

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_107899
Title of the Manuscript:	Statistical Analysis of Traffic Noise Status at Selected Junctions in Port Harcourt Metropolis
Type of the Article	The materials of the article are applied research.

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The materials of the article may be useful to researchers who are interested in noise problems in cities. This problem is very important in modern conditions. Because The degree of motorization of the population in many cases does not correspond to the existing infrastructure of many modern cities. Accordingly, special solutions are required to protect human health and the environment.</p> <p>2. The content of the article fully corresponds to the title.</p> <p>3. It is recommended to revise the introduction. The abstract must disclose the essence of the study (relevance, methodology, research methods, etc.). Concrete results are already a conclusion.</p> <p>4. Incorrect use of numbering of formulas and sections. Numbering of formulas (after No. 1 immediately No. 4). Formulas No. 2 and 3 are missing. Numbering of sections (two sections with No. 2): Materials and Methods and Results and discussion. The last subsection of the first section No. 2 with number 2.1.</p> <p>5. The article meets the requirements of scientific publication.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	No comments	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aleksandrs Medvedevs
Department, University & Country	Transport and Telecommunication Institute, Latvia