

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_107276
Title of the Manuscript:	IMMUNOHISTOCHEMICAL EXPRESSION PATTERN OF BRAC 1 AND PROGESTERONE RECEPTORS AMONG FEMALE BREAST CANCER PATIENT IN BAYELSA STATE.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1 Yes, the manuscript holds significance for the scientific community as it provides valuable insight into the expression of progesterone receptors and the presence of the BRCA1 mutation in breast cancer patients in Bayelsa State. This information can aid in a better understanding of the nature of breast cancer in this specific population and guide in the development of more effective treatments.</p> <p>2 The title of the article is clear and relevant as it specifically mentions the major elements of the research: the immunohistochemical expression pattern of BRCA1 and progesterone receptors among female breast cancer patients in Bayelsa State</p> <p>3 The abstract of the article is quite comprehensive. It covers the main aspects of the research, including the objectives, methodology, findings, and conclusions, providing a clear and concise overview of the study.</p> <p>4 Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript appear to be appropriate. They follow a clear and logical order: methodology, results, and discussion, which aids in the understanding and flow of the research presented.</p> <p>5. The procedures, observations, and statistical analyses are detailed comprehensively.</p> <p>6. YES the references sufficient and recent</p>	t
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The text is generally clear, and the use of scientific terminology is appropriate.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>The authors have presented their research findings, methodology, and analysis transparently. However, it's important to confirm whether the study received appropriate ethical approval and consent (if involving human participants), and these should be clearly stated in the manuscript. Any potential conflicts of interest should also be disclosed to ensure the research's integrity and credibility.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Natalia Camejo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Hospital De Clinicas, Uruguay</b>