



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>1. Comment 1: The manuscript should be connected to other international publications, so you must add the following references:</p>	
<p>2. 2001. Microbiological Status of Commercially Available Medicinal Herbal Drugs - A Screening Study. <i>Planta Medica</i> 67(3), 263-269.</p>	<p>This journal has been cited and included in the reference</p>
<p>3. 2015. Comparative in vitro dissolution of two commercially available Er-Zhi-Wan herbal medicinal products. <i>Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences</i> 77(4), 391.</p>	<p>This journal has been cited and included in the reference</p>
<p>4. 2015. The efficient implementation of the Jift as one of the Olive Mill Waste (OMW) in urea extraction from urine. <i>Journal of King Abdulaziz University: Meteorology, Environment and Arid Land Agriculture Sciences</i> 26(1), 101-114.</p>	<p>Not relevant to the study</p>
<p>5. 2017. A novel method to chromatographically resolution of sulphonamides by vapour-programmed Thin-Layer Chromatography. <i>MOJ Bioorganic & Organic Chemistry</i> 1(4), 00024.</p>	<p>Not relevant to the study</p>
<p>6. 2017. Syrian medical legislation impacts stories on pharmacy in the millennium. <i>Chronicles of Pharmaceutical Science</i> 1(5), 307-311.</p>	<p>Not relevant to the study</p>
<p>7. 2017. Glass chromatography application: TLC separation of benzoic esters in pharmaceutical products. <i>International Journal of Biochemistry Advances</i> 1(1), 1-8.</p>	<p>Not relevant to the study carried out</p>
<p>8. 2018. Exploratory study of Xanthine Dehydrogenase (XD) accumulation in chicks' organs: XD natures and its inhibitory activities. <i>Journal of Advances in Biology</i> 11(1), 2269-2276.</p>	<p>Not relevant to the study carried out</p>
<p>9. 2018. Evaluation of the Claims of Microbiological Activity and Microbiological Quality of Some Oral Herbal Medicinal Products Sold in Port-Harcourt Metropolis. <i>Microbiology Research Journal</i> 23(6), 1-16.</p>	<p>This journal has been cited and included in the reference</p>
<p>10. 2018. High resolution chromatography and sensitive retention: optimization of the experimental conditions for proteins extraction by preparative HPLC. <i>Journal of Progressive Research in Modern Physics and Chemistry</i> 3(1), 97-103.</p>	<p>Not relevant to the study carried out</p>
<p>11. 2018. Syrian Case Study: Behçet's Disease clinical symptomatologies, ocular manifestations, and treatment. <i>Chronicles of Pharmaceutical Science</i> 2(2), 502-509.</p>	<p>Not relevant to the study carried out</p>
<p>12. 2018. In modern ocular pharmacology-dexamethasone the top active corticosteroid. <i>EC Pharmacology and Toxicology</i> 6(6), 463-</p>	<p>Not relevant to the study carried out</p>



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

<p>468.</p> <p>13. 2018. Halothane anesthesia – fact or fallacy? International Journal of Drug Safety and Discovery 2(1), 010.</p> <p>14. 2018. Carbon nanotubes - synthesis developmental engineering demands will overcome the health challenge of nanotoxicity and its acute mortality for humans. Madridge Journal of Nanotechnology & Nanoscience 3(2), 118–121.</p> <p>15. 2019. Keeping track of adverse drug reactions in remote areas of the world is essential for the improvement of the quality of life at a global scale. Advances in Pharmacoepidemiology & Drug Safety 7(3), e144.</p> <p>16. Comment 2: Whilst the initial response could be that this study is indeed important to the scientific community, there is the concern that it is far too generic in nature and largely devoid of new insight (taking into consideration that novelty per se is not a requirement).</p> <p>17. Comment 3: The title is aligned with what the study intended to address.</p> <p>18. Comment 4: I would rather say it is adequate as it does not entirely comply with the requirements of being comprehensive.</p> <p>19. Comment 5: It is a well-know fact that such products as used in this study lacks quality control in the manufacturing process (as stipulated by the authors this is due to the absence of standardisation practices).</p> <p>20. Comment 6: It is a big concern that no relevant literature from the last 7 years were used (most recent source dated 2016). This immediately questions the validity of the arguments presented.</p> <p>21. Comment 7: Language: It is not, there are far too many spelling errors and sentences that are poorly constructed.</p> <p>22. Comment 8: Table 1: description not aligned with its contents;</p> <p>23. Comment 9: Under results and discussion: line six has a reference that was omitted;</p> <p>24. There is an urgent need to include recent scientific information in this manuscript. This will most probably result in a complete redo of the literature review as well as the arguments presented in the discussion of the results.</p>	<p>Not relevant to the study carried out</p> <p>Not relevant to the study carried out</p> <p>This journal has been cited and included in the reference</p> <p>Action has been taken and more recent literature included and duly cited</p> <p>Action taken</p> <p>Action taken</p> <p>Action taken</p> <p>Action taken</p>
--	--