Original Research Article

EFFECT OF TILLAGE, CROP RESIDUE MANAGEMENT AND NUTRIENT LEVELS ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF MAIZE (*Zea mays* L.)

ABSTRACT

A field study on "Effect of tillage, crop residue management and nutrient levels on performance of growth and yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.)" was conducted during *rabi* season of 2022 at Maize Research Centre, Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agriculture University, Agricultural Research Institute, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana. The experiment comprised of 12 treatment combinations laid out in a split–plot design with three replications. The main–plot treatments included four different tillage practices: M_1-Conventional tillage (Plough + Cultivator + Rotovator), M_2-Residue incorporation (After 10 days of spreading the haulms, only rotovator was run), M_3- Residue incorporation (After spreading the haulms, microbial consortium was sprayed and after 10 days only rotovator was run) and M_4- Zero-tillage (only microbial consortium was sprayed on the haulms). Sub–plot treatments included three nutrient levels: N_1- 100% RDF (240-80-80 N-P_2O_5-K_2O kg ha^{-1}), N_2: 100% RDN & P and 50% RDK (240-80-40 N-P_2O_5-K_2O kg ha^{-1}), and N_3: 87.5% of RDN, 75% RDP and 75% RDK (210-60-60 N-P_2O_5-K_2O kg ha^{-1}). Results revealed that, among the tillage practices, residue incorporation (M_3) had recorded significantly higher growth attributes like plant height, leaf area, dry matter production and chlorophyll content (SPAD) at 30, 60 DAS and at harvest stages and yield of maize and it was on par with zero-tillage (M_4) whereas all the parameters were significantly lower in conventional tillage (M_1). Among the different nutrient levels, N_1(100% RDF) had shown significantly superior performance in terms of growth attributes and yield of maize and it was on par with N_2 (100% RDN & P and 50% RDK) whereas N_3(87.5% of RDN, 75% RDP and 75% RDK) recorded significantly lower growth attributes and yield of maize .The interaction effect due to tillage and nutrient levels on plant height, leaf area, dry matter production, chlorophyll content (SPAD) at 30, 60 DAS and at harvest and yield was non- significant.

Keywords: - Maize; microbial consortium; nutrient levels; residue incorporation; tillage

UNDER PEER REVIEW

1. INTRODUCTION

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is the third most important cereal crop in India after rice and wheat and is grown in a wide range of environments. It has enormous potential to provide food, feed nutritional security, and qualifies as a potential crop for doubling farmer's income. Maize is a less water-demanding crop and substantial savings in water and power usage could reach up to 90% and 70%, respectively, when compared to paddy cultivation (Maize outlook report April2023).

The area under maize in India accounts for 10.04 M ha with a production and productivity of 33.62 MMT& 3349 kg ha^{-1} respectively and contributed to 9% of the national food basket (Directorate of Economics and Statistics, GOI 2022).

Globally, sustainable management of agricultural waste is a great challenge, especially in developing nations like India with a burgeoning population, production rate and economic growth (Agoramoorthy *et al.,* 2008). India generates more than 500 million tons of crop residues annually (Gupta *et al.,* 2012). Burning of crop residues causes air pollution and leads to loss of soil biota, huge biomass,organic carbon and plant nutrients. Approximately 80– 90% of N, 25% of P, 20% of K and 50% of S present in crop residues are lost in the form of various gaseous and particulate matters, resulting in atmospheric pollution and global warming (Kaur *et al.,* 2019).

Recycling of crop residues in the soil is a promising option for replenishing soil fertility, improving physico-chemical properties and sustaining crop yields (Choudhary *et al.,* 2019). However, additional resources such as water, nutrients and bio-inoculum are required to promote the decomposition of crop residue under in situ decomposition (Thakur *et al.,* 2019). Among the different crop residues legume crops provide sustainability by enriching soil fertility and increasing system productivity (substantial residual effects) and monetary returns (Dhakal *et al.,* 2016).

Maize being an exhaustive crop has very high nutrient demand and its productivity mainly depends upon nutrient management systems. The recent energy crisis, high fertilizer cost and low purchasing power of the farming community have made it necessary to rethink alternatives and to enhance crop yield per unit of applied nutrients by providing a better physical, chemical and microbial environment (Singh *et al.,* 2019).

Incorporation of leguminous (Soybean, cowpea, chickpea etc.), crop residues has been shown to improve the soil's physical properties, such as water-holding capacity, soil permeability etc. and inclusion of leguminous crop residues also increases crop growth and productivity by enhancing the availability of nutrients for the root zone of the succeeding cereals (Maize and sorghum) (Sarkar *et al.,* 2020).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This experiment was conducted at Agricultural Research Institute (ARI), Maize Research Centre, Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agriculture University, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana during *Rabi*, 2022. The experimental site was geographically located at 17° 3' N latitude,78° 39' E longitude and an altitude of 494 m above mean sea level (MSL) and 1 km away from IIMR (Indian Institute of Millets Research). According to Troll's climatic classification, it falls under Semi-Arid Tropical region (SAT). The experimental site was in the Southern Telangana Agro-Climatic Zone. The experiment comprised 12 treatment combinations laid out in asplit–plot design with three replications. The main plot treatments included four different tillage and residue management practices (residue used was soybean haulm): M$_1$-Conventional tillage (Plough + Cultivator + Rotovator), M$_2$- Residue incorporation (After 10 days of spreading the haulms, only rotovator was run), M$_3$- Residue incorporation (After spreading the haulms, microbial consortium was sprayed and after 10 days, only rotovator was run) and M$_4$- Zero-tillage (Only microbial consortium was sprayed on the haulms). A microbial consortium developed by PJTSAU was used which comprises Trichoderma, Fenerocheta and Aspergillus@ 2% spray to the weight of added residue. Subplot treatments included three nutrient levels: N$_1$- 100% RDF (240-80-80 N-P$_2$O$_5$-K$_2$O kg ha^{-1}), N$_2$: 100% RDN & P and 50% RDK (240-80-40 N-P$_2$O$_5$-K$_2$O kg ha^{-1}), and N$_3$: 87.5% of RDN, 75% RDP and 75% RDK (210-60-60 N-P$_2$O$_5$-K$_2$O kg ha^{-1}). Recommended nitrogen was applied to the maize crop in three (3) splits at the time of sowing (basal), knee-high and flowering stages in the form of urea as per treatments. Recommended phosphorus (80kg P$_2$O$_5$ha^{-1}) was applied in a single dose at the time of sowing in the form of SSP as per the treatments and recommended potassium (80kg K$_2$Oha^{-1}) was applied to the maize crop in two (2) splits at the time of sowing (basal) and flowering stages in the form of muriate of potash as per treatments. The soil type of the experimental site was Vertisols. The soil of the experimental site was medium clay loam, slightly alkaline, low in organic carbon and nitrogen, high in available phosphorus, and potassium. The maize hybrid DHM-121 was sown on 15th November 2022 with a seed rate of 20kg ha$^{-1.}$ The spacing used was 60×20 cm. The climate of the experimental region is semi-arid (dry). The weekly mean maximum temperature ranged from 27.4°C to 33.9°C, with an average of 30.8°C, throughout the crop growth period, while the weekly mean minimum temperature ranged from 11.2°C to 18.9°C, with an average of 15.1°C. In

UNDER PEER REVIEW

terms of relative humidity, the weekly mean RH-I (morning) ranged from 74.6% to 97.1%, with an average of 84%, while the RH-II (afternoon) ranged from 17.4% to 63.9%, with an average of 36.6%. Using the USWB Class - A open pan evaporimeter, the weekly mean bright sunshine hours per day ranged from 3.6 to 10.1 hours, with an average of 7.6 hours. Weekly mean evaporation ranged from 2.3 to 5.3 mm per day, with an average of 3.7 mm per day. The wind speed stretched from 2.0 to 4.1 km hr^{-1}. No rainfall was observed during the crop growth period. The effect of tillage and nutrient levels on plant height, leaf area, dry matter accumulation, chlorophyll content (SPAD) at 30, 60 DAS and at harvest stages and grain yield has been recorded.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Growth parameters

1. Plant height (cm)

Data pertaining to plant height (cm) as influenced by tillage and nutrient levels is presented in Table 1. A perusal of the data indicated that the tillage had a significant influence on the plant height at 30, 60 DAS and at harvest stages of maize. Among the treatments, M_3 (residue incorporation along with microbial consortium spray) recorded significantly higher plant height at 30, 60 DAS and at harvest stages (65, 165 and 253cm respectively) and it was on par with M_4 (zero tillage) (63, 160 and 250 respectively). However, significantly lowest plant height was with M_1 (conventional tillage) (56, 150 and 234 respectively). The improvement in plant height of maize with the incorporation of legume crop residues was due to the accumulation of a high amount of nutrients through the addition of residues which was returned to the soil. In addition, spraying of microbial consortium helped in quicker decomposition and mineralization of residues and in turn quicker release of nutrients. As residues have contributed to the high amount of nutrients to the succeeding maize crop the results were visible at later stages also. The present findings are in corroboration with the reports of Egbe and Ali (2010), Ammaji (2014) and Ndiso *et al.* (2018).

Nutrient levels have shown a significant influence on plant height of maize at 30, 60 DAS and at harvest stages. Application of 100% RDF (N_1) recorded significantly highest plant height at 30, 60 DAS and at harvest stages (63, 163 and 250 cm respectively) and it was on par with (N_2) i.e., 100% RDN & P and 50% RDK (61, 158 and 246 cm respectively) whereas significantly lowest plant height was with (N_3) i.e., 87.5% of RDN, 75% RDP and 75% RDK (56, 151 and 234 cm respectively). The increase in plant height was due to adequate availability of NPK attributed to a better nutritional environment for plant growth at the active vegetative stage. This resulted in enhancement in cell multiplication, cell elongation and cell expansion in the plant body which further helped in increasing plant height at all the stages. The results of the present investigation are also in agreement with the findings of Shanti *et al.* (1997), Shivay *et al.* (1999), Singh *et al.* (2003) Bakht *et al.* (2006) and De Vita *et al*. (2007).

The interaction effect due to tillage and nutrient levels on plant height of maize at 30, 60 DAS and at harvest was found non-significant.

2. Leaf area(cm^2)

Data pertaining to leaf area (cm^2) as influenced by tillage and nutrient levels is presented in Table 1.

The experimental findings demonstrated that tillage had a significant influence on the leaf area of maize. Among the various treatment combinations evaluated, M_3 (residue incorporation along with microbial consortium spray) exhibited significantly elevated leaf area at 30, 60 DAS and at harvest stages (101.83, 384.39 and 397.91cm^2 respectively) and this was on par with M_4 (zero tillage) (98.10, 378.62 and 391.14cm^2 respectively). However, the significantly lowest leaf area was with M_1 (conventional tillage) (94.84, 367.97 and 382.72 cm^2 respectively). The better performance with M_3

UNDER PEER REVIEW

treatment was due to the availability of residual soil nutrients by the incorporation of soybean residue and microbial consortium sprayed on the haulms. This helped in improving photosynthetic capacity and the source strength in the source-sink relationship. The increased nutrient availability seemed to prolong the vegetative phase of the plant and also decreased the rate of senescence which led to more leaf area. Similar results were also reported by Uhart and Andrade (1995), Cheruiyot *et al*. (2001), Beary*et al*. (2002) and Ali *et al*. (2015).

Various nutrient levels have exerted significant influence on the leaf area of maize. Application of 100% RDF (N_1) resulted in significantly highest leaf area at 30, 60 DAS and at harvest stages (99.85, 381.97 and 396.38cm^2 respectively) and it was on par with (N_2) i.e., 100% RDN & P and 50% RDK (98.38, 376.08 and 389.75cm^2 respectively), whereas significantly lowest leaf area was recorded with (N_3) i.e., 87.5% of RDN, 75% RDP and 75% RDK (94.95, 367.76 and 380.09cm^2 respectively). An adequate supply of nutrients had helped the maize plants to increase their growth, which in turn put forth more photosynthetic surface thus resulting in the production of a greater number of leaves per plant with a larger area. Increased leaf area with each increment in the level of N application was due to the role of nitrogen in increasing cell division and cell elongation. The positive response of nutrients on leaf area across different soils and regions was also reported by Shanti *et al*. (1997), Patel *et al*. (2006), Bindhani *et al*. (2007), Hokmalipour and Darbandi (2011), Imran *et al.* (2015), Singh *et al*. (2015) and Meena *et al*. (2016).

The interaction effect due to tillage and nutrient levels on leaf area at 30, 60 DAS and at harvest was non-significant.

3. Dry matter production (kg ha^{-1})

Data pertaining to dry matter production (kg ha^{-1}) as influenced by tillage and nutrient levels is presented in Table 2. Examination of the data revealed that tillage exerted a substantial impact on the dry matter production of maize. Within the various experimental treatments, M_3 (residue incorporation along with microbial consortium spray) achieved significantly superior dry matter production at 30, 60 DAS and at harvest stages (565, 3718 and 15396 kg ha^{-1} respectively) and it exhibited comparable results with M_4 (zero tillage) (553, 3669 and 14913kg ha^{-1} respectively). Conversely, treatment M_1 (conventional tillage) exhibited markedly lower dry matter production (494, 3390and 14055kg ha^{-1}respectively). The higher dry matter accumulation in maize with preceding soybean was attributed to the biological fixation of nitrogen by the soybean which resulted in a continuous supply of nitrogen during mineralization of soybean crop residues. Also, spraying of microbial consortium helped in quicker decomposition and mineralization of soybean residues and release of nutrients to the succeeding maize which enhanced the dry matter production when compared to residue removed plots. Similar findings were observed by Rahim *et al*. (1994), Cheruiyot *et al.* (2001), Beary *et al*. (2002), Sangakkara *et al.* (2003), Nyalemegba and Osakpa (2012), Tamiru Hirpa (2013) and Shah *et al.* (2014).

Application of 100% RDF (N_1) recorded significantly highest dry matter production at 30, 60 DAS and at harvest stages (559, 3708 and 15272kg ha^{-1} respectively) and it was on par with (N_2) i.e., 100% RDN & P and 50% RDK (550, 3617 and 15001kg ha^{-1} respectively) whereas significantly lowest dry matter production was with (N_3) i.e., 87.5% of RDN, 75% RDP and 75% RDK (489, 3350 and 13904kg ha^{-1} respectively). Higher nutrient doses increase the leaf area which leads to higher rates of photosynthesis and more assimilation of photosynthates, thus increasing dry matter production. Moreover, nitrogen is the constituent of proteins and is also involved in many physiological reactions, thereby, increasing dry matter production. Similar results were reported by Shanti *et al*. (1997), Bangarwa *et al*. (1988), Meena *et al.* (2013), Rekha (2014) and Singh *et al*. (2015).

The interaction effect due to tillage and nutrient levels on dry matter production at 30, 60 DAS and at harvest was non-significant.

4. Chlorophyll content (%) (SPAD)

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Data pertaining to chlorophyll content (%) as influenced by tillage and nutrient levels is presented in Table 2. The experimental results indicated that the chlorophyll content of maize was significantly influenced by tillage. Among the treatments, M$_3$ (residue incorporation along with microbial consortium spray) recorded significantly highest chlorophyll content at 30, 60 DAS and at harvest stages (36.2, 49.7 and 20 % respectively) and it was on par with M$_4$ (zero tillage) (34.7, 497.5 and19.2 % respectively). However, the significantly lowest chlorophyll content was with M$_1$ (conventional tillage) (32.1, 44.5 and 15.1% respectively). Soybean crop has the ability to nodulate and fix atmospheric nitrogen and converts atmospheric nitrogen into a plant–usable form. Incorporation of soybean residue along with microbial consortium spraying enhanced the aeration and better physico-chemical environment in soil by plants had higher uptake of all essential nutrients particularly those required for chlorophyll synthesis. Similar results of higher chlorophyll content were reported by Beary*et al*. (2002), Gholizadeh *et al*. (2009), Hokmalipour and Darbandi (2011), Rekha (2014), Singh *et al.* (2015), Meena *et al.* (2016) and Xie *et al*. (2017).

Diverse nutrient levels have exhibited a pronounced impact on the chlorophyll content in maize. Application of 100% RDF (N$_1$) exhibited a significant increase of chlorophyll content at 30, 60 DAS and at harvest stages (35.8, 49.1 and 19.8 % respectively) and it was on par with (N$_2$) i.e., 100% RDN & P and 50% RDK (34.7, 47.9 and 19.1 % respectively). In contrast, the chlorophyll content was notably lower with treatment (N$_3$) i.e., 87.5% of RDN, 75% RDP and 75% RDK (31.6, 43.9 and 14.7 % respectively). Increased chlorophyll content with increasing nutrients, especially nitrogen was because of the direct involvement of nitrogen as a constituent of protein and chlorophyll molecules. Nitrogen is the major constituent of chlorophyll therefore increases in nitrogen availability lead to an increase in chlorophyll content. Similar results of higher chlorophyll content were reported by Subramanian and Janardan, (1992), Hokmalipour and Darbandi (2011), Baharvand *et al*. (2014), Rekha (2014), Singh *et al.* (2015) and Meena *et al.* (2016).

The interaction effect due to tillage and nutrient levels on chlorophyll content at 30, 60 DAS and at harvest was non-significant.

5. Grain yield (kg ha^{-1})

Data pertaining to grain yield (kg ha^{-1}) as influenced by tillage and nutrient levels is presented in Table 2. The experimental findings revealed that tillage exerted a significant impact on the grain yield of maize. Within the various treatments, M$_3$ (residue incorporation along with microbial consortium spray) recorded significantly the highest grain yield (9239 kg ha^{-1}) but, it was on par with M$_4$ (zero tillage) (8896 kg ha^{-1}). However, the significantly lowest grain yield was with M$_1$ (conventional tillage) (8340 kg ha^{-1}). Incorporation of the residues after picking the economic yield of soybean interacted positively with the soil and the release of nutrients enabled the maize to get ensured and continuous nutrient supply during the entire crop growth period. In addition, spraying of microbial consortium helped in quicker decomposition and mineralization of residues which had coincided with the nutrient-demanding growth stages of succeeding maize. This contributed to the better growth, yield attributes and ultimately the grain yield of maize over no residue incorporation. The present findings are with the results reported by McDonalgh *et al.* (1993), Bahl and Pasricha (2000), Cheruiyot *et al.* (2001), Kouyate *et al*. (2001), Beary*et al*. (2002), Mubarak et al. (2002), Sidhu et al. (2003), Okito et al. (2004), Sakonnakhon et al. (2005), Shafi *et al.* (2007), Adeboye (2008), Okonofua *et al*. (2008), Lelei *et al.* (2009), Egbe and Ali (2010), Amusan *et al*. (2011) and Arif *et al.* (2011), Ammaji (2014), Rajkumara *et al.* (2014) and Shah *et al.* (2014).

Various nutrient levels have exhibited a notable influence on the grain yield of maize at the time of harvest. Application of 100% RDF (N$_1$) recorded significantly highest grain yield (9140 kg ha^{-1}) and it was on par with (N$_2$) i.e., 100% RDN & P and 50% RDK (8930 kg ha^{-1}) whereas significantly lowest grain yield was with (N$_3$) 87.5% of RDN, 75% RDP and 75% RDK (8193kg ha^{-1}). The favorable response and advantageous outcomes resulting from the increased nutrient application on grain yield can be attributed to the enhanced availability of essential nutrients necessary for crop growth. This reflected in overall improvement in crop growth in terms of more leaf area and dry matter which

UNDER PEER REVIEW

helped in the preparation of more photosynthates and translocated them to the sink. In addition to these, increasing the level of fertilization improves the cation exchange capacity of plant roots and thus makes them more efficient in absorbing nutrient ions. All these reflected in an increase in various yield attributes which finally reflected in higher grain yield. The present findings are with the results reported by Chauhan (2010), Khan *et al.* (2011), Raskar *et al.* (2012), Meena *et al.* (2013), Imran *et al.* (2015), Singh *et al.* (2015), Meena *et al.* (2016) and Sindhi *et al.* (2016).

The interaction effect due to tillage and nutrient levels on grain yield (kg ha^{-1}) of maize at harvest was found non-significant.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 1 Plant height and leaf area of maize at different intervals as influenced by tillage and nutrient levels.

Treatments	**Plant Height (cm)**			**Leaf Area (cm^2)**		
	30 DAS	**60 DAS**	**At harvest**	**30 DAS**	**60 DAS**	**At harvest**
Main plots: **Tillage**						
M$_1$: Conventional tillage	56	150	234	94.84	367.97	382.72
M$_2$: Residue incorporation	57	153	236	96.14	370.1	383.19
M$_3$: Residue incorporation (Residue incorporation along with microbial consortium spray)	65	165	253	101.83	384.39	397.91
M$_4$: Zero-tillage	63	160	250	98.1	378.62	391.14
SE.m ±	1.29	2.32	4.05	1.26	3.58	3.82
CD (p=0.05)	4	8	12	4.34	12.39	13.2
Sub plots: **Nutrient levels**						
N$_1$: 100% RDF	63	163	250	99.85	381.97	396.38
N$_2$: 100% RDN & P and 50% RDK	61	158	246	98.38	376.08	389.75
N$_3$: 87.5% of RDN, 75% RDP and 75% RDK	56	151	234	94.95	367.76	380.09
SE.m ±	2	3.04	4.33	1.4	4.5	4.38
CD (p=0.05)	5	9	12	4.17	13.39	13.01
Interaction						
Nutrient levels at same level of tillage						
SE.m ±	5.65	9.49	13.23	4.25	12.36	12.79
CD (p=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Tillage at same level of nutrient levels						
SE.m ±	7.32	12.11	16.99	5.01	14.25	16.31
CD (p=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 2 Dry matter production, chlorophyll content and grain yield of maize at different intervals as influenced by tillage and nutrient levels.

Treatments	**Dry matter (kg ha^{-1})**			**Chlorophyll content (%) (SPAD)**			**Grain yield (Kg ha^{-1})**
	30 DAS	**60 DAS**	**At harvest**	**30 DAS**	**60 DAS**	**At harvest**	
Main plots: **Tillage**							
M$_1$: Conventional tillage	494	3390	14055	32.1	44.5	15.1	8340
M$_2$: Residue incorporation	519	3458	14538	33.2	46	17	8542
M$_3$: Residue incorporation (Residue incorporation along with microbial consortium spray)	565	3718	15396	36.2	49.7	20	9239
M$_4$: Zero-tillage	553	3669	14913	34.7	47.5	19.2	8896
SE.m ±	9.31	70.32	212.57	0.57	0.71	0.3	166.28
CD (p=0.05)	32	243	735	1.9	2.4	1.0	575
Sub plots: **Nutrient levels**							
N$_1$: 100% RDF	559	3708	15272	35.8	49.1	19.8	9140
N$_2$: 100% RDN & P and 50% RDK	550	3617	15001	34.7	47.9	19.1	8930
N$_3$: 87.5% of RDN, 75% RDP and 75% RDK	489	3350	13904	31.6	43.9	14.7	8193
SE.m ±	12.25	109.28	279.15	0.84	1.2	0.37	195.44
CD (p=0.05)	36	324	830	2.5	3.5	1.1	581
Interaction							
Nutrient levels at same level of tillage							
SE.m ±	44.09	269.66	872.22	2.65	3.41	2.63	592.72
CD (p=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Tillage at same level of nutrient levels							
SE.m ±	76.14	369.97	1438.85	4.3	5.44	5.52	986.91
CD (p=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

UNDER PEER REVIEW

CONCLUSION

Tillage practices and nutrient levels have shown a significant impact on the growth and yield of maize. Residue incorporation with the microbial consortium (M_3) and 100% recommended dose of fertilizer (N_1) to maize consistently leads to significantly taller plants, larger leaf area, higher dry matter production, increased chlorophyll content and higher grain yield. Conversely, conventional tillage (M_1) and reduced nutrient levels (N_3) resulted in less favourable outcomes. These findings underscore the importance of sustainable practices and proper nutrient management in optimizing maize crop yields and quality. Implementing residue incorporation and balanced nutrient application holds promise for improving maize cultivation and food security, though further research is needed for broader validation.

References

Adeboye, M. K. A. (2008). Nitrogen fertilizer replacement value of legumes with residues incorporated in the guinea savanna zone of Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Soil and Environmental Research*, 8.

Agoramoorthy, G. 2008. Can India meet the increasing food demand by 2020. *Futures*, *40*(5): 503-506.

Ali, W., Jan, A., Hassan, A., Abbas, A., Hussain, A., Ali, M., Zuhair, S. A. and Hussain, A. (2015). Residual effect of preceding legumes and nitrogen levels on subsequent maize. *International Journal of Agronomy and Agricultural Research*, 7 (1): 78-85.

Ammaji, P. (2014). Productivity of maize as affected by crop residue incorporation and nitrogen levels in legume–cereal sequence. (Doctoral Thesis, Acharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University, Hyderabad, India).

Amusan, A. O., Adetunji, M. T., Azeez, J. O. &Bodunde, J. G. (2011). Effect of integrated use of legume residue, poultry manure and inorganic fertilizers on maize yield, nutrient uptake and soil properties. *Nutrient cycling in Agri Ecosystems*, 90 (3): 321-330.

Arif, M., Jan, M. T., Khan, M. J., Saeed, M., Munir, I., Ziauddin, Akbar, H., Shahensha& Khan M. Z. (2011). Effect of cropping system and residue management on maize. *Pakistan Journal of Botany*, 43 (2): 915-920.

Baharvand ZA, Zahedi H, Rafiee M. Effect of Vermicompost and Chemical Fertilizers on Growth Parameters of three Corn Cultivars. Journal of Applied Science and Agriculture. 2014; 9(9): 22-26.

Bahl, G. S. & Pasricha, N. S. (2000). N-utilization by maize (Zea mays L.) as influenced by crop rotation and field pea (Pisum sativum L.) residue management! Soil Use and Management. 16: 230-231.

Bakht, J., Ahmed, S., Tariq, M., Akber, H. and Shafi, M. (2006). Response of maize to planting methods and fertilizer N. *Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science*, 1 (3): 8-14.

Bangarwa A S, Kairan M S and Singh K P (1988). Effect of plant density, levels and proportion of nitrogen fertilizers on growth, yield and yield components. *Indian J Agric Sci* 58: 854-56.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Beary, T.P., Boopathy, R. and Templet, P., 2002. Accelerated decomposition of sugarcane crop residue using a fungal–bacterial consortium. *International biodeterioration & biodegradation*, *50*(1): 41-46.

Bindhani, A., Barik, K. C., Garnayak, L. M. and Mahapatra, P. K. (2007). Nitrogen management in baby corn (*Zea mays* L.). *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 52 (2): 135-138.

Chauhan, N. M. (2010). Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and economics of sweet corn (Zea mays L). *Journal of Progressive Agriculture*, 1 (1): 8-10.

Cheruiyot, E. K., Mumera, L. M., Nakhone, L. N. and Mwonga, S. M. (2001). Rotational effects of grain legumes on maize performance in the rift valley highlands of Kenya. *African Crop Science Journal*, 9 (4): 667- 676.

Choudhary, R.L and Behera, U.K. 2019. Conservation agricultural and nitrogen management practices in maize-wheat cropping system: Effect on productivity, nutrient uptake and profitability of maize. *Indian Journal of Soil Conservation*. 47(3): 286-293.

De Vita P, Di Paolo E, Fecondo G, Di Fonzo N and Pisante M. 2007. No tillage and conventional tillage effects on durum wheat yield, grain quality and soil moisture content in southern Italy. *Soil and Tillage Research* (92): 69–78.

Dhakal, Y., Meena, R.S., Kumar, S. 2016. Effect of INM on nodulation, yield, quality and available nutrient status in soil after harvest of green gram. *Legume Research*. 39(4): 590–594.

Directorate of Economics and Statistics, GOI 2022.

Egbe, O. M. and Ali, A. (2010). Influence of soil incorporation of common food legume stover on yield of maize in sandy soils of moist savanna Woodland of Nigeria. *Agriculture and Biology Journal of North America*, 1 (2): 156-162.

Gholizadeh A, Saberioon M, Boruvka L, Wayayok A, Soom MAM (2017). Leaf chlorophyll and nitrogen dynamics and their relationship to lowland rice yield for site-specific paddy management. Inf Process Agric 4: 259–268.

Gupta, H.S. and Dadlani, M. 2012. Crop residues management with conservation agriculture: Potential, constraints and policy needs. *New Delhi: Indian Agricultural Research Institute*.

Hokmalipour, S. and Darbandi, M. H. (2011). Effects of nitrogen fertilizer on chlorophyll content and other leaf indicate in three cultivars of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 15 (12): 1780-1785.

Imran, S., Arif, M., Khan, A., Khan, M. A., Shah, W. & Latif, A. (2015). Effect of nitrogen levels and plant population on yield and yield components of maize. *Advances in Crop Science and Technology*, 3 (2): 1-7.

Kaur, K., Kaur, P. and Sharma, S. 2019. Management of crop residue through various techniques. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, *8*(1S): 618-620.

Khan, H. Z., Iqbal, S., Iqbal, A., Akbar, N. & Jones, D. L. (2011). Response of maize (Zea mays. L) varieties to different levels of nitrogen. *Crop & Environment*, 2(2): 15-19.

Kouyate, Z., K., Franzluebbers, A. S., Juo, R. & Hossner, L. (2001). Tillage, crop residue, legume rotation and green manure effects on sorghum and millet yields in the semi-arid tropics of Mali. *Plant and Soil*, 225: 141- 151.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Lelei, J. J., Onwonga, R. N. & Freyer, B. (2009). Organic based nutrient management strategies: effect on soil nutrient availability and maize (Zea mays L.) performance in Njoro, Kenya. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 4 (2): 92-99.

Maize Outlook report – April 2023.

McDonagh, J. F., Toomsan, B., Limpinuntana, V. & Giller, K. E. (1993). Estimates of the residual nitrogen benefit of groundnut to maize in Northeast Thailand. Plant and Soil, 154 (2): 267–277.

Meena, S. K., Mundra, S. L. and Mali, H. (2016). Effect of nitrogen and zinc fertilization on quality and productivity of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Agriculture Research Journal*, 53 (2): 196-199.

Meena, S. K., Mundra, S. L. and Singh, P. (2013). Response of maize to nitrogen and zinc fertilization. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 58 (1): 127-128.

Mubarak, A. R., Rosenani, A. B., Anuar, A. R. & Zauyah, S. D. (2002). Effect of incorporation of crop residues on a maize groundnut sequence in the humid tropics I. yield and nutrient uptake. *Journal of plant nutrition*, 26 (9): 1841-1858.

Ndiso, J. B., Chemining’wa, G. N., Olubayo, F. M. and Saha, H. M. (2018). Effect of cowpea crop residue management on soil moisture content, canopy temperature, growth and yield of maize-cowpea intercrops. *International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Bioresearch*, 3 (5): 231-250.

Nyalemegbe, K.K and Osakpa, T.Y. 2012. Rotation of maize with some leguminous food crops for sustainable production on the vertisols of the Accra plains of Ghana. *West Africa Journal of Applied Ecology*. 20 (2): 33-40.

Okito, A., Alves, B. J. R., Urquiaga, S. & Boddey, R. M. (2004). Nitrogen fixation by groundnut and velvet bean and residual benefit to a subsequent maize crop. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira*, 39 (12): 1183-1190.

Okonofua, B. U., Ogboghookor, I. A., Chokor, J. U. &Agbi, I. (2008). The effect of application of Cajannscajan biomass to the soil on the yield of Dioscorea rotunda inter cropped with maize (Zea mays). *Legume Research*, 31 (1): 77-78.

Patel, J. B., Patel, V. J. and Patel, J. R. (2006). Influence of different methods of irrigation and nitrogen levels on crop growth rate and yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Indian Journal of Crop Science*, 1(1-2): 175-177.

Rahim, A. A., Shamsuddin, Z. and Yaacob, O. (1994). Contribution of nitrogen to growth of maize in legume-maize rotation on limited ultisols. *Pertanika Journal of Tropical Agricultural Science*, 17(3): 173-184.

Rajkumara, S., Gundlur, S. S., Neelakanth, J. K. & Ashoka, P. (2014). Impact of irrigation and crop residue management on maize (Zea mays)– chickpea (Cicer arietinum) sequence under no tillage conditions. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 84 (1): 43–48.

Raskar, S. S., Sonani, V. V. & Shelke, A. V. (2012). Effects of different levels of nitrogen, phosphorus and zinc on yield and yield attributes of maize (Zea mays L.). *Advance Research Journal of Crop improvement*, 3 (2): 126-128.

Rekha, M. S. (2014). Nitrogen management of summer maize (*Zea mays* L.) as influenced by *rabi*

UNDER PEER REVIEW

legumes (Doctoral thesis, Acharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University, Hyderabad, India)

Sakonnakhon, S. P. N., Toomsan, B., Cadisch, G., Baggs, E. M., Vityakon, P., Limpinuntana, V., Jogioy, S. &Pannothai, A. (2005). Dry season groundnut stover management practices determine nitrogen cycling efficiency and subsequent maize yields. *Plant and soil*, (272): 183-199.

Sangakkara, U. R., Richer, W., Schneider, M. K. and Stamp, P. (2003). Impact of inter cropping beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) and sunhemp (*Crotalaria juncea* L.) on growth yields and nitrogen uptake of maize (*Zea mays* L.) grown in the humid tropics during the major rainy season. *Maydica*, 48(3): 233-238.

Sarkar, S., Skalicky, M., Hossain, A., Brestic, M., Saha, S., Garai, S., Ray, K. and Brahmachari, K. 2020. Management of crop residues for improving input use efficiency and agricultural sustainability*. Sustainability*, 12(23): 9808.

Shafi, M., Bakht, J., Jan M. T. & Shah, Z. (2007). Soil C and N dynamics and maize (Zea mays L) yield as affected by cropping systems and residue management in North- Western Pakistan. *Soil & Tillage Research,* 94: 520-529.

Shah, F., Jan, M. T., Shah, T., Wu, W., Khan, Z. H., Iqbal, A., Islam, B., Ahmad, A. and Jamal, Y. (2014). Impact of crop residue, fertilizer and their placement technique on yield and related traits of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science*, 9 (7): 233-239.

Shanti, K., Rao, V. P., Reddy, M. R., Reddy, M. S. and Sharma, P. S. (1997). Response of maize (*Zea mays* L.) hybrid and composite to different levels of nitrogen. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 67(9): 424-425.

Shivay, Y. S., Singh, R. P. and Pandey, C. S. (1999). Response of nitrogen in maize (*Zea mays* L.) based intercropping system*. Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 44 (2): 261-266.

Sidhu, A. S., Sekhon, N. K., Thind, S. S. & Hira, G. S. (2003). Residue management for sustainable crop production in summer moong-maize-wheat sequence. *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture*, 22(2): 43-54.

Singh, P. K., Kumar, S., Kumar, S. and Kumar, A. (2015). Effect of planting/ irrigation techniques and nitrogen levels on growth, total chlorophyll, development, yield, and quality of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Indian Journal of Agriculture Research*, 49 (2): 148-153.

Singh, R. N., Sautaliya, R., Ghatak, R. and Sarangi, S. K. (2003). Effect of higher application of nitrogen and potassium over recommended level on growth, yield and yield attributes of late sown winter maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Crop Research Hisar*, 26 (1): 71-74.

Singh, R.K., Sharma, G.K., Kumar, P., Singh, S.K. and Singh, R. (2019). Effect of crop residues management on soil properties and crop productivity of rice-wheat system in Inceptisols of Seemanchal region of Bihar. *Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology*, *37*(6): 1-6.

Subramanian, V.K. and Janardhanan, K. (1992). Effect of cytozyme on seed germination, early seedling growth and chloroplast pigments content in certain pulse crops. *Madras Agricultural Journal*. 79 (1): 9-11.

Tamiru Hirpa. 2013. Effect of stage at termination of legume green manures on soil organic carbon, yield and economic performance of subsequent maize crop. *International Journal of Current*

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Research and Academic Review. 1(2): 84-101.

Thakur, J.K., Prajapathi, S., Mandal, A., Manna, M.C and Somasundaram, J. 2019. Crop residue burning consequences on soil microbes. *Harit Dhara*. 2(2).

Uhart S A and Andrade F H (1995) Nitrogen deficiency in maize- effects on crop growth, development, dry matter partitioning and kernel set. *Crop Sci* (35): 1376-83.

Xie Z, He Y, Tu S, Xu C, Liu G, Wang H, Cao W, Liu H (2017) Chinese milk vetch improves plant growth, development and 15N recovery in the rice-based rotation system of South China. *Sci Rep* 7:3577.

UNDER PEER REVIEW