

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_107459
Title of the Manuscript:	The Contribution of Innovation Spaces Processes on The Performance of Early-Stage Enterprises in Iringa-Tanzania
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. "The Contribution of Innovation Spaces Processes on The Performance of Early-Stage Enterprises in Iringa-Tanzania" –this topic is very innovative and important for scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is also suitable on the performance of early-stage enterprises in Iringa-Tanzania prospective.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive and but not perfectly organized. But need some grammatical correction.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate and perfectly organized.</p> <p>5. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct. Need an extra paragraph for total findings of the research paper. Please justify.</p> <p>6. The number of references is 53 which is sufficient for any journal paper. Please add some more references if required. Please reshape the full reference as required for any academic journal.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>-Need to check grammatical and sentence structure before the final submission</p> <p>-In figure 1, 2, and 3 the front size of the text is very small cannot understandable. Please increase front size of all texts of the figure-1, 2, and 3 in this study.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>-Summary of all findings, results and conclusion part are not clear enough for submitting this paper. Please rewrite before final submission.</p> <p>-Add a section named summary of all findings if possible. If not explain and justify</p> <p>-What is the findings from all literature review? Explain and Justify.</p> <p>- Please rewrite the keywords. If not, justify. Keywords should be five to seven words. Please don't use and in keywords section.</p> <p>Keywords: crowdsourcing, ideation, and business plan competitions</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Albina Amin
Department, University & Country	Bangladesh University of Professionals, Bangladesh