

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_SAJ SSE_107540</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>WOMEN STRUGGLE FOR WORKERS' RIGHTS IN RADICAL AND MODERATE FEMINIST MEDIA</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>The manuscript is important for scientific community as the researched topic is updated.</b></p> <p>2. <b>Yes, the title of the article is suitable.</b></p> <p>3. <b>No, the abstract of the article is not comprehensive and needs to be revisited.</b></p> <p>4. <b>No, It needs background of the study, statement of the problem and research questions to be included.</b></p> <p>5. <b>I think the manuscript is scientifically correct but needs to address the concerns raised.</b></p> <p>7. <b>Yes, the references are sufficient and recent but need proper reference style.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes. All right.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Abstract and Research Methodology should be revisited. Background of the study should be provided with statement of the problem and research questions.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Muhammad Ajmal
Department, University & Country	The Shaikh Ayaz University, Pakistan