

Original Research Article

Antibiotics susceptibility profile of biofilm forming bacteria isolated from soy bean milk drinks sold in Abakaliki Metropolis.

ABSTRACT: This study was carried out to determine the antibiotics susceptibility profile of biofilm forming bacteria isolated from locally produced soy beans milk drinks sold within Abakaliki metropolis. A total of 150 soy bean milk samples 15 from each location were collected using random sampling technique from 10 different locations namely Nkaliki, Presco Junction, Azugwu, Kpirikipiri, Ahiaofu, Mile 50, Rice mill, Mechanic site, International market and Abofia area of Abakaliki town in Ebonyi State metropolis. The collected soy bean milk samples were analyzed for the presence of bacteria using standard microbiology techniques which include; culturing, Gram staining and biochemical tests. The screening for biofilm formation on isolated bacteria species was done using tube method. The antibiotic susceptibility profile of the isolated biofilm forming bacteria was determined using the disc diffusion method. The result showed that a total of 100 (66.6%) bacteria were isolated from the locally produced soy bean milk comprising of 5 bacteria genera namely: *Staphylococcus aureus* 25 (25%), *Escherichia coli*, 27 (27%), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 10 (10%), *Klebsiella* species 15 (15%) and *Salmonella* species 23 (23%). The biofilm production screening test revealed that *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* are biofilm forming bacteria (are all the isolated bacteria of *S. aureus*, *K. pneumoniae* and *P. aeruginosa* all biofilm producers). The antibiotics susceptibility profile of biofilm forming bacteria varies between antibiotics. The result showed that all *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates were (100%) susceptible to ceftazidime, 80% resistant to imipenem and 72% resistant to ciprofloxacin, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, isolates were 100% and 80% resistant to ceftazidime and ofloxacin while *E. coli* isolates were 70.3% and 63% resistant to ofloxacin and cefotaxime respectively. The study showed poor hygienic quality of locally produced soybean milk marketed within Abakaliki metropolis and this call for public awareness as it could be source of disease outbreak/spread within this community.

Keywords: Antibiotics Susceptibility, Biofilm Forming Bacteria, Soy Bean Milk.

I. INTRODUCTION

Soy beans milk is a plant-based drink produced by soaking and grinding soy beans and filtering out remaining particulates. It is an important food diet of vast population in the world, due to its high nutritional value as they are excellent source of protein both in quality and quantity [1]. They are naturally valuable source of vitamins and minerals such as thiamin, riboflavin, niacin, calcium, phosphorus, magnesium, zinc and potassium [2]. There is continued debate as to whether purified proteins that are added to food confer the same health benefits as whole foods [3]. There is increasing evidence to suggest that consuming whole foods has additive and synergistic health benefits. In addition to the essential proteins it contains, other health benefits of consuming milk are widely recognized [4]. Food borne diseases are of great concern around the world as such, this is an important issue in developing countries like Nigeria where poor sanitation is a problem during food processing [5, 2]. *Salmonella* spp., *Klebsiella* spp., *Clostridium botulism*, *Staphylococcus* spp., *Escherichia coli* and *Shigella* spp. are pathogens that are usually found in soy beans milk causing inflammatory reactions. Biofilm are extracellular matrix formed by some species of bacteria. It is a thin membranous layer covering on the surface of any substance thereby causing opacity [6, 3]. Biofilm formation occurs when free floating microorganisms attach themselves to a surface. Even though biofilm forming bacteria have side effects, they are still beneficial to human as they are relevant in the improvement of metal dissolution in bioleaching industry and also used in biodegradation [7]. The side effect of biofilm in respect to antibiotics is that the biofilms protect the bacteria from antimicrobial agent attack thereby making them immune to antimicrobial attack, [8]. This study was designed to determine the antibiotic profile of biofilm forming bacteria species isolated from locally prepared soy bean milk sold within Abakaliki metropolis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample collection

A total of 150 samples of locally prepared soy bean milk drinks were collected at random from ten different locations within Abakaliki metropolis namely Nkaliki, Presco Junction, Azugwu, Kpirikpiri, Ahiaofu, Mile 50, Rice mill, Mechanic site, international market and Abofia. They were properly labelled and transported to the Microbiology Laboratory Unit of Ebonyi State University, Abakaliki for bacteriological analysis using standard microbiology techniques.

Preparation of media and Inoculation of soy bean milk on media

All media were prepared according to the manufacturer's specification. The pour Plate method [9], was used in plating all the samples. A 1 millilitre of the soay bean milk from dilution 10^3 was placed into sterile petri dish with the aid of a sterile pipette. A molten nutrient agar, macConkey agar and eosin-methylene blue agar were poured into several petri dishes containing 1 milliliter of diluted sample. The plates were swirl for easy mix up of the sample and the media. All plates were prepared in triplicate and allowed to solidify on the bench. After solidifying the plates were incubated at 37°C for 18 – 24 hours. After

incubation, bacteria colony growing on the surface of the agar plate was counted using a colony counter machine. The estimation of viable number of microorganisms (total viable counts) in each sample were made in colony forming units (CFU) as described by [9].

Identification and characterization of bacteria isolates from soy bean milk

Identification and characterization of bacteria from soy bean milk were done as described by [10].

Screening for Biofilm Production on isolated bacteria from soy bean milk by tube method

Tube method (TM) is a qualitative assay for detection of biofilm production in microorganism by the presence of visible film, as described by [11] was used. The isolates were inoculated in polystyrene test tube which contained TSB and incubated for 24 h at 37°C. The sessile isolates of which biofilms formed on the walls of polystyrene test tube were stained with safranin for 1hr, after planktonic cells were discharged by rinsing twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Then, safranin-stained polystyrene test tube was rinsed twice with PBS to discharge stain. After air drying of test tube process, it was observed for the occurrence of visible film lines in the walls, and the bottom of the tube indicating biofilm production[12].

Antibiotic susceptibility testing

Antibiotic susceptibility testing of isolates was done by using Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion Method according to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute [13, 9]. Bacteria inoculum equivalent to 0.5 McFarland standard of the isolate was streaked on Mueller-Hinton agar plate and allowed for 5 minutes pre-diffusion into the agar. The following antibiotic disc were placed on the surface of inoculated agar plate ceftazidime (30µg), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (30µg), imipenem (10µg), ceftazidime (30µg), cefotaxime (30µg), colistin sulphate (10µg), ofloxacin (10µg), ertapenem (10µg), Erythromycin (15µg), amikacin (30 µg), amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (30µg), ciprofloxacin (5µg), Tetracycline (30µg), penicillin (10µg), vancomycin (30µg), oxacillin (1µg). This was incubated at 37°C for 24 hrs and Inhibition zone diameter was measured and interpretative using Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute break point [14, 9].

Statistical analysis

Prevalence data were analyzed using generalized model of Statistical Package central tendency (percentile) [15, 14].

RESULTS

3.1 Total bacterial count of the soy bean samples

Table1: Summary of total bacteria colony isolated from soy bean milk

Table 1 shows the microbial colony count from the soy bean milk samples collected from Nkaliki in Abakaliki Metropolis. Out of the 15 soy beans milk samples analyzed, two

samples with codes NK₆ and NK₅ had the lowest and highest microbial colony count as 1.12×10^3 and 1.94×10^3 respectively.

The microbial colony count from the soy beans milk samples collected from Rice Mill in Abakaliki Metropolis showed that 13 samples had viable microbial growth with the lowest and highest microbial colony count found in RM₁₇ and RM₂₇.

At mile 50 (M50), 8 samples had visible microbial growth as M50₄₄ and M50₄₂ had the lowest and highest bacteria colony count.

Nine (9) samples from international Market in Abakaliki Metropolis had visible bacteria growth. The sample code IM₅₃ had the highest microbial growth with the colony forming unit as 1.96×10^3 and the lowest was with the sample code IM₄₇ with the colony forming unit as 1.00×10^3 .

Nine (9) samples had viable growth in Abofia. The sample codes AB₆₅ and AB₇₃ had an insignificant ($p > 0.05$) growth while growth that was too numerous to count was recorded in sample codes AB₆₈ and AB₇₁. The lowest and highest bacteria colony was from sample AB₆₄ and AB₇₀ respectively.

Nine (9) Soy beans samples collected from Presco junction had viable bacteria growth. The highest Significant ($p < 0.05$) microbial growth was recorded in sample PJ₈₇ with the colony forming unit as 1.90×10^3 and sample code PJ₈₄ had the least number of colony forming unit as 1.00×10^3 .

A total of 6 samples out of 15 soy beans samples gotten from Azugwu had viable growth. The least microbial growth rate was seen in the sample code AZ₁₀₁ with the colony forming unit as 1.10×10^3 and highest colony forming unit as 1.92×10^3 .

Seven samples from mechanic site had viable growth. The least microbial growth rate was seen in the sample code MS₁₁₆ with insignificant colony forming unit.

Ten (10) samples out of the 15 samples collected from Kpirikpiri had viable growth, with the lowest and highest bacteria colony forming unit in KP₁₃₁ and KP₁₂₆ sample.

From Ahiaofu nine (9) samples were observed with some levels of growth but the lowest and highest bacteria colony forming unit was 6.9×10^3 and 1.78×10^3

Table 2 below shows that out of 150 samples analyzed, 100 samples recorded bacteria growth. It revealed that equal number of *Escherichia coli* was observed from the samples collected from Nkaliki and Ahiaofu in Abakaliki Metropolis. The samples from Rice mill, International Market and Presco Junction showed the highest growth of *Escherichia coli*. The samples from Ahiaofu showed an equal number of *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Salmonella* spp growth and equal amount of bacteria growth of *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*

and *Salmonella* spp.

Table 3 below showed the biofilm and non-biofilm forming bacteria isolated from different soy bean samples collected within Abakaliki Metropolis. It showed that *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Escherichia coli* are biofilm formers while *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Salmonella* spp. are non-biofilm formers.

The result of antibiotics resistant and susceptibility of Biofilm forming *Staphylococcus aureus* showed that the bacteria were 100 % resistant to penicillin, ceftazidime, vancomycin and oxacillin. The level of susceptibility was recorded as thus imipenem (80.0 %), ciprofloxacin (72.0 %), ciprofloxacin (60.0 %) and erythromycin (60.0 %) respectively in Table 4.

The result of the percentage antibiotics resistant and susceptibility of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* to the antibiotics used showed that the bacterium was 100 % resistant to Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, 90 % colistin sulphate and ertapenem respectively. The microorganism was 100 % susceptible to ceftazidime and ceftazidime and 90 % to ofloxacin as shown below respectively (Table 5).

The result of the percentage of antibiotics resistant and susceptibility of *Escherichia coli* isolated from soy milk showed that the microorganism was 100 % resistant to amoxicillin-clavulanic acid and 88.8 % to ceftazidime but were susceptible to cefotaxime and ofloxacin at 100 % and 70.3 % (Table 6).

Table 1: Minimum and maximum microbial colony count of soy beans milk samples collected from ten different sites in Abakaliki Metropolis.

S/N	Sample Code	CFU/ml	P-value
1	NK ₆	1.12×10^3	1.59615
2	NK ₅	1.94×10^3	1.18373
3	RM ₁₇	1.30×10^3	0.20152
4	RM ₂₇	1.90×10^3	0.04408
5	M50 ₄₂	1.10×10^3	0.49074
6	M50 ₄₄	1.68×10^3	1.28819
7	IM ₄₇	1.00×10^3	0.03067
8	IM ₅₃	1.96×10^3	1.35053
9	AB ₆₄	1.28×10^3	0.90019
10	AB ₇₀	1.82×10^3	1.59615
11	PJ ₈₄	1.00×10^3	0.04034
12	PJ ₈₇	1.90×10^3	1.28241
13	AZ ₁₀₁	1.10×10^3	0.74444
14	AZ ₁₀₀	1.92×10^3	1.84969
15	MS ₁₀₈	9.0×10^4	3.18630
16	MS ₁₁₈	1.80×10^3	0.26355
17	KP ₁₃₁	1.00×10^3	0.16625
18	KP ₁₂₆	1.90×10^3	0.23071
19	AO ₁₄₁	6.9×10^3	1.23564
20	AO ₁₄₅	1.78×10^3	0.22961

Keys: NK = Nkaliki ,RM = Rice Mill, M50 =Mile 50, IM = International Market, AB = Abofia, PJ = Presco Junction, AZ = Azugwu, MS = Mechanic Site, KP = Kpirikipiri, AO = Ahiaofu.

Table 2: Number of samples with bacteria growth and the organisms isolated from each location within Abakaliki Metropolis.

Sample Units	No. Sampled	No. of Samples with growth	<i>Pseud. aeruginosa</i> (%)	<i>E. coli</i> (%)	<i>Kleb. pneu.</i> (%)	<i>Salm. spp.</i> (%)	<i>Staph. aureus</i> (%)
NK	15	12	2(20)	4(14.8)	2(13.3)	2(8.6)	2(8)
RM	15	14	1(10)	3(11.1)	1(6.6)	5(21.7)	4(16)
M50	15	13	2(20)	2(7.4)	2(13.3)	4(17.3)	3(12)
IM	15	10	1(10)	2(7.4)	1(6.6)	3(13.0)	3(12)
AB	15	7	1(10)	3(11.1)	1(6.6)	2(8.6)	-
PJ	15	7	-	3(11.1)	1(6.6)	1(4.3)	2(8)
AZ	15	8	1(10)	2(7.4)	1(6.6)	2(8.6)	2(8)
MS	15	8	1(10)	2(7.4)	1(6.6)	1(4.3)	3(12)
KP	15	12	1(10)	2(7.4)	3(20)	2(8.6)	4(16)
AO	15	9	-	4(14.8)	2(13.3)	1(4.3)	2(8)
Total	150	100	10	27	15	23	25

Keys: *Staph. aureus* = *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseud. aeruginosa* = *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *E. coli* = *Escherichia coli*, *Kleb. pneu.* = *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Salm. typhi.* = *Salmonella typhi*, NK = Nkaliki, RM = Rice Mill, M50 = Mile 50, IM = International Market, AB = Abofia, PJ = Presco Junction, AZ = Azugwu, MS = Mechanic Site, KP = Kpirikpiri, AO = Ahiaofu.

Table 3: Biofilm forming potentials of bacteria isolated from soy beans milk sold in Abakaliki

S/N	Test Result	Bacteria
1	+	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>
2	+	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>
3	+	<i>Escherichia coli</i>
4	-	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>
5	-	<i>Salmonella Spp.</i>

KEY: + = positive, - = negative

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 4: Percentage antibiotics resistant and susceptibility of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from soy beans milk.

S/N	Antibiotics (Concentration)	Resistance (%)	Susceptible (%)
1	Ceftazidime	7(28.0)	18(72.0)
2	Cefotaxime	16(64.0)	9(36.0)
3	Ciprofloxacin	10(40.0)	15(60.0)
4	Erythromycin	10(40.0)	15(60.0)
5	Tetracycline	24(96.0)	1(4.0)
6	Penicillin	25(100)	0(0)
7	Cefoxitin	25(100)	0(0)
8	Vancomycin	25(100)	0(0)
9	Oxacillin	25(100)	0 (0)
10	Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	14 (56.0)	11 (44.0)
11	Imipenem	5(20.0)	20(80.0)

Table 5: Percentage antibiotics resistant and susceptibility of *Pseudomonasaeruginosa* isolated from soy beans milk

S/N	Antibiotics (Concentration)	Resistance (%)	Susceptible (%)
1	Ceftazidime	0(0)	10(100)
2	Cefotaxime	5(50)	5(50)
3	Imipenem	7(70)	3(30)
4	Cefoxitin	0(0)	10(100)
5	Colistin sulphate	9(90)	1(10)
6	Ofloxacin	2(20)	8(80)
7	Ertapenem	9(90)	1(10)
8	Amikacin	5(50)	5(50)
9	Amoxicillin-clavulanic acid	8(80)	2(20)
10	Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	10(100)	0(0)

Table 6: Antibiotics percentage resistant and susceptibility pattern of *Escherichia coli*

S/N	Antibiotics (Concentration)	Resistance (%)	Susceptible (%)
1	Ceftazidime	10(37)	17(63)
2	Cefotaxime	0(0)	27(100)
3	Imipenem	14(51.9)	13(48.1)
4	Cefoxitin	24(88.8)	3(11.1)
5	Colistin sulphate	21(77.8)	6(22.2)
6	Ofloxacin	8(29.6)	19(70.3)
7	Ertapenem	18(66.7)	9(33.3)
8	Amikacin	7(25.9)	1(3.7)
9	Amoxicillin-clavulanic acid	27(100)	0(0)
10	Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	26(96.3)	3(3.7)

DISCUSSION

In the present study, a total of 150 samples of locally prepared soy bean milk drinks were collected at random from 10 different locations within Abakaliki metropolis namely Nkaliki, Presco Junction, Azugwu, Kpirikpiri, Ahiaofu, Mile 50, Rice mill, Mechanic site, International market and Abofia. Table 1 shows the microbial colony count from the soy beans milk samples collected from the ten different sites. From the analysis, the lowest and highest microbial growth rate of each sites was recorded and it was observed that of all the sites, samples collected from International Market in Abakaliki Metropolis with the sample code IM₅₃ had the highest microbial growth rate and the least was recorded on AO₁₄₁ respectively. In this study, the observation of microbial growth in the soy beans milk samples has received considerable attention which is in support of several scientists [26, 28, 29], as all the soybean milk samples used in the study contained one form of bacteria or the other and each sample contained different contaminants leading to the observable growth of microorganisms which is in accordance with earlier report [16].

The dusty, unhygienic environment coupled with poor handling by vendors are factors contributing to the high microbial load as seen in the samples examined from International market and Abofia relatively [17]. The presence of these large number of bacterial growths might be as a result of unsanitary conditions or practices during production, processing, distribution or storage of the soy beans milk [18, 1, 6].

A total of 100 bacteria isolates were isolated from the soy bean milk in the order of 10 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, 15 *Klebsiella* specie, 23 *Salmonella* species, 25 *Staphylococcus aureus* and 27 *Escherichia coli* respectively. The high presence of *E. coli* is an indicator of faecal contamination of the water that was used in the preparation and the unhygienic activities of the handlers [19, 2]. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Klebsiella* organisms are widely distributed in water, soil and sewage too as reported by [20]. According to [21], the presence of *Salmonella* species in the soy beans milk sample is an indicator of post processing contamination which could cause typhoid fever and other food poisoning if such soy beans milk is consumed. Also, milk is a rich source of various nutrients. [22, 19], as it provide all the nutrients to sustain health, grow, protect and heal. The nutrients in milk serve as an ideal medium for microbial growth [23].

After cultural and biochemical characterization using standard microbiology techniques *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Klebsiella* and *Salmonella* species were isolated from different soy bean samples [24].

Out of the 5 bacteria isolates obtained, 3 of them are biofilm forming bacteria namely; *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. This implies that they were able to form thin layer or membranous covering on the surface of the test tube thereby causing opacity during the biofilm test which is in line with the result of [25, 16]. The antibiotics resistance and susceptibility test of the 25 *Staphylococcus aureus* determined against 11 antimicrobial agents is shown in (Table 4). Biofilm forming *Staphylococcus aureus* was resistant to penicillin 25 (100 %), cefoxitin 25 (100%), vancomycin 25 (100 %), oxacillin 25 (100 %), tetracycline 24 (96%) and Cefotaxime 16 (64.0 %) was relatively high compared to the other antibiotics which is in accordance with the report of Johnson and Snyder [26]. It's high level of resistance can be attributed to the

ability of *Staphylococcus aureus* to form biofilm which confers its resistance to antibiotics [27, 5].

Table 5 showed susceptibility and resistant profile of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. The susceptibility level was 10 (100 %) to ceftazidime and cefoxitin respectively but 10 (100 %) resistance to trimethoprim - sulfamethoxazole. This shows that ceftazidime and cefoxitin are the best antibiotics that can be used to treat an infection caused by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. This was in agreement with the report of Osundahunsi *et al* [28], conducted on the antibiotics resistance and susceptibility test on bacteria isolates obtained from soybeans milk sold at Kwara state Nigeria. On the other hand, the development of antimicrobial resistance among the pathogenic bacteria poses a problem of high concern [29, 6]. The present study showed that *E. coli* isolate (Table 5) as a biofilm forming bacterium was highly sensitive to cefotaxime 27 (100 %) followed by ofloxacin 19 (70.3 %) and ceftazidime 17 (63%) respectively, which is in line with the report of [30, 4]. The biofilm forming bacterium was resistant to amoxicillin - clavulanic acid 27 (100%), trimethoprim- sulfamethoxazole 26 (96.3%), cefoxitin 24 (88.8%) and ertapenem 18 (66.7%) relatively which can be depicted as the capacity of *E. coli* isolate to form a thick layer and film called biofilm as well as acquire resistant genes which offers it the resistance ability to antibiotics [31, 12] (Table 6). From the results of this study, the highest level of contamination of soy beans milk by biofilm forming bacteria was observed in the samples collected from Rice Mill and Nkaliki relatively. The large number of biofilm forming bacteria in these products from the colony counts observed, confirms that the soybeans milk sold within Rice mill and Nkaliki were prepared in a poor hygienic environment and may pose serious health hazard to consumers while the ones sold at Azugwu and mechanic site were fairly better [31, 11]. The consumption of large amount of these biofilm forming bacteria in soybean milk could change the normal flora which may lead to food borne diseases like dysentery, diarrhoea and stomach ache [31, 8].

Sequel to the antibiotics susceptibility profile of biofilm forming bacteria isolated, the antibiotics that should be considered as the first drugs of choice in the treatment of infections caused by these biofilm forming bacteria include; imipenem, ceftazidime and ciprofloxacin for *Staphylococcus aureus*, ceftazidime, cefoxitin and ofloxacin for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and cefotaxime, ofloxacin and ceftazidime for *E. coli*. due to their high-level susceptibility to those antibiotics. The high level of resistance to the other antibiotics can be attributed to their ability to form biofilms as well acquire resistant genes which act as resistant factors.

Conclusion

This study revealed that locally produced soy beans milk sold in Abakaliki metropolis are contaminated with biofilm forming bacteria that are multi-drug resistant. This shows the poor hygienic state of locally produced soy bean milk and it calls for worry because this will be a threat to human health when consumed. We suggested that NAFDAC and other regulatory agencies of concern should monitor the quality of locally produced soy bean and other products sold to ensure they meet the standard regulation and that they are microbiologically -safe for human consumption.

CONSENT

It is not applicable.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

It is not applicable.

REFERENCES

- [1] Agbabiaka, T. O., Oyeyidu, G. and Salisu, B., K. (2015). Microbiological Assessment of some locally retailed cheeses within Ilorin Metropolis. *Nigerian Journal of Microbiology*, 27(1):2680–2687.
- [2] Alessandro, P., Cristina, L. and Enrica, P. (2010). Proteomics as a tool for Studying Energy Metabolism in Lactic Acid Bacteria. *Molecular Biosystem*, 6, 1419-1430.
- [3] Alexander, B., Patrick, W., Stephane, M., Olivier, J., Karine, M., Jean, W., Dusko, E. and Alexei, S. (2001). The Complete Genome Sequence of the Lactic Acid **10** Khalid Bacterium *Lactococcus lactis* ssp. *lactis* IL1403 *Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press*, 11 (5):731-753.
- [4] Aly, S., Cheik, A., Ouattara, I., Bassole, H. and Alfred, S. (2004). Antimicrobial activities of Lactic Acid Bacteria Strains Isolated from Burkina Faso Fermented Milk. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*, 3 (3):174-179.
- [5] Barry, B. (2009). Global Phenotypic Characterization of Bacteria. *Microbiology Reviews*, 33 (1):191–205.
- [6] Beatrice, D., Olawumi, T. and Oguntoyinbo, (2012). production of tofu from blends of soybean and sesame seed. *African Journal of Food Science*, 14 (6):386–391.
- [7] Buddhiman, T., Jyoti, T., Ulrich, S., Charles, F., Michael, G. and Wilhelm, H. (2008). Phenotypic and Genotypic Identification Of Lactic Acid Bacteria Isolated from Ethnic Fermented Bamboo Tender Shoots of North East India. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 121 (1): 35-40.

- [8] Carol, A. and Leon, D. (2010). Horizontal Gene Transfer Amongst Probiotic Lactic Acid Bacteria and other Intestinal Microbiota: what are the Possibilities? A review on Food 'of Microbiology, **193** (3):157-168.
- [9] Cheesbrough M. (2006). *District laboratory practice: In tropical countries*. Part 2, 2nd ed. USA: Cambridge University press, 11.
- [10] Corsetti, A., Lavermicocca, P., Morea, M., Baruzzi, F., Tosti, N. and Gobbetti, M. (2001). Phenotypic and Molecular Identification and Clustering of Lactic Acid Bacteria and Yeasts from wheat (species *Triticum durum* and *Triticum aestivum*) sourdoughs of Southern Italy, *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, **64** (12):95-104.
- [11] Daniyan, S., Abalaka, Y. and Momoh M. E., (2011). Microbiological and physiochemical assessment of street vended soybean cheese sold in Minna. *Nigeria International Journal of Biomedical and Advance Research*, 2(1):355-360
- [12] Egbo, A. O. and Seidu, K. T. (2012). Microbiological evaluation of suya (dried smoked meat) sold in Ado and Akure, South west: Nigeria. *European Journal of Experimental biology*, 1 (4):1-5.
- [13] Falade, K. O., Ogundele, O. M. and Ogunshe, A. O. (2015). physico-chemical sensory and microbiological characteristics of plant yoghurt from bambara groundnut and soybeans. *Journal Food Science Technology*, 52 (9):5858-5865.
- [14] Fargione, J., Hill, J., Tilman, D., Polasky, S. and Hawthorne, P. (2008). Land Clearing and the Biofuel carbon Debt, *Science*, **319** (5869): 1235-18.
- [15] Farinde, E. O., Obatolu, V. A. Oyarekwa, M. A. Adeniran, H. A., Ejoh, S. I. and Olanipekan, O. I. (2010). Physical and Microbial properties of fruit flavoured fermented Cow milk and Soymilk Under Different Temperature of Storage. *African Journal of Food Science Technology*, **43** (5): 120 -127.
- [16] Fasoyiro, S. B. (2011). Assessment of hazards in local soy-cheese processing: implication on health and environment in Oyo State, Nigeria. *WIT Transaction on Ecology and the environment*, 152 (8):37-44.
- [17] Fawoyo, P. T. (2012). Microbiological assessment of Air contamination of vended foods sold in the main market in Ilo-Ilo, Kogi State, Nigeria. *Research Journal of Biological Sciences*, 7(9-12):355-360. 17.
- [18] Gesinde, A. T., Ovawove, O. M. and Adebisi, F. (2008). Comparative Studies on the Quality and Quantity of Soymilk from Different Varieties of soybean Pakistan. *Journal of Nutrition*, **7**(1): 157 - 160.

- [19] Gavin, M. and Wettstein, A. (1990). Soy milk and Other soy products from the Traditional Methods of production to the New manufacturing processes Buhier Ltd, Switzerland, *Journal of Nutrition*, **7** (1): 157 – 160.
- [20] Hauman, B. F. (1984). Soy milk. New Processing, packaging Expand markets, *Journal of American Oil Chemical Society*, **61** (90):1784-1788.
- [21] Heckman, J. R., Angle, J. S. and Chaney, R. L. (2012). Residual effects of Sewage Sludges on Soy bean Accumulation of soil and symbiotically fixed Nitrogen, *Journal of environmental Quality*, **16** (2):118-124.
- [22] Henkel. J. (2000). Soy Health Claims for Soy Proteins, Questions about Other components FDA Consumer (Food and Drug Administration), **34** (3):18-20.
- [23] Ikeh, E. I., Okwudili, P. E., Agino, E. S. and Odumodu, C. U. (2001). Microorganisms Associated With locally Available Infant Weaning foods in Jos and Environs, Nigeria, *Nigerian Journal of Paediatrics*, **28** (1):7-13.
- [24] Iwe, M. O. (2009). pattern of spoilage of soymilk: Influence of preparation methods and Storage Conditions. *Nigerian Food Journal*, **9** (14):92–104.
- [25] Jideani, S. (2003). Cost effectiveness of a targeted disinfection program in household kitchens to prevent food –borne illness in the United states, Canada and the United Kingdom. *Journal of food protection*, **66** (11):2103–2115.
- [26] Johnson, W. K. and Snyder, H. E. (2006). Soy milk Comparison of processing method, Yield and Composition. *Journal of food scienc*, **43**(67):349-352.
- [27] Kayode, R., Akinyosey, F. A. and Arotupia, D. J. (2005). Comparative Evaluation of the Bacteria isolated from decomposing, Cow milk and soymilk, *Journal of Agriculture Research and Development*, **4** (2):40–45.
- [28] Osundahunsi, O. F., Amosu, D. and Ifesan, B. O. (2007). Quality evaluation and acceptability of Soy–yoghourt with different colors and fruit flavours. *American Journal of Food*; **2** (41):5-07.
- [29] Udeozor, L.O., (2012). Tiger nut-soymilk drink. Preparation, proximate composition and sensory qualities. *International Journal of Food and Nutrition Science*, **1**: 18-26.
- [30] Wang, F., Swain, W. and Kwolek, F. (2018). Effects of soybean varieties on the yield and quality of Tofu. *Journal Agriculture and Food Chemical*, **12**: 1674-1677.
- [31] Zumbes, J. H., Dabo, A. D. and Dakul, D. A. (2014). Entero pathogenic Bacterial contamination of some ready to eat foods sold in Jos metropolis Nigeria. *Indian Journals of Applied Research*, **4** (7):456–458.
-