

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_108043
Title of the Manuscript:	YIELD ENHANCEMENT OF FIELD PEA (Pisum sativum) THROUGH ZINC SOLUBILIZING MICROORGANISM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, it is important, especially to provide alternatives for the use of natural and non-chemical fertilizers.</p> <p>If the title is appropriate</p> <p>The statistical method used has yet to be described.</p> <p>If they are well described</p> <p>Needs to reorganize. I suggest that it be published as a technical note.</p> <p>The following are necessary for this study</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>If the language is appropriate</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The statistical method used is not mentioned. I suggest an order in the description of the results, as there are several data that are expressed in the Tables. There is a lack of statistical data in the Tables as well as a lack of mention in the Tables of the days on which the results are being reported.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	María Elena Carranco Jáuregui
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Medical Sciences and Nutrition, México