

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_108429
Title of the Manuscript:	A COMPARATIVE ANALYTICAL STUDY OF MANY REGRESSION MODEL APPROACHES, ARIMA MODEL AND A HYBRID MODEL FOR FORECASTING AREA, PRODUCTION, AND PRODUCTIVITY OF COCONUT IN KERALA.
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Research comparing regression models, ARIMA, and hybrid models for forecasting coconut-related data in Kerala is significant for enhancing agricultural productivity and predictive accuracy, offering valuable insights for both researchers and practitioners.</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive, could have been more clear if the author would have mentioned the research outcome feasibility in the abstract.</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. yes perhaps well analyzed</p> <p>6. References need attention. Recent references are not covered as required to substantiate the content covered</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Minor revision is required in order to add relevant and current literature and theories supporting the area of research.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Leena Nitin Fukey
Department, University & Country	School of Business and Management, Christ Deemed to be University, India