

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_98611
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic Sector Potential In Bulu Cina Village, Hamparan Perak District
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Yes, as it provides a simple understanding to the research communities on how even data on some crucial parameters can help in portraying good picture of the any locale.</b>  <b>Alternative title suggested in the comment of the article.</b>  <b>It is good enough for short communication</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>They are apt, but referencing style needs to be looked for</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is written in the present tense at many places, which must be in the past tense. Rest the language used is okay, and wherever the clarity is missing the comment boxes have been added.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	NIL	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Deepti Kothari
Department, University & Country	G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, India