. and Webby, R.F. (2018). Extraction of apple seed oil by supercritical carbon dioxide at pressures up to 1300 bar. *Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, 141: 128–136.

Natolino, A. and Da Porto, C. (2019). Supercritical carbon dioxide extraction of pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) seed oil: Kinetic modelling and solubility evaluation. *Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, 151: 30–39.

Ouedraogo, J.C.W., Dicko, C., Kini, F.B., Bonzi-Coulibaly, Y.L. and Dey, E.S. (2018). Enhanced extraction of flavonoids from *Odontonema strictum* leaves with antioxidant activity using supercritical carbon dioxide fluid combined with ethanol. *Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, 131: 66–71.

Pavlic, B., Pezo, L., Marić, B., Tukuljac, L.P., Zeković, Z., Solarov, M.B. and Teslić, N. (2020). Supercritical fluid extraction of raspberry seed oil: Experiments and modelling. *Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, 157: 1–42.

Pimentel-Moral, S., Borrás-Linares, I., Lozano-Sánchez, J., Arráez-Román, D., Martínez-Férez, A. and Segura-Carretero, A. (2018). Supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> extraction of bioactive compounds from *Hibiscus sabdariffa*. *Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, 147: 213–221.

Pourmortazavi, S.M., Saghafi, Z., Ehsani, A. and Yousefi, M. (2018). Application of supercritical fluids in cholesterol extraction from foodstuffs: A review. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 55(8): 2813-2823.

Qingyong, L. and Chien, M.W. (2001). Supercritical fluid extraction in herbal and natural product studies — a practical review. *Elsevier*, 53: 771-782.

Reid, R.C., Prausnitz, J.M. and Poling, B.E. (1987). Pressure-Volume-Temperature Relations of Pure Gases and Liquids. *The Properties of Gases and Liquids*, 4: 57-66.

Roselló-Soto, E., Barba, F.J., Lorenzo, J.M., Dominguez, R., Pateiro, M., Mañes, J. and Moltó, J.C. (2019). Evaluating the impact of supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> pressure on the recovery and quality of oil from “horchata” by-products: Fatty acid profile,  $\alpha$ -tocopherol, phenolic compounds and lipid oxidation parameters. *Food Research International*, 120: 888–894.

Sahena, F., Zaidul, I.S.M., Jinap, S., Yazid, A.M., Khatib, A. and Norulaini, N.A.N. (2010). *Food Chemistry*, 120: 87.

Saini, R.K., Moon, S.H. and Keum, Y.S. (2018). An updated review on use of tomato pomace and crustacean processing waste to recover commercially vital carotenoids. *Food Research International*, 108: 516-529.

Salinas, F., Vardanega, R., Espinosa-Álvarez, C., Jimenéz, D., Muñoz, W.B., Ruiz-Domínguez, M.C., Meireles, M.A.A. and Cereza/Mezquita, P. (2020). Supercritical fluid extraction of chañar (*Geoffroedecorticans*) almond oil: Global yield, kinetics and oil characterization. *Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, 161.

Sanchez-Camargo, A.P., Mendiola, J.A., Ibanez, E., Herrero, M. and Reedijk, J. (2014). Reference Module in Chemistry. *Molecular Sciences and Chemical Engineering*, Elsevier Inc, Waltham, 1–14.

Sánchez-Camargo, A.P., Gutiérrez, L.F., Vargas, S.M., Martínez-Correa, H.A., Parada-Alfonso, F. and Narváez-Cuenca, C.E. (2019). Valorisation of mango peel: Proximate composition, supercritical fluid extraction of carotenoids, and application as an antioxidant additive for an edible oil. *Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, 152: 1–9.

Sapkale, G.N., Patil, S. M., Surwase, U.S. and Bhatbhage, P.K. (2010). Supercritical Fluid Extraction: A Review. *International Journal of Chemical Science*, 8(2): 729-743.

Sharifi, A., Niakousari, M., Mortazavi, S.A. and Elhamirad, A.H. (2019). High-pressure CO<sub>2</sub> extraction of bioactive compounds of barberry fruit (*Berberis vulgaris*): Process optimization and compounds characterization. *Journal of Food Measurement and Characterization*, 13(2): 1139–1146.

Shi Lua, Wen Cao, Fanui Meng, Mingming Du, Wenwen Wei, Yunan Chen, Hui Jin and Leijin Guo. (2023). Gasification of uric acid in supercritical water: Reaction characteristics, nitrogen transformation mechanism and kinetics analysis. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 401.

Shipeng, Y., Liqiong, N., Mario, S., Yuanfa L. and Tomoaki, H. (2022). Optimization of fucoxanthin extraction obtained from natural by-products from *Undaria pinnatifida* stem using supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> extraction method, *Journal of Frontiers in Nutrition*, 1-15.

Sihvonen, M., Jarvenpaa, E., Hietaniemi, V. and Huopalahti, R. (1999). Advances in supercritical carbon dioxide technologies. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, 10: 217-222.

Soto-Armenta, L.C., Sacramento-Rivero, J.C.S., Ruiz-Mercado, C.A., Lope-Navarrete, M.C. and Rocha-Urbe, J.A. (2018). Extraction yield and kinetic study of *Lippia graveolens* with supercritical CO<sub>2</sub>. *Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, 145: 205–210.

Tariq, A., Masoodi F. A., Sajad, A.R., Wani, S.M. and Amir, G. (2019). Supercritical Fluid Extraction: A Review. *Journal of Biological and Chemical Chronicles*, 5(1): 114-122.

Taylor, S.L., Eller, F.J. and King, J.W. (1997). A comparison of oil and fat content in oilseed and ground beef- using supercritical fluid extraction and related analytical techniques. *Food Research International*, 30(5): 365-370.

Thibault, L., Emilie D. and Eric L. (2021). Sequential extraction of carnosic acid, rosmarinic acid and pigments (carotenoids and chlorophylls) from Rosemary by online supercritical fluid extraction supercritical fluid chromatography, *Journal of Chromatography A*, 1639: 1-23.

Varaee, M., Honarvar, M., Eikani, M.H., Omidkhan, M.R. and Moraki, N. (2019). Supercritical fluid extraction of free amino acids from sugar beet and sugar cane molasses. *Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, 144: 48–55.

Vardanega, R., Nogueira, G.C., Nascimento, C.D.O., Faria-Machado, A.F. and Meireles, M.A.A. (2019). Selective extraction of bioactive compounds from annatto seeds by sequential supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> process. *Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, 150: 122–127.

Vieitez, I., Maceiras, L., Jachmanián, I. and Alborés, S. (2018). Antioxidant and antibacterial activity of different extracts from herbs obtained by maceration or supercritical technology. *The Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, 133: 58-64.

Vigano, J., Machado, A.P.F. and Martínez, J. (2015). Sub- and supercritical fluid technology applied to food waste processing. *The Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, 96: 272-286.

Wang, L. and Weller, C. (2006). Recent advances in extraction of nutraceuticals from plants. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, 17(6): 300–312.

Wang, L., Weller, C., Schlegel, V., Carr, T. and Cuppett, S. (2008). Supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> extraction of lipids from grain sorghum dried distillers grains with solubles. *Bioresource Technology*, 99(5): 1373–1382.

Zou, X., Liu, Y., Tao, C., Liu, Y., Liu, M., Wu, J. and Lv, Z. (2018). CO<sub>2</sub> supercritical fluid extraction and characterization of polysaccharide from bamboo (*Phyllostachys heterocycla*) leaves. *Journal of Food Measurement and Characterization*, 12(1): 35-44.

Zully, J.S.M., Gerardo, Á., Jose, A.M., Elena, I. and Alejandro, C. (2021). Extraction and Mass Spectrometric Characterization of Terpenes Recovered from Olive Leaves Using a New Adsorbent-Assisted Supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> Process, *Foods*, MDPI, 10: 1-20.

UNDER PEER REVIEW