

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJEBA_108535</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Traditional Managerial Accounting Techniques and Organizational Performance in Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</b></p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5.</b></p> <p><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><b>YES</b></p> <p><b>YES</b></p> <p>Yes, it is comprehensive</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u>REVISION comments</b></p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, the language of the article is as per the scholarly communications.</p>	

**Optional/General**comments

- I endorse the paper to be recognized in light of the fact that the paper is educated, richly created, purposeful and overall around figured out. The paper has not veered off from its focal topic and is target-situated. The creator has made the paper so that it is simple for the analyst and any other individual to comprehend.
- The paper's primary assets are its: how the paper was composed, how well it was facilitated, how it utilized the right measurable examination technique, where the references went right, how the substance was refreshed, and the way things were written in straightforward English with great syntax. The paper is expressive and fruitful.
- Thus, the paper contains no blemishes, yet there is dependably opportunity to get better.
- The title of the paper is reasonable.
- The hypothetical is formed unequivocally and to the point keeping in view the further fulfilled and setting of the paper.

#### **ORIGINALITY**

➤ Regarding the originality of the paper, the paper had contributed a lot of new knowledge, by assimilating and inculcating the proper and recent citations everywhere, therefore adding a lot more meaning and data for the other readers. **RESEARCH BACKGROUND**

➤ The introduction of the paper has provided sufficient background of the study by covering most of the point that were elaborated and explained in further headings of the paper. The study was motivated from various appropriate theories, literature. The introduction has taken control of the paper by versing few definitions at the starting which has simplified the further reading of the paper.

➤ The need for this study is perfectly justified as the author has taken care of every aspect of research methodology and statistical analysis.

➤ The aim and objectives of the paper are to the point, target-oriented and well coordinated with the findings and questionnaire of the paper.

#### **LITERATURE REVIEW**

➤ The paper does contain adequate and updated literature review. The review is well explained and are appropriate to the topic and title of the paper.

➤ The structure of the literature review is good and precise. It has not been flamboyant. The author is focused in his/her study and thus the chosen literature review is purposeful and nicely drafted.

➤ The arguments are clear and very well explained and have taken every measure to prove the focal point of the study.

#### **METHODOLOGY**

➤ The paper has used appropriate theories and the concept has always matched the context of the paper. The theories are also nicely explained with correct examples and cases and has solved the reason of the title of the paper.

➤ Apart from theories, the research methods used are appropriate and result oriented. The calculations are simple and well written in a simple language thereby to be understood by any new-learner.

	<p><b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ The paper have used appropriate theories and the concept has always matched the context of the paper. The theories are also nicely explained with correct examples and cases and has solved the reason of the title of the paper.</li> <li>➤ Apart from theories, the research methods used are appropriate and result oriented. The calculations are simple and well written in a simple language thereby to be understood by any new-learner.</li> <li>➤ Yes, the tables and analytical figures and flowcharts are matching and coordinating with each other.</li> </ul> <p><b>CONCLUSION</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Yes, the conclusion is congruent with the results and the other parts of the paper. The conclusion is perfect and has fulfilled the purpose of every point considered in the paper. The conclusion has covered each and every aspect of the calculated study and data.</li> <li>➤ Yes, the research implications are clearly explained in a well-structured manner.</li> </ul> <p><b>COMMUNICATION</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ There is a great amount of clarity in communication. Ideas are well expressed and well written. The content is simple and has facilitated the reader to understand well and quickly.</li> <li>➤ The English Language is appropriate. It is kept simple, out of grammatical and punctuational errors, expressive and effective.</li> </ul>	
--	---	--

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Abhishek Sharma
Department, University & Country	Bareilly College, M.J.P. Rohilkhand University, India