

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_107973</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Evaluation of Daily vehicular traffic flows and noise levels at major junctions in Port Harcourt metropolis, Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES. But it is very long. Needs revision</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>1. The Abstract is very long. It reads as a story rather than as a scientific note. It must be reframed and must highlight the key numerical results. 2. The motivation to conduct this study is missing. It should be detailed towards the end of the Introduction section. 3. The key contributions must be mentioned in point-wise form after the motivation has been detailed. 4. Author(s) could look to replace older references with recent ones; preferably, between the years 2020-2023.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>YES. The article has few grammatical and typo errors. A proof-read during revision should be enough to correct these.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>NA</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sridhar Iyer</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>KLE Technological University, India</b>