

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_107801
Title of the Manuscript:	Cassava, mandacaru, and glue as adhesive agents in the coating of heirloom fava bean seeds
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comments (if agreed with reviewer, corrects the manuscript and highlight <i>that part in the manuscript</i> . It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Yes, to some extent</li> <li>✓ The abstract was not structured well: it should contain 2-3 lines of background, objectives/aims, methodology, key results, main discussion and conclusion. Remove the statement of problem you wrote in the abstract and add at the end of the introduction.</li> <li>✓ It lacks improve it</li> <li>✓ Not well structured especially, abstract and materials and methods</li> <li>✓ It is better to write result and discussion separately.</li> <li>✓ References are sufficient but most of them are old please to try use update references starting 2015</li> <li>✓ Generally, please try to incorporate the above comments accordingly.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Simple and clear.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight <i>that part in the manuscript</i> . It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shiferaw Alemu Alayachew</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Wolkite University, Ethiopia</b>