

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_108296
Title of the Manuscript:	A review of advances on natural dye sensitized solar cells (NDSSCs)
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes; Reference 34 & 58 are not quoted inside the text.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the abstract, it is given as, "It was observed that there was variations in the recorded DSSCs performances" and it can be altered as "It was observed that there were variations in the recorded DSSCs performances". 2. In the introduction, it is stated that, "For decades, thin film and silicon-based solar cells are been utilized for solar energy applications due to their high efficiency and stability" and this can be changed as "For decades, thin film and silicon-based solar cells have been utilized for solar energy applications due to their high efficiency and stability". 3. In the introduction, after the citation [5], it is stated that, "Currently, natural dyes is been researched based on their abundance in nature, low cost, simple preparation methods" and this can be changed as "Currently, natural dyes have been researched based on their abundance in nature, low cost, simple preparation methods". 4. The section "4.1. Conclusion" might be renamed "4. Conclusion." 5. The author may have had a conversation about which sort of extraction is best for fruits/flowers/petals, etc. 6. According to the reference [5,] the author mentions that scalable and cheaper solar cells are achievable using NDSSCs, but no discussion of cost analysis is provided. A few sentences on this point will improve the article. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, the grammar might have been improved.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Thangalakshmi
Department, University & Country	School of Marine Engineering and Technology, Indian Maritime University, India