

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_99710
Title of the Manuscript:	Review of EPC project risk management research
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>It is not that much important for scientific community, it is not written like a review article, as literature review should have been included.</p> <p>In title EPC full form should be included</p> <p>Abstract should be in IMRAD format</p> <p>No it should be improved</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No references are not sufficient and recent</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Language /English need improvement	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Title: Write EPC full form</p> <p>Abstract: Should be in IMRAD Format</p> <p>Don't use "my country" in abstract write the name of country?</p> <p>Conclusions missing in abstract</p> <p>Keywords: Minimum 5 keywords and should be written in alphabetic order</p> <p>General comments</p> <p>English should be improved</p> <p>Use scientific language</p> <p>Heading should be improved</p> <p>Avoid using the word "so",</p> <p>As this is a review manuscript literature review should be included with latest references</p> <p>EPC full form is not mentioned anywhere once.</p> <p>Figures and flow charts quality is very low needs improvement</p> <p>Figure 3 the values till 2023 should be included</p> <p>Section 2: Research status include till 2023</p> <p>Figure 4 add also 2022 and 2023</p> <p>Section 3.1 and 3.2 references missing</p> <p>Conclusion :</p> <p>Should be written in bullet form</p> <p>Quantitative results should be included</p> <p>Comparative results should be included according to the previous literature</p> <p>Discussion is missing</p> <p>References: Latest references should be included</p> <p>The formatting of references should be improved</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Beenish Jehan Khan
Department, University & Country	CECOS University of IT and Emerging Sciences, Pakistan