

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_107535
Title of the Manuscript:	Intercropping Green Gram with Improved and Traditional Paddy Varieties: A Sustainable Approach to Increase Paddy Yield
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Importance: They conducted A field experiment was conducted at the Faculty of Agriculture, Eastern University, Sri Lanka to investigate the effects of intercropping green gram on the growth and yield parameters of two varieties of paddy; Suwandel (traditional variety) and At 307 (improved variety) during the period of July to November 2022.</p> <p>Title: Preferred to be modified into “Influence of Intercropping Green Gram with Two Paddy Varieties on Growth, Yield and its Components to Increase Economic Returns”.</p> <p>Keywords: Rearrange in alphabetical order.</p> <p>The aim: Clear to a certain extent.</p> <p>Materials and methods: The experiment had to be repeated for second season to confirm the results.</p> <p>Results and Discussion: Clear, informative, and contribute to knowledge with moderate comparison and speculation.</p> <p>Tables: Well-presented and organized.</p> <p>Conclusion: Clear.</p> <p>Scientific Soundness: The manuscript presented a good idea in the agricultural field.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>General view: The manuscript was expressed in moderate English and grammar.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The experiment had to be repeated for second season to confirm the results.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wael Hamd-Alla
Department, University & Country	Field Crops Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Egypt