

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_99543
Title of the Manuscript:	Laparoscopic Extra Levator Abdominoperineal resection (ELAPR) with bilateral gluteal V-Y fascio-cutaneous advancement flap reconstruction for advanced lower rectal cancer, causing perianal fistula.
Type of the Article	Case Report

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1.- The importance is moderate to low, because there are others similar cases elsewhere. But it could play an important role as a teaching paper if the case have many pictures / drawings or schemes, in order to teach how the surgery was performed. But it requires a so much more detailed description of the procedure.</p> <p>2. Absolutely not. In fact, it is misleading. A suggestion is made in the review file about this.</p> <p>3. No. The abstract should be rewritten, as do not describe the case itself, but makes a literature review.</p> <p>4. The manuscript structure is not linear and lacks of order. It puts description of the case in the "comments", that should be in the "case presentation".</p> <p>5. Yes. I think that is a very simple but valuable work because is a case that challenge the surgical expertise, and IF IT IS WELL described, could help others to do the same in other place of the world. In my opinion, this is the work real value.</p> <p>6. ok</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Have some redaction misspellings, I suggest to read/correct it with a native English speaker.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sebastián Uribe A
Department, University & Country	Valparaíso University, Chile