

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Food Science Journal |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AFSJ_108419 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Proximate, micronutrient compositions and shelf life of juice and concentrate of hog plum (<i>Spondias mombi</i>), a wild fruit in Nigeria |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This article provides important information regarding on the Proximate, micronutrient compositions and shelf life of juice and concentrate of hog plum (Spondias mombi), a wild fruit in Nigeria; 2. The title is adequate to the content of the article; 3. The abstract of the article is well written, adequately explains the research setting and issues; 4. The Introduction gives a suitable description of the addressed problem and it shows the significance of the research problem, but is scientifically limited. The methodology used is appropriate to the purpose of the research; The results are interesting and important to researchers in relevant fields of agriculture an food industry, but for the publication of this study, I recommend supplementing it with other studies to support its importance and opportunity; 5. The purpose or goal of the work is within the journal's scope; 6. I recommend the inclusion of a larger number of more recent studies on the subject and their insertion in bibliographic references. <p>However, some minor corrections should be made in order to publish the article. Please, take into account the following recommendations to improve this manuscript:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The general presentation of the manuscript should be reviewed, the extra spaces between words or the lack of spaces between titles, subtitles, tables, figure and text according to the requirements of the journal; - I recommend a revision of the manuscript according to the requirements of the journal (English, technical editing, bibliography, etc.); - I recommend a critical approach to the literature to enable analysis and interpretation of the information, development of an objective viewpoint and the setting of clear objectives; - I recommend inserting the tables on a single page (see tables 1, 2 and 5); - The presentation of the results obtained from this study is very short, with few references to other studies with similar results and I recommend a correction to this problem; - I recommend a more detailed description of the B-vitamin determination protocol; - I recommend that conclusions be formulated highlighting the importance of the results obtained; - I recommend the inclusion of a larger number of more recent studies on the subject and their insertion in bibliographic references and reviewing all bibliographical references and editing them according to journal requirements. <p>Based on the above mentioned, I recommend this paper for publication after performing the suggested corrections</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | <p>I recommend a carefully check of the full manuscript to correct any grammatical or syntax error.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Daniela Stoin |
| Department, University & Country | University of Life Sciences "King Michael I", Romania |