

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_108203</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>An evaluation of the use of drills and transplanted paddy cultivation techniques</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. This manuscript could be important for the scientific community, particularly for those focusing on agricultural practices and technologies. It might provide insights into which cultivation technique is more cost-effective and sustainable in the context of paddy cultivation. Researchers, policymakers, and practitioners in agriculture might benefit from the findings of this study to make informed decisions and improve current cultivation practices.</p> <p>2. The current title of the article, "An evaluation of the use of drills and transplanted paddy cultivation techniques," is suitable as it clearly communicates the focus of the study. It informs the reader that the manuscript will evaluate and compare two specific paddy cultivation techniques: drills and transplantation. However, if you want the title to be more concise and to the point, you might consider a title like: "Comparing Drills and Transplanted Paddy Cultivation Techniques: An Economic Evaluation".</p> <p>3. The abstract appears to cover the essential elements: background, methodology, key findings, and conclusions.</p> <p>4. The manuscript appears scientifically correct based on the presented methodology, results, and conclusion.</p> <p>5. The manuscript seems to be well-structured and detailed in its approach.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and recent. I don't have any suggestions for additional reference materials.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The manuscript seems quite suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript could be enhanced by ensuring a clear and logical structure, including labelled sections such as Introduction, Methodology, Results, and Conclusion. Including a detailed methodology and relevant visual aids, such as tables or graphs, would improve clarity and comprehensibility. A concise and comprehensive abstract that succinctly summarizes the key aspects of the study is also essential. Finally, consider a thorough proofreading and editing pass to polish the language and style, ensuring the manuscript meets scholarly communication standards.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Le Trung Hieu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of Economics and Law, Vietnam</b>