

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_107897
Title of the Manuscript:	Relative abundance of Insect Pests on French bean (<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.) in relation to abiotic factors
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, it is important for formulating pest management strategies of French bean</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, but there are some points needs to be omitted</p> <p>There are lot of mistakes in results and discussion and even the chart prepared is wrong. Reviews name are missing in references and same reference is repeated in references section.</p> <p>Yes, but it cannot be accepted in this form. It has to be written properly.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>In table of correlation i.e Table-2 leaf miner is missing however it is written in result and discussion but it contains data of pod borer and pod borer data is different in table. Table is wrong.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	No, It requires complete transformation/ restructure	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>* Lots of mistakes in results and discussion</p> <p>* In tables data are missing and chart is wrong</p> <p>* Review of literature has not been cited properly</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	D. V. Muchhadiya
Department, University & Country	College of Agriculture, Navsari Agricultural University, India