

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_107996
Title of the Manuscript:	Opinions of B.Ed Students in the Cuddalore district of Tamil Nadu about e-learning Materials for the Subject of Understanding Disciplines and School Subjects
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Here, my comments:</p> <p>The topic researched is very important for scientific community. The author has done a deep search of the theoretical framework. Furthermore, the order is correct, it starts from the general information to particular information.</p> <p>There is no the affirmative hypothesis. Why independent and dependent variables? This research has scientific questions. I believe this study is both quantitative and qualitative due to it requests opinions from the students.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The language is correct.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Review the type of letters.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	María Luisa Flores Urpe
Department, University & Country	National University of San Marcos, Peru