Effect of Nitrogen Levels and Mepiquat Chloride on Yield and Economics of HDPS Cotton

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during *Kharif*, 2022 at Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Palem, to evaluate the effect of nitrogen levels and mepiquat chloride on the yield and economics of HDPS cotton. The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design with three replications. The results of this experiment revealed that application of **T_9**: 125% RDN applied in splits at 15, 30, 45, 60, 75 DAS along with 2 sprays of 5% mepiquat chloride at 45 and 60 DAS recorded significantly higher number of bolls plant^{-1} (11.7), boll weight (4.49 g) and seed cotton yield (2484 kg ha^{-1}). In terms of economic analysis, this treatment also exhibited the highest gross returns (₹ 1,78,872 ha^{-1}), net returns (₹ 1,03,878 ha^{-1}) and BC ratio (2.39).Conversely, the application of **T_1**: 75% RDN in recommended splits of 20, 40, 60, 80 DAS recorded the lowest number of bolls plant^{-1} (8.0), boll weight (2.53 g) and seed cotton yield (1402 kg ha^{-1}), as well as lower gross returns (₹ 1,02,766 ha^{-1}), net returns (₹ 33,802 ha^{-1}) and BC ratio (1.50). Based on these findings, it is recommended to adopt the practice of applying**T_9:**125% RDN (150 kg Nha^{-1}) in splits at 15, 30, 45, 60, 75 DAS along with 2 sprays of 5% mepiquat chloride at 45 and 60 DAS to achieve maximum yield and economic returns of HDPS cotton.

1.INTRODUCTION

Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) is a major cash crop in India, renowed as "white gold" and "king of fibres" and plays a significant role in the national economy through foreign exchange earnings and employment generation. It is cultivated in numerous countries worldwide and holds global significance in the agricultural and industrial sectors. India has the largest cotton area, with a production of 311.17 lakh bales and a productivity of 428 kg ha^{-1} during the 2021-22 seasons. In India, Telangana is leading state in cotton cultivation with an area of 20.11 lakh ha during *Kharif*, 2021.

The HighDensityPlanting System (HDPS) is being adopted to enhance productivity, effectiveness, and cost efficiency in cotton production. HDPS involves planting short-duration, semi-compact cotton varieties at high populations per hectare, optimizing resource utilization and subjecting the crop to mechanical harvest. Proper nutrient management, particularly nitrogen, is crucial for maximizing lint production while minimizing input costs in HDPS cotton. Nitrogen is an essential nutrient that significantly influences plant growth, fruiting, and yield (Boquet*et al*., 2000). Adequate nitrogen supply is associated with vegetative growth, reproduction, and efficient photosynthesis. Split application of nitrogen in HDPS ensures proper timing and quantities for optimal plant growth and yield, thereby increasing nitrogen use efficiency and achieving higher production. The HDPS leads to

excessively taller plants and more vegetative growth and hence production of cotton under HDPS requires careful consideration of several management strategies including use of plant growth regulators.

Plant growth regulators (PGRs) may enhance yield by increasing the retention of photosynthates into developing bolls. PGRs have been widely used in developed nations for increasing cotton production by adjusting plant growth and to improve lint yield and fibre quality. Gwathmey and Clement (2010) reported that source sink balance can be altered by using plant growth regulator such as mepiquat chloride (MC). This can be used to manage the balance of vegetative and reproductive growth of cotton plants and to offset the effect of excessive nitrogen by decreasing both overall plant height and length of lateral branches. It hampers gibberellic acid biosynthesis which ultimately reduces cell division and enlargement. It enhances reproductive organs by redistribution of assimilates between vegetative and reproductive growth which may be one means by which yields can be increased significantly per plant by 9.68% and per ha by 9.72% compared with untreated plants (Sawan *etal*., 2009). Application of MC improves leaf photosynthetic rate (Zhao and Oosterhuis, 2000). It also helps in retention of bolls on lower sympodia and increased the synchrony of boll maturation (Gwathmey and Clement, 2010). Apart from plant canopy manipulation, MC can enhance root growth by increasing the number of lateral roots, increase root vigour by increasing the reducibility and respiratory rate (Duan *et al*., 2004). To sustain the cotton productivity with economic and environmental safety under HDPS, it is necessary to find out the suitable fertilizer dose and time of split application with respect to growth retardant (Mepiquat Chloride) since the plant population is higher.

2.MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Experimental site: The field experiment was carried out at Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Palemduring *kharif*,2022. The field is geographically located at $16^{o}51'$N Latitude, $78^{o}25'$E Longitude.Throughout the crop growth period, a total rainfall of 569.2 mm was received in 38 rainy days. The experimental soil was sandy loam with a neutral pH(7.02),EC (0.18), low in organic carbon (0.58) and available N (141.6kgha^{-1}), medium in available P_2O_5 (32 kg ha^{-1}) and high in available K_2O (328 kg ha^{-1}).

2.2 Experimental Details: The experiment was laid out in a Randomised Block Design with three replications during *kharif* 2022, consisting of twelvetreatments with the first threebeing control groups at different fertilizer levels without the application of mepiquat chloride.

Table 1.Treatmentdetailsoftheexperiment

T_1	**75%RDN + 100%PK (N applied in recommended splits of 20, 40, 60, 80 DAS)**
T_2	**100% RDN +100%PK (N applied in recommended splits of 20, 40, 60 80 DAS)**
T_3	**125% RDN + 100%PK (N applied in recommended splits of 20, 40, 60, 80 DAS)**
T_4	**75% RDN +100%PK (N applied in recommended splits of 20, 40, 60, 80 DAS) + 2 sprays of 5% Mepiquat chloride at 45 and 60 DAS**
T_5	**100% RDN +100%PK (N applied in recommended splits of 20, 40, 60, 80 DAS) + 2 sprays of 5% Mepiquat chloride at 45 and 60 DAS**
T_6	**125% RDN +100%PK (N applied in recommended splits of 20, 40, 60, 80 DAS) + 2 sprays of 5% Mepiquat chloride at 45 and 60 DAS**
T_7	**75% RDN +100%PK (N applied in splits at 15, 30, 45, 60, 75 DAS) + 2 sprays of 5% Mepiquat chloride at 45 and 60 DAS**
T_8	**100% RDN +100%PK (N applied in splits at 15, 30, 45, 60, 75 DAS) + 2 sprays of 5% Mepiquat chloride at 45 and 60 DAS**
T_9	**125% RDN +100%PK (N applied in splits at 15, 30, 45, 60, 75 DAS) + 2 sprays of 5% Mepiquat chloride at 45 and 60 DAS**
T_{10}	**75% RDN +100%PK (N applied in splits at 20, 40, 55, 70 DAS) + 2 sprays of 5% Mepiquat chloride at 45 and 60 DAS**
T_{11}	**100% RDN +100%PK (N applied in splits at 20, 40, 55, 70 DAS) + 2 sprays of 5% Mepiquat chloride at 45 and 60 DAS**
T_{12}	**125% RDN +100%PK (N applied in splits at 20, 40, 55, 70 DAS + 2 sprays of 5% Mepiquat chloride at 45 and 60 DAS**

The cotton variety NCS-2778 BG-II (Armita) was sown on sandy loam soil with a spacing of 80 cm×20 cm on 26th July 2022. Nitrogen was applied in the form of urea as per

the treatments; potassium (60 kg ha^{-1}) was applied in the form of muriate of potashalong with nitrogen.Phosphorus was applied as basal dose in the form of SSP, mepiquat chloride (growth retardant) was applied at 45 and 60 DAS as per treatments, all recommended agronomic practicesand plant protection measures were taken as per requirement.The recommended dose of fertilizers: 120 kg N, 60 kg P_2O_5 and 60 kg K_2O per hectare.

2.3 No. of bolls $plant^{-1}$: The number of bolls from the five plants within the net plot was counted during each picking. These individual counts were then averaged and expressed as the number of bolls per plant.

2.4 Boll weight (g $boll^{-1}$): The seed cotton yield obtained from ten bolls at random in each net plot was weighed, averaged and expressed as boll weight in g $boll^{-1}$.

2.5 Seed Cotton Yield (kg ha^{-1}): Seed cotton obtained from each treatment in a net plot was weighed using an electronic balance. The cumulative seed cotton yield from two pickings of net plots in each treatment was weighed in g $plot^{-1}$ and yield was converted to kg ha^{-1}.

2.6 Costofcultivation: The cost of cultivation was worked out on the basis of existing local prices ofdifferent inputs *i.e.*, labour, seed, fertilizers and chemicals etc.

2.7 Grossreturns: Itwasassessed bymultiplying theyieldwithprevailingmarket price.

2.8 Netreturns: Netreturnswerecalculated by subtractingthe costof cultivation fromgrossreturns.

2.9 BenefitCost Ratio: Benefitcostratiowas calculatedbydividinggrossreturnswithcostof cultivation.

2.10 Statisticalanalysis: Statistical analysis was carried out following the procedure of ANOVA for randomized block design as suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1978).

The effect of mepiquat chloride was assessed at different fertilizer levels (75%, 100%, 125% RDN) at 60, 80 DAS and at harvest. The parameters were compared between treatments with mepiquat chloride application (at 45 and 60 DAS) and those without mepiquat chloride, under nitrogen levels of 75%, 100%, and 125% RDN, applied at 20, 40, 60, and 80 DAS

3.RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Number of bolls plant^{-1}: Among all the treatments, maximum no. of bolls plant^{-1} were recorded with **T_9**: 125% RDN applied in splits at 15, 30, 45, 60, 75 DAS + 2 sprays of 5% MC at 45 and 60 DAS(12) which was on par with **T_8:** 100% RDN applied in splits at 15, 30, 45, 60, 75 DAS + 2 sprays of 5% MC at 45 and 60 DAS(11), **T_6**: 125% RDN applied in splits at 20, 40, 60, 80 DAS + 2 sprays of 5% MC at 45 and 60 DAS(11), **T_5:** 100% RDN applied in splits at 20, 40, 60, 80 DAS + 2 sprays of 5% MC at 45 and 60 DAS (10) and the lowest no. of bolls plant^{-1} were recorded in **T_1:**75% RDN applied insplits at 20, 40, 60, 80 DAS(8.0).The percent increase in no. of bolls plant^{-1}with mepiquat chloride application were16.25% at 75% RDN, 20.48% at 100% RDN, and 22.22% at 125% RDN (Table 1).These observations showed that number of bolls plant^{-1} increased with increase in each level of nitrogen and time of application (Bharathi *et al.*,2016), which further augmented when time of application of nitrogen coincides with mepiquat chloride (Kadiyam*et al.*, 2022 and Patel *et al.*, 2021), because nitrogen plays a vital role in increasing plant dry matter and regulating photosynthesis (Feibo*et al*., 1998), Additionally, mepiquat chloride increases CO_2 uptake and assimilate production in cotton leaves(Gausman *et al.*, 1980) and also promotes the allocation of assimilates towards fruiting bodies (Kaur, 1998), thereby influencing boll development and the number of bolls per plant (McConnell and Mozaffari, 2005).Similar results were documented by Brar *et al.*, 2020.

3.2 Boll weight (g): Boll weight was significantly influenced by nitrogen levels and mepiquat chloride in which maximum boll weight was recorded with the application of **T_9**: 125% RDN applied in splits at 15, 30, 45, 60, 75 DAS + 2 sprays of 5% MC at 45 and 60 DAS(4.49 g) which was comparable with **T_8**: 100% RDN applied in splits at 15, 30, 45, 60, 75 DAS + 2 sprays of 5% MC at 45 and 60 DAS(4.15g),**T_6**: 125% RDN applied in splits at 20, 40, 60, 80 DAS + 2 sprays of 5% MC at 45 and 60 DAS(3.95 g) and the lowest boll weight was recorded in **T_1:** 75% RDN applied in splits at 20, 40, 60, 80 DAS(2.69 g). The percentage increase in boll weight (g) with retardant application (MC at 45 & 60 DAS) at nitrogen levels of 75%, 100%, 125% RDN were 21.9%, 22.11%, and 25%, respectively (Table 1).

It has been reported that bolls on cotton treated with mepiquat chloride are larger photosynthetically supplied sinks for carbohydrates and other metabolites than untreated bolls.The similar observations were reported byKhetre*et al.* (2018).Higher nitrogen levels have been associated with increased boll weight, indicating a better source-sink relationship facilitated by an adequate nitrogen supply, as reported by Devi *et al.* (2018).This suggests

that increase in nitrogen level and when time of split application of nitrogen coincides with mepiquat chloride, increases boll weight as supported by the data recorded in the present study.These results are in conformity with results of Abbas *et al.*, 2022.

3.3 Seed cotton yield (kg ha^{-1}):A perusal of the data recorded on seed cotton yield reported that there is a significant influence of nitrogen level and the time of application of nitrogen coincides with the time of application of mepiquat chloride (Table 1). Highest seed cotton yield was recorded with **T_9**:125% RDN applied in splits at 15, 30, 45, 60, 75 DAS + 2 sprays of 5% MC at 45 and 60 DAS (2484 Kg ha^{-1}) which was on par with **T_8**: 100% RDN applied in splits at 15, 30, 45, 60, 75 DAS + 2 sprays of 5% MC at 45 and 60 DAS(2296 Kg ha^{-1}),**T_6**: 125% RDN applied in splits at 20, 40, 60, 80 DAS + 2 sprays of 5% MC at 45 and 60 DAS(2243 Kg ha^{-1}), **T_5**: 100% RDN applied in splits at 20, 40, 60, 80 DAS + 2 sprays of 5% MC at 45 and 60 DAS(2117 Kg ha^{-1}) and the lowest seed cotton yield was recorded in **T_1:** 75% RDN applied in splits at 20, 40, 60, 80 DAS(1402 Kg ha^{-1}). Seed cotton yield increases with increment of each level of nitrogen and time of split application. Similar results were reported by Alur *et al.*, 2020, Kanchana *et al.* (2019) and Daisy *et al.* (2018).The percentage increase in seed cotton yield with retardant application (MC at 45 & 60 DAS) at nitrogen levels of 75%, 100%, 125% RDN were24.2%, 25.5% and 28.8%, respectively.The seed cotton yield was governed by yield component like number of bolls per plant and boll weight. Higher number of bolls per plant and increase in boll weight with the application of nitrogen andmepiquat chloride might be due to improved source-sink relationship and better translocation of metabolites towards reproductive sinks (fruiting bodies) and also retardation of excessive vegetative growth.Similar result was reported by Dharani *et al*. (2022), Veeraputhiran and Gunasekaran (2020),Deol *et al.* (2018) and Kulvir *et al*, 2017.

Fig. 1. Treatment T_9 during boll development stage

Table2. Yield attributes, yield and economics of HDPS cotton as influenced by nitrogen levels and mepiquat chloride

Treatments	No. of bolls/ plant	Boll weight (g)	Seed Cotton Yield ($kgha^{-1}$)	Cost of cultivation	Gross Returns(Rs/-)	Net Returns (Rs/-)	BC Ratio
T_1:75%RDNapplied in splits at 20, 40, 60, 80 DAS)	8	2.69	1402	67166	100968	33802	1.50
T_2:100% RDN applied in splits at 20, 40, 60 80 DAS)	8	3.03	1507	67555	108480	40925	1.61
T_3:125% RDNapplied in splits at 20, 40, 60 80 DAS)	9	3.16	1742	67944	125448	57504	1.85
T_4:75% RDN applied in splits at 20, 40, 60, 80 DAS) + 5% Mepiquat chloride at 45 and 60 DAS	9	3.28	1743	72716	125496	52780	1.73
T_5:100% RDN applied in splits at 20, 40, 60, 80 DAS) + 5% Mepiquat chloride at 45 and 60 DAS	10	3.70	2117	73105	152424	79319	2.08
T_6:125% RDN applied in splits of 20, 40, 60, 80 DAS) + Mepiquat chloride at 45 and 60 DAS	11	3.95	2243	73494	161520	88026	2.20
T_7:75% RDN applied in splits at 15, 30, 45, 60, 75 DAS+5% Mepiquat chloride at 45 and 60 DAS	10	3.93	2094	74216	150792	76576	2.03
T_8:100% RDN applied in splits at 15, 30, 45, 60, 75 DAS+5% Mepiquat chloride at 45 and 60 DAS	11	4.15	2296	74605	165312	90707	2.22
T_9:125% RDN applied in splits at 15, 30, 45, 60, 75 DAS+5% Mepiquat chloride at 45 and 60 DAS	12	4.49	2484	74994	178872	103878	2.39
T_{10}:75% RDN applied in splits at 20, 40, 55, 70 DAS + 5% Mepiquat chloride at 45 and 60 DAS	8	3.21	1613	72716	116160	43444	1.60
T_{11}:100% RDNapplied in splits at 20, 40, 55, 70 DAS + 5% Mepiquat chloride at 45 and 60 DAS	9	3.37	1848	73105	133080	59975	1.82
T_{12}:125% RDN applied in splits at 20, 40, 55, 70 DAS + 5% Mepiquat chloride at 45 and 60 DAS	10	3.62	2026	73494	145872	72378	1.98
CD (P=0.05)	1.48	0.58	398.03	NA	NA	NA	NA
SEm±	0.50	0.19	134.81	NA	NA	NA	NA
C.V. (%)	9.00	9.59	11.53	NA	NA	NA	NA
S.D	0.71	0.27	190.69	NA	NA	NA	NA

4.ECONOMICS: The data pertaining toeconomic parameters indicated in Table 2.

4.1 Cost of cultivation(₹ ha^{-1}): Cost of cultivation varied from ₹ 67,166 ha^{-1} to ₹ 74,994 ha^{-1}. Higher cost (₹ 74,994 ha^{-1}) was incurred due to application of**T_9:** 125% RDN applied in splits at 15, 30, 45, 60, 75 DAS + 2 sprays of 5% MC at 45 and 60 DAS. The deviation in cost of cultivation was due to nitrogen fertilizer level, man power required for application of fertilizer and MC,Manual picking charges in case of high seed cotton yield is also contributed towards escalated cost of cultivation.

4.2 Gross returns (₹ ha^{-1}): Perusal of data on gross returns indicated that higher gross returns (₹ 1,78,872 ha^{-1}) were observed with application of **T_9:** 125% RDN applied in splits at 15, 30, 45, 60, 75 DAS + 2 sprays of 5% MC at 45 and 60 DAS over rest of the treatments which was due to higher seed cotton yield obtained in this treatment. While, lower gross returns were recorded with **T_1:** 75% RDN applied in splits at 20, 40, 60, 80 DAS(₹ 1,02,766 ha^{-1}).

4.3 Net returns (₹ ha^{-1}): Net returns obtained from cotton were found to be higher with application of **T_9:** 125% RDN applied in splits at 15, 30, 45, 60, 75 DAS + 2 sprays of 5% MC at 45 and 60 DAS(₹ 1,03,878 ha^{-1})While, significantly lower net returns (₹ 33,802 ha^{-1}) were registered with application of**T_1:** 75% RDN applied in splits at 20, 40, 60, 80 DAS**.** Higher net returnswere due to higher seed cotton yield obtained per hectare.

4.4 Benefit cost ratio: An over view of data among different nitrogen doses showed that higher B:C ratio (2.39) was recorded with **T_9:** 125% RDN applied in splits at 15, 30, 45, 60, 75 DAS + 2 sprays of 5% MC at 45 and 60 DASand lower B:C ratio (1.50) was recorded with application of**T_1:** 75% RDN applied in splits at 20, 40, 60, 80 DAS. The higher benefit cost ratio was due to higher seed cotton yields and net returns over other treatments.

Fig 2. Overall view of the experimental site at KVK, Palem

5. Conclusion:

Cotton is the major commercial crop being grown in our country. Productivity of the cotton is not up to the mark despite the major efforts made by farmer as well as scientists. Productivity could be improved with HDPS along with optimization of nitrogen fertilization and usage of mepiquat chloride (growth retardant) which is having multiple benefits, high input use efficiency and also enable mechanical picking. **The present field experiment inferred that the application of 125% RDN in splits at 15, 30, 45, 60 and 75 DAS along with mepiquat chloride at 45 and 60 DAS led to higher yield attributes, seed cotton yield (2484 kg ha^{-1})and economic efficiency under high density planting system so it can be adopted for realizing higher seed cotton yield under rainfed conditions in sandy loam soils of Telangana region.**

References:

Abbas, H., Wahid, M.A., Sattar, A., Tung, S.A., Saleem, M.F., Irshad, S., Alkahtani, J., Elshikh, M.S., Cheema, M. and Li, Y. 2022. Foliar application of mepiquat chloride and nitrogen improves yield and fibre quality traits of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Plos one*, 17(6), p.e0268907.

Alur, A., Halepyati, A.S., Chittapur, B.M., Nidagundi, J.M and Koalkar, B.G. 2020. Effect of high density planting and nutrient management on growth and yield of compact cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) Genotypes. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 9(4): 294-297.

Bharathi, S., Kumari, S.R., Krishna, A.N.V and Reddy, V.C. 2016. Effect of nitrogen levels, split application of nitrogen on yield and fibre quality of *Bt* cotton in vertisols. *Journal of Cotton Research and Development*. 30(2): 201-204.

Boquet, D.J and Breitenbeck, G.A. 2000. Nitrogen rate effect on partitioning of nitrogenand dry matter by cotton. *Crop Science*. 40(6): 1685-1693.

Brar, H.S., Kumar, D and Singh, P. 2020. Dataset of source-sink manipulation through growth retardant for enhancing productivity and profitability of cotton in North West, India. *Data in brief*. 31: 105914.

Daisy, M., Rajendran, K., Senthilkumar, K and Sureshkumar, R., 2018. Effect of increased level of fertilizers on *Bt* cotton and green fodder yield under cotton+ legume fodder intercropping system of western zone of Tamil Nadu. *International Journal of Current Sciences*. 6(2): 184-187.

Deol, J.S., Rajni and Kaur, R. 2018. Production potential of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) as affected by plant growth regulators (PGRs). *International Journal of Current Microbiololgy and Applied Sci*ences. 7(4): 3599-3610.

Devi, B., Bharathi, S., Sree Rekha, M and Jayalalitha, K. 2018. Performance of cotton under high density planting with varied spacing and levels of nitrogen. *The Andhra Agricultural Journal*. 65(1): 49-52.

Dharani, K., Ravichandran, V., Anandakumar, S., Sritharan, N and Sakthivel, N. 2022. Impact of Growth Retardant and Defoliant on Morpho-physiological Traits and Yield Improvement in Cotton. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*. 34(20): 635-644.

Duan, L., Tian, X., Zhang, Y., Tang, Z., Zhai, Z and He, Z. 2004. Effects of mepiquat chloride on lateral roots initiation of cotton seedling and its mechanism. In *Proceedings: Australian Agronomy Conference, Brisbane*.

Feibo, W., Lianghuan, W and Fuhua, X., 1998. Chlorophyll meter to predict nitrogen sidedress requirements for short-season cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.). *Field crops research*. 56(3): 309-314.

Gausman, H. W., Walter, H., Rittig, F. R., Escobar, D. E and Rodriguez, R. R. 1980. Effect of mepiquat chloride (PIX) on CO_2 uptake of cotton plant leaves. 7th Annual meeting of plant growth regulator working group, Dalla 1-6.

Gwathmey, C.O and Clement, J. D. 2010. Alteration of cotton source sink relations with plant population density and mepiquat chloride. *Field Crops Research*. 116: 101-107.

Kadiyam, P., Rekha, M.S., Lakshman, K and Rao, C.S. 2022. Economics and quality of HDPS cotton with different plant growth regulators in coastal Andhra Pradesh: Plant growth regulators for HDPS cotton production. *Journal of AgriSearch*. 9(2): 172-175.

Kanchana, T., Sakthivel, N., Thavaprakaash, N and Balamurugan, J. 2019. Performance of compact cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) genotypes to varied nutrient levels under high density planting system in winter irrigated condition. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 8(3): 3084-3088.

Kaur P. 1998. Morphological manipulations of American cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) for high productivity through planting patterns and growth retardants. M.Sc., Thesis, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, India.

Khetre, O.S., Shinde, V.S., Asewar, B.V and Mirza, I.A.B. 2018. Response of growth and yield of *Bt* cotton to planting densities as influenced by growth regulators. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*. 6(4): 485-488.

Kulvir, S., Singh, H.P., Pankaj, R., Kuldeep, S and Mishra, S.K. 2017. Manipulations of source sink relationships through mepiquat chloride for enhancing cotton productivity and monetary returns in north western India. *Journal of Cotton Research and Development*. 31(1): 62-68.

McConnell, J.S and Mozaffari, M. 2005. Yield, petiole nitrate and node development responses of cotton to early season nitrogen fertilization. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*. 27(7): 1183-1197.

Panse, V.C and Sukhatme, P.V. 1978. Statistical methods for Agricultural workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi. 87-89.

Patel, B.R., Chaudhary, P.P., Chaudhary, M.M and Reddy, T.V. 2021. Effect of mepiquat chloride on yield attributes, yield and economics of *Bt* cotton under high density planting system.*The Pharma Innovation*. 10(12): 1503-1507.

Sawan, Z.M., Fahmy, A.H and Yousef, S.E. 2009. Direct and residual effects of nitrogen fertilization, foliar application of potassium and plant growth retardant on Egyptian cotton growth, seed yield, seed viability and seedling vigour. *Acta EcologicaSinica*. 29(2): 116-123.

Veeraputhiran, R and Gunasekaran, M. 2020. Effect of time of growth retardant application on growth of cotton plant under high density planting system. *Journal of Cotton Research and Development*. 34(1): 67-71.

Zhao, D., Oosterhuis, D.M. 2000. Pix Plus and mepiquat chloride effects on physiology, growth, and yield of field-grown cotton. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*. 19 (4): 415–422.