

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_108502
Title of the Manuscript:	Diagnosis of acute bacterial meningitis by PCR and the problem of isolating the causative bacterial agents by culture in Burkina Faso.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Very important</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No</p> <p>Need more references in discussion.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Dear authors, congratulations on the article. I found it original and very important from an epidemiological point of view since there is an epidemic in Burkina Farso. I think the article tells you. potential for publication if you follow some recommendations.</p> <p>1- The article lacks better structure and understanding from the authors. I leave a website on how to write an article <a href="https://www.jclinepi.com/content/jce-Writing-Tips-Series">https://www.jclinepi.com/content/jce-Writing-Tips-Series</a>.</p> <p>2- there is a lack of data on statistical analysis.</p> <p>3- there is an absence of issues related to ethics.</p> <p>4- the discussion needs to be more in-depth as the authors have a lot of results to show.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Karen Cordovil</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Brazil</b>