

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geological Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGER_107544
Title of the Manuscript:	The water quality of the 16th of Tishreen Dam Lake in Lattakia Governorate and its suitability for various uses
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Manuscript is admittedly important for scientific community, because manuscript describe the quality of water and its possible use in specific areas. Researcher can do their future research on different issues of this topic.</p> <p>2. The authors should be careful when they presenting their whole research with a simple title. The title missed the appropriate word with use of prepositions and I also found the lack of knowledge to use of capital and small letter.</p> <p>3. Abstracts are never written in a paragraph form and authors were not clearly described the research aim with performance.</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Authors would have to make some minor changes in material and methods with result and discussions section. They should have to co- relate their results with some clarifications.</p> <p>6. Yes, references are applicable.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. English quality should be improved</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rakhi Das
Department, University & Country	School of Agriculture, SAGE University, India