

## Original Research Article

### **Correlation coefficient analysis for seed yield and its component traits in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*, Fabaceae)**

Formatted: Font: Italic

#### **Abstract**

The present investigation was carried out at Chamelty Agriculture Farm of M.S. Swaminathan School of Agriculture, Shoolini University of Biotechnology and Management Sciences, Bajhol, Solan, Himachal Pradesh (H.P.) to examine the quantitative traits of chickpea germplasm and their relationship to seed yield. Seed yield per plant exhibited positive and highly significant correlations with biological yield per plant, harvest index, number of seeds per pod and number of pods per plant. The positive non-significant correlations of seed yield per plant were found with plant height (cm), primary branches per plant, 100-seed weight (g), days to 50 per cent flowering, days to flower initiation and days to maturity. These characters emerged as most important factors in influencing seed yield in chickpea.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

**Key words:** chickpea, germplasm, harvest index, correlations.

#### **Introduction**

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is a self-pollinated crop and belongs to kingdom Plantae, order Fabales, family Fabaceae (=Leguminosae), genus *Cicer* and species *arietinum* with a and contains chromosome number  $2n=16$ . The crop is grown traditionally in semi-arid zones of Middle-East, Pakistan and India. According to Vavilov (1926), there are two primary centers of diversity of chickpea namely South-West Asia and Mediterranean center whereas; Ethiopia was designated as secondary center. Legumes have been cultivated for human consumption since ancient times. The largest producer and consumer of pulse crops worldwide is India. For people all across the world, pulses are the main source of carbs, proteins, fats, vitamins, and minerals (Aykroyd and Doughty, 1982). When combined with cereals, pulses enhance the nutritional value and bioavailability of nutrients. About twice as much protein as wheat and three times as much as rice is found in pulses (22-24%). Pulses are one of the least expensive sources of protein and are crucial for meeting nutritional needs in emerging and underdeveloped nations. Legumes are therefore recommended for glycemic-influenced treatment of diabetes. Broadly, there are two types of chickpeas; large seeded *kabuli* chickpea and small seeded *desi* chickpea. Chickpea is also known as Bengal Gram, Garbanzo bean and *Chana* in different chickpea producing areas of the world.

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

The degree of genetic diversity contained in the breeding material and the degree to which yield and quality traits are passed down from generation to generation are the two key factors that determine how well a crop may be genetically improved. Estimates of genotypic and phenotypic coefficient are required to comprehend how [certain characteristics are affected by the environment](#)  ~~affects certain characteristics~~ (Anusha *et al.*, 2020). The degree of heritable and non-heritable variation in the expression of the traits, as well as their nature and quantity in relation to one another, determined how far plant breeding had advanced. Like other crops, the chickpea had a very complicated character when it comes to seed output. Given that seed yield is the result of multiplicative interactions between different yield components, components rather than yield as a whole were found to be better in understanding the genetic architecture of seed yield.

**Comment [u1]:** It is not cited in References.

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic

### Materials and Methods

The present investigation was carried out at Chamelty Agriculture Farm of M.S. Swaminathan School of Agriculture, Shoolini University of Biotechnology and Management Sciences, Bajhol, Solan (H.P.), [India](#) to examine the quantitative traits of chickpea germplasm and their relationship to seed yield. The collections of fifty-one genotypes of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) germplasm ~~(Table 1)~~ consisting of indigenous as well as two check varieties, constituted the experimental materials for this study. These genotypes exhibiting wide spectrum of variability for various agronomic and morphological characters were obtained and studied in our present investigation. The experimental material was evaluated in Augmented Block Design during *rabi* (2022). The experimental field was divided into 4 blocks of equal size. Fifteen entries including 3 checks were accommodated in each block. Each plot consisted of a single row of 5 m length, inter and intra-row spacing of 30 cm and 10 cm respectively. To avoid the border effects the experimental plot was surrounded from all sides by non-experimental rows. Suitable cultivation practices were followed to raise a good crop. Five competitive plants from each plot were randomly selected for the recording of observations on twelve characters.

### Result and Discussion

The estimate of simple correlation coefficient among 12 characters under study is presented in Table 1. Days to flower initiation showed positive and highly significant correlations with days to 50 per cent flowering. Positive non-significant correlation of days to

**Comment [u2]:** I suppose that you recorded the results of characters analysed. Perhaps would be interesting to know them

flower initiation was noted with days to maturity, secondary branches per plant, harvest index (%), number of pods per plant, plant height (cm), seed yield per plant (g) and 100-seed weight. It showed negative non-significant correlations with primary branches per plant, biological yield per plant (g) and seeds per pod. Days to 50 per cent flowering showed positive and highly significant correlation with flower initiation while it showed positive non-significant correlation with days to maturity, secondary branches per plant, number of pods per plant, seeds per pod, biological yield per plant (g), seed yield per plant (g) harvest index (%), and 100-seed weight (g). Negative significant correlation was exhibited with number of primary branches per plant, whereas, negative non-significant correlations with plant height (cm). Days to maturity showed positive and non-significant correlation with flower initiation, days to 50 per cent flowering, number of seeds per pod, biological yield per plant (g) and seed yield per plant (g). Negative non-significant correlation was exhibited with number of primary branches per plant, secondary branches per plant, number of pods per plant, plant height (cm), harvest index (%) and 100 seed weight (g). Primary branches per plant showed positive and non-significant correlation with number of seeds per pod, plant height (cm), biological yield per plant (g) and seed yield per plant (g). It expressed negative significant correlation with days to 50 per cent flowering whereas, negative non-significant correlation with secondary branches per plant, number of pods per plant, 100-seed weight (g) and harvest index (%). Secondary branches per plant exhibited positive and non-significant correlation with days to flower initiation, days to 50 per cent flowering, number of pods per plant 100- seed weight (g) and seed yield per plant (g). It showed negative non-significant correlations with number of seeds per pod, days to maturity, primary branches per plant, plant height (cm), biological yield per plant (g) and harvest index (%). Pods per plant exhibited positive and highly significant associations with seed yield per plant (g), harvest index (%), biological yield per plant (g) and 100-seed weight (g). It showed positive significant correlation with number of seeds per pod and positive non-significant correlations with plant height, days to flower initiation, days to 50 per cent flowering, and secondary branches per plant, while it showed negative non-significant correlation with days to maturity and primary branches per plant. Seeds per pod showed positive and highly significant correlations with seed yield per plant (g), biological yield per plant (g), harvest index (%) and number of pods per pod. It exhibited positive non-significant correlation with plant height (cm), days to 50 per cent flowering, days to maturity and primary branches per plant. Whereas, negative non-significant correlation was showed with days to flower initiation, secondary branches per plant and 100-seed weight (g). Plant height showed positive correlations with biological yield per plant (g), harvest index (%), seed yield per plant

**Comment [u3]:** This is the explanation of Table 1. It is so heavy to read it and follows. I believe you can create some manner to express by other friendly way

(g), number of seeds per pod, number of pods per plant, primary branches per plant and days to flower initiation. This trait exhibited negative non-significant correlations with days to 50 per cent flowering, days to maturity, secondary branches per plant, and 100-seed weight (g). Biological yield per plant showed positive and highly significant correlations with seed yield per plant (g) and number of seeds per pod, number of pods per plant and harvest index (%). It showed positively non-significant correlations with primary branches per plant, plant height (cm), days to maturity, days to 50 per cent flowering and 100-seed weight (g), and negative non-significant correlation with days to flower initiation, secondary branches per plant. 100-seed weight showed positive and highly significant correlations with number of pods per plant while its positively non-significant correlation was found with biological yield per plant (g), secondary branches per plant, days to flower initiation, days to maturity. It showed positive non-significant correlations with seed yield per plant (g), harvest index (%), days to 50 per cent flowering, days to flower initiation. It exhibited negative non-significant association with plant height (cm), number of seeds per pod, primary branches per plant and days to maturity. Harvest index showed positive and highly significant correlations with seed yield per plant, pods per plant (g), biological yield per plant (g) and number of seeds per pod. It showed positive non-significant association with 100-seed weight (g), plant height (cm), days to flower initiation and days to 50 per cent flowering. It exhibited negative non-significant correlations with days to maturity, primary branches per plant and secondary branches per plant. Seed yield per plant exhibited positive and highly significant correlations with biological yield per plant, harvest index, number of seeds per pod and number of pods per plant. The positive non-significant correlations of seed yield per plant were found with plant height (cm), primary branches per plant, 100-seed weight (g), days to 50 per cent flowering, days to flower initiation and days to maturity. They exhibited negative non-significant associations of seed yield per plant with secondary branches per plant.

Seed yield per plant exhibited positive and highly significant correlations with biological yield per plant, harvest index, number of seeds per pod and number of pods per plant. The positive non-significant correlations of seed yield per plant were found with plant height (cm), primary branches per plant, 100-seeds weight (g), days to 50 per cent flowering, days to flower initiation and days to maturity. They exhibited negative non-significant associations of seed yield per plant with secondary branches per plant (Qurban *et al.*, 2010). These characters emerged as most important factors in influencing seed yield in chickpea. The strong positive correlation of seed yield with the characters mentioned above has also been reported earlier in chickpea (Bhambola *et al.*, 1994, Rao *et al.*, 1994, Ali and Tahir 1999, Singh

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Comment [u4]: similar results were reported by Qurban et al.?

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic, Highlight

Comment [u5]: The parts marked with yellow is because I am thinking If so much authors probed the same Which is the reason to do this experiment and correlation coefficient analysis?

Formatted: Font: Not Italic, Highlight

Comment [u6]: It is not cited in References.

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

*et al.* (2001), Bhaduoria *et al.* (2003), Ciftci *et al.*, 2004, Rao and Rao, 2005, Meena *et al.* 2006, Singh 2007, Singh and Sindhu, 2008, Gohil and Patel (2010), Yadav *et al.*, 2010, Yucel *et al.* (2010), Ojha *et al.*, 2011 and Singh and Shiva-Nath, 2012, Aycicek and Babagil, 2013, Joshi and Yasinet-*et al.*, 2015, Hasan and Deb's, 2017, and Kumar *et al.*, 2018).

Biological yield per plant showed positive and highly significant correlations with seed yield per plant (g) and number of seeds per pod, number of pods per plant and harvest index (%). It showed positively non-significant correlations with primary branches per plant, plant height (cm), days to maturity, days to 50 per cent flowering and 100-seed weight (g), and negative non-significant correlation with days to flower initiation, secondary branches per plant. Such associations of biological yield per plant with the characters mentioned above looks logical as these traits are responsible for increasing either vegetative phase contributing straw yield or seed yield. Furthermore; plant height, primary and secondary branches per plant and pods per plant were also positively associated with each other and these traits add towards higher biomass. The above observations have also been reported by previous workers (Lal *et al.*, 1993, Arora and Kumar 1994, Rao *et al.*, 1994, Naseem *et al.*, 1995, Ali and Tahir 1999, Wahid and Ahmad 1999, Singh *et al.* (2001), Muhammad *et al.*, 2003, Muhammad *et al.*, 2004, Rao and Rao, 2005, Khan *et al.* 2006, Singh 2007, Singh and Sindhu, 2008, Yucel *et al.* (2010), Ojha *et al.*, 2011 and Singh and Shiva-Nath, 2012, Aycicek and Babagil, 2013, Hasan and Deb's, 2017, and Kumar *et al.*, 2018).

Days to flower initiation showed positive and highly significant correlations with days to 50 per cent flowering. Days to 50 per cent flowering showed positive and highly significant correlation with flower initiation while it showed positive non-significant correlation with days to maturity, secondary branches per plant, number of pods per plant, seeds per pod, biological yield per plant (g), seed yield per plant (g) harvest index (%), and 100-seed weight (g). Days to maturity showed positive and non-significant correlation with flower initiation, days to 50 per cent flowering, number of seeds per pod, biological yield per plant (g) and seed yield per plant (g). Pods per plant exhibited positive and highly significant associations with seed yield per plant (g), harvest index (%), biological yield per plant (g) and 100-seed weight (g). Seeds per pod showed positive and highly significant correlations with seed yield per plant (g), biological yield per plant (g), harvest index (%) and number of pods per pod. 100-seed weight showed positive and highly significant correlations with number of pods per plant while its positively non-significant correlation was found with biological yield per plant (g), secondary branches per plant, days to flower initiation, days to maturity. Harvest index showed positive and highly significant correlations with seed yield per

**Comment [u7]:** It is not cited in References.

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic

**Comment [u8]:** It is not cited in References.

**Comment [u9]:** It is not cited in References.

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic, Not Highlight

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic, Highlight

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic

**Comment [u10]:** It is not cited in References. (...)

**Comment [u11]:** It is not cited in References. (...)

**Comment [u12]:** It is not cited in References. (...)

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic, Highlight

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic

**Comment [u13]:** In References appears Yuce (...)

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic, Highlight

**Formatted:** Highlight

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic, Highlight

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic, Highlight

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic, Highlight

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic

plant, pods per plant (g), biological yield per plant (g) and number of seeds per pod. Fortunately, the correlation coefficients between important yield components were positively significant bearing a few exceptions due to weather differences. This reveals a less complex situation in attaining a proper balance between yield and its important components in context of chickpea germplasm used in present study as compared to complexities that often arise due to existence of strong negative and positive associations among various characters in this crop as well as many other crops. Most of the correlation coefficients obtained in present study are broadly in conformity with previous reports in chickpea (Bhaduoria *et al.*, 2003, Muhammad *et al.*, 2004, Rao and Rao, 2005, Yucel *et al.*, 2006, Singh and Sindhu, 2008, Yadav *et al.*, 2010, Ojha *et al.*, 2011 and Singh and Shiva-Nath, 2012, Aycicek and Babagil, 2013, Joshi and Yasine *et al.*, 2015, Hasan and Deb's, 2017, and Kumar *et al.*, 2018, Gulwane *et al.* 2022, Neha *et al.* 2022).

## CONCLUSION

Formatted: Font: Not Italic, Highlight

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic, Not Highlight

Formatted: Font: Not Italic, Not Highlight

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Comment [u14]: I suggest to express the conclusion

UNDER PEER REVIEW



References: [I suggest to control cites with Journal Guidelines for authors.](#)

Ali, S., Maher, A.B. and Anwand Haqqani, A.M. (2002) Exploitation of genetic variability for grain yield improvement in chickpea. *International J. Agric. and Bio.*, 4 (10): 148-149.

Comment [u15]: It is not cited in the text.

Comment [u16]: I believe it is not the abbreviation

Aycicek, M. and Babagil, G.E. (2013) Correlation and path analysis of yield and some yield components in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Journal of Food, Agriculture & Environment*, 11(3/4):748-749.

Aykroyd W.R. and Doughty J. (1982) Legumes in Human Nutrition; FAO Food Nutr., 20, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy (1982), pp. 1-152.

Bhaduoria, P., Chaturvedi, S.K. and Awasthi, N.N.C. (2003) Character association and path coefficient analysis in chickpea. *Ann. Agril. Res.*, 24 (3): 684-685.

Bhambola, S.K., Sood, B.C. and Gartan, S.L. (1994) Contribution of different characters towards seed yield in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Indian J. Genet.*, 54 (4): 381-388.

Gulwane, VP., Deore, G.N. and Thakare, D.S. (2022) Correlation and path analysis studies in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*; 11(12): 1251-1255

Hasan, M.T. and Deb, A.C. (2017) Genetic variability and correlation study in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *International Journal of Sustainable Agricultural Technology*, 9(1):8-11.

Joshi, P. and Yasin, M. (2015) Interrelationship among yield and yield contributing traits in RILs and their parents in Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Indian J. Applied & Pure Bio.*, 30(1):97-100.

Khan, H., Ahmad, S.Q., Ahmad, F., Khan, M.S. and Nayyar, I. (2006) Genetic variability and correlation among quantitative traits in gram. *Sarhad J. Agri.*, 22 (1): 55-59.

Kumar, A., Nath, S., Y., Kumar, A. and Kumar, J. (2018) Correlation and path coefficient analysis for various quantitative in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and phytochemistry*, (SPI): 2695-2699.

Muhammad, A., Bakhsh, A. and Abdul, G. (2004) Path coefficient analysis in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Pakistan J. Botany*, 36 (1): 75-81.

~~Muhammad, A., Bakhsh, A. and Abdul, G. (2004) Path coefficient analysis in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Pakistan J. Botany*, 36 (1): 75-81.~~

Muhammad, A., Bakhsh, A., Zubair, M. and Ghaffor, A. (2003) Genetic variability and correlation studies in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Pakistan J. Botany*, 35 (4): 605-611

Naseem, B.A., Lehman, A. and Iqbal, T. (1995) Evaluation of Kabuli chickpea germplasm. *International Chickpea and Pigeon pea Newsletter No.*,2 (1): 13-14.

Neha, S. N., Tarkeshwar and Govind M. (2022) Analysis of Correlation and Path Coefficient for Grain yield and its Attributing Traits in Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under Timely Sown conditions. *Biological Forum – An International Journal* 14(2): 926-929.

Ojha, Vinay S., Shiva N. and Singh, R. (2011) Correlation and Path analysis in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Progressive Research.*, 6 (1):66-68.

~~Ojha, Vinay S., Shiva N. and Singh, R. (2011) Correlation and Path analysis in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Progressive Research.*, 6 (1):66-68.~~

Qurban, A., Muhammad, A., Jehanzeb, and Farooq, Muhammad, S. (2010). Genetic variability and trait association in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, 1 (3): 328-333.

Rao, C.M. and Rao, Y.K. (2005) Association and path analysis under four environments in chickpea. *Legume Res.*, 28 (4): 272-275.

~~Rao, C.M. and Rao, Y.K. (2005) Association and path analysis under four environments in chickpea. *Legume Res.*, 28 (4): 272-275.~~

Rao, S.S., Sinha, R. and Das, G.S. (1994) Genetic variability, heritability, expected genetic advance and correlation studies in chickpea. *Indian J. Pulses Res.*, 7 (1): 25-27.

Singh, A. P. and Shiva, N. (2012) Genetic diversity among the germplasm for selection of parents for hybridization programme in chickpea. *Progressive Research*, 7 (2): 256-258.

Singh, A., and Sindhu, J.S. (2008) Correlation and path analysis in chickpea under different environments. *J. Food Legumes*, 21(2): 145-148.

Singh, N.P., Krishna, R. and Kumar, R. (2001) An assay of effects of different traits on chickpea grain yield. *Ann. Agril. Res.*, 22 (4): 564-569.

Singh, S.P. (2007). Correlation and Path coefficient analysis in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *International J. Plant Sci., Muzaffarnagar*, 2 (1): 1-4.

Vavilov, N.I. (1926) Studies on the origins of cultivated plants. *Bull. Appl. Plant Breed.*, 16: 1-245.

Wahid, M.A. and Ahmad, R. (1999) Genetic variability, correlation studies and their implication in selection on high yielding genotypes in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Sarhad. J. Agril.*, 15 (1): 25-28.

Yadav, A. K., Mishra, S. B., Singh, S.S. and Madhuri, A. (2010) Character association and genetic divergence study in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Environment and Ecology*, 28 (2B): 1276-1280.

Yucel, D.O., Aularsal, A.E. and Yucel, C. (2006) Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis of yield and yield components in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Turkish J. Agril. and Forestry*, 30 (3): 183-188.

Yucel, Ozveren, D. and Anlarsal, D.E. (2010.) Determination of selection criteria with path coefficient analysis in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) breeding. *Bulg. J. Agric. Sci.*, 16: 42-48.

UNDER PEER REVIEW