

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_107949
Title of the Manuscript:	Millets: Climate change and nutritional security
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for scientific communities, especially those who involve in agriculture and climate change issues. However, deeper analysis would increase the quality of the manuscript.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Add Method subsection on the manuscript.</p> <p>5. It is not clearly stated in manuscript the method used to analysis the data. It seemed that the method used was descriptive analysis. Numeric data also should be mentioned in conclusion.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and recent enough. There are 45.16% of references are published within the last five years.</p> <p>Additional comments 1. Some references, such as ASSOCHAM 2022; Gazette of India, No. 133 dated 13 April, 2018; and Rao, 2008 are in paper but not in reference list. On the contrary, Rani et al, 2018.is in reference list but not in paper. Check it.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	----	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Iman Santosa
Department, University & Country	Indonesia