

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_100660
Title of the Manuscript:	Availability of raw material for wood based cricket bat industry in Kashmir Valley
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>I read your paper with interest; I believe that your paper deals with a potentially important and timely topic. I do hope you will find the comments helpful.</p> <p>1. This study is important to a wide cross-section of the scientific community. 2. The title of the study is good and appropriate. 3. The abstract is comprehensive but insufficient. So, the abstract needs more expansion, please add the background of the study and a conclusion to the abstract. 4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate. 5. Yes, manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. REFERENCE - Very few references (10 Ref.). The authors seem to disregard or neglect some important results that have been recently achieved in this specific field. - Ref: Islam MA, Bhat GM, Malik AR, Rather TA and Sofi FM. Willow industries in Kashmir valley: Present dynamics and future prospects. Rashtriya Krishi. 201; 12(8): 79-83. (see year of publication). - Most of the references are old, you need to include at least five recent references (less than five years).</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language is good, there are few errors.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>METHODOLOGY Author(s) say nothing about the questionnaire tests prior to the main research, data collection procedure nor do they justify it. This has to be largely improved. Indicate the period and place of distribution of the questionnaire, the size and type of the sample, etc.</p> <p>MANAGERIAL IMPLICATIONS • You need to suggest the managerial implications in one or two paragraphs, with some details. For example, how firms, markets and economy, and so forth can use the results from your research.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kamel Mouloudj
Department, University & Country	University of Medea, Algeria