

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_108015
Title of the Manuscript:	PILOMATRIXOMA OF THE CHEST WALL: A CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case study

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1- Yes. It addresses a relatively rare and frequently misdiagnosed entity, which is pilomatrixoma. Atypical presentation (clinical, location, age group and sex) allowing the discussion of possible and important differential diagnoses.</p> <p>2- Yes.</p> <p>3- Yes. Although it is not structured as indicated in the "General Guidelines for Authors" of this journal. It must be revised and rewritten. * Keywords are missing.</p> <p>4- Yes.</p> <p>5- Yes.</p> <p>6- The references are sufficient, but many references are more than 10 years old (out of date). It would be interesting to review and update them. The references are also outside the standards requested by this journal.</p> <p>P.S. A new version of the manuscript (modified by the reviewer) was attached to the email with the REVIEW FORM.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes. But it could be improved (reviewed by an expert).</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>General Comments/ Suggestions/ Requests:</p> <p>Standardize the word "pilomatrixoma" throughout the text (from the title to the conclusion). Highlighted in yellow in the revised attached version. In red font some suggested changes to the text.</p> <p>INTRODUCTION Merge the 3rd and 4th paragraphs of the introduction into one (as it is in the revised attached version).</p> <p>CASE PRESENTATION First line: What is OPD? Outpatient Department? Please write in full.</p> <p>Clinical Findings From "clinical findings" on, it is better to rewrite "swelling" as nodosity or tumor. There is no image of the clinical lesion before the surgical approach. If possible/ available it is better to include.</p> <p>DISCUSSION 2nd paragraph, lines 3 and 4 "While we did find some similarities between our case and the malignant counterparts..." What similarities are these? Better to quote them.</p> <p>CONCLUSION 1st paragraph Very confused, disconnected. Better to review and rewrite it.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Flávia Regina Ferreira
Department, University & Country	University of Taubaté, Brazil