

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_98693
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF SOME ADDITIVES ON THE TENSILE STRENGTH AND YOUNG MODULUS OF EPOXY RESIN.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljmsrr.com/index.php/JMSRR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1-The idea of this manuscript seems interesting however, with only one test and two sets of results it lacks knowledge.  2-The title is fine.  3-The abstract is fine.  4- In the Materials sections I believe there is no need to mention the apparatus instead the materials that were used should be covered only.  5- The manuscript should be correct however, the interpretation of the results is not correct, for instance for tensile strength, there is a decrement at first and then it is constant but the author mentioned that the increment of the fillers decreased the tensile strength, which is not completely correct.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	1-The language definitely needs to improve, I changed the color of some of the incorrect words to red.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I suggest that the author run more tests to provide more content for the manuscript, such as flexural, thermal, SEM etc., tests.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zahra Dashtizadeh
Department, University & Country	Iran