

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_108303
Title of the Manuscript:	Agronomic efficiency of hairy woodrose ( <i>Merremia aegyptia</i> L.) cattle manure mixed with cattle manure in the productivity of coriander cultivars in the semi-arid region of Brazil.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. I reviewed the article entitled "Agronomic efficiency of hairy woodrose (<i>Merremia aegyptia</i> L.) cattle manure mixed with cattle manure in the productivity of coriander cultivars in the semi-arid region of Brazil", which is interesting, relevant and contains some novelty. I had no problem reviewing this article as I believe the authors have done the research that led to some results to add to the existing body of knowledge on the subject. More importantly, it will definitely guide further research in this field to increase coriander yield and improve soil fertility.</p> <p>2. The title of the article needs correction, it is too long and unclear, and the phrase cattle manure is used twice. Alternative title: "Agronomic effectiveness of a mixture of fertilizers of vegetable (hairy rose hip) and animal (cattle manure) origin on the productivity of coriander varieties in the semi-arid region of Brazil".</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The structure of the manuscript is appropriate and contains the necessary sections.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The list of used literary sources is sufficient and contains new references.</p> <p>7. It is necessary to indicate the period and years during which the research was conducted. It is clear from the above research results and conclusions that these are data for one year. How appropriate is it to highlight the results of one-year research, or is it better to conduct the research for another year. And then draw conclusions.</p> <p>8. The purpose of the study needs correction and editing.</p> <p>9. It is appropriate to indicate on which types of soils the research was conducted. It is also necessary to indicate the physico-chemical and agrochemical characteristics of the soil that were before the start of the experiment.</p> <p>10. The article also lacks links to the given graphs. Each graph (figure) should be referenced after its first mention in the text.</p> <p>11. It is necessary to correct the conclusion, because it is too general. In the conclusion, it is necessary to expand the overview of the obtained research results.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language of the article is suitable for scientific communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>In my opinion, the article deserves to be published after revision.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Olifir Yurii</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute of Agriculture of Carpathian Region, National Academy of Agrarian Sciences, Ukraine</b>