

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_107474
Title of the Manuscript:	INVOLVEMENT OF WOMEN DAIRY FARMERS IN DECISION MAKING REGARDING DAIRY FARM ACTIVITIES IN GUNTUR DISTRICT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This manuscript addresses an important topic regarding the involvement of women dairy farmers in decision-making within the context of dairy farming in the Guntur district of Andhra Pradesh. Understanding the role of women in agriculture is a significant concern globally, and this research sheds light on their participation in key aspects of dairy farming. While the manuscript provides valuable insights, it could benefit from more contextualization and discussion of the broader implications of the findings to make it more relevant to the scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title is suitable as it accurately reflects the content of the manuscript.</p> <p>3. The abstract is concise but lacks specific details about the research methods and the scope of the study. It could be improved by including a sentence about the methodology used and highlighting the key findings, making it more comprehensive and informative.</p> <p>4. The manuscript has clear subsections and the structure of the manuscript is appropriate.</p> <p>5. The manuscript appears scientifically correct in terms of data reporting and the use of statistical analysis. However, to ensure scientific rigor, more details about the methodology, data collection process, and potential limitations should be provided.</p> <p>6. Suggested references might include recent studies on women's participation in farming decision-making, gender equality in agriculture, and related topics in the region.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article appear to be generally suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, while the manuscript addresses an important topic, it requires significant improvements in terms of organization, contextualization, and the inclusion of additional references to make it more valuable and relevant to the scientific community.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maulikkumar C. Prajapati
Department, University & Country	SMC College of Dairy Science, Kamdhenu University, India