

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_108182
Title of the Manuscript:	Fixed Point Results on Interval-Valued Fuzzy Metric Space using notation of Pairwise Compatible Maps and Occasionally Weakly Compatible Maps with Application
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Authors have presented fixed point results in interval valued fuzzy metric space that can pave a path to further research in the area.</p> <p>2. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>3. <b>Abstract needs to be elaborated.</b></p> <p>4. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>5. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>6. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>Hope you are doing well. I have reviewed the said article and my findings are as below.</p> <p>1. Approach for work seems good but still motivation for work must be elaborated.</p> <p>2. There are a lot of typos and grammar mistakes that need to be removed before publication. I have pointed out some such mistakes in the attached pdf file.</p> <p>3. Abstract and Conclusion must be improved depicting the significance of work being done.</p> <p>4. Section 5 is missing.</p> <p>5. Results being presented seems fine.</p> <p>I suggest manuscript must be improved before publication.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>There are many typos and grammar mistakes in the article which needs to be removed before publication.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Article needs improvements before submission. Motivation for the work must be elaborated.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Lariab Shahid</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>International Islamic University, Pakistan</b>