

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_108725
Title of the Manuscript:	SunSync Innovation: Empowering Traditional Solar Flat Plate Collectors with Autonomous Sun-Tracking for Tea Leaf Drying
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This paper discusses the “SunSync Innovation: Empowering Traditional Solar Flat Plate Collectors with Autonomous Sun-Tracking for Tea Leaf Drying”. This paper is suitable for publication in International Journal of Environment and Climate Change, but after the answer on the comments below:</p> <p>1. The title need to be revised. 2. In the abstract section, the aim, the methodology of the study, and the quantitative values of the results should be presented. Please rewrite the abstract section. 3. What in the introduction section, it is necessary to summarize the most recent studies on this subject. The authors can present the current studies given below in the introduction section. 4. The original points must be explained well. 5. Add the physical meaning of the governing parameters to your discussion. 6. This is only experimental study; however, it would be good if they further test a similar system for numerical simulation. 7. Quantify some of the main outcome in the conclusion 8. The main issue of this work is that the references are not up-to-date. The author needs to use the major references with the most recent work in this field.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Fix typos in the entire text and improve the English quality.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rebhi Redha
Department, University & Country	University of Medea, Algeria