

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_98960
Title of the Manuscript:	THE RISE OF NANOTECHNOLOGY - FOOD PROCESSING AND PACKAGING
Type of the Article	review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	YES The manuscript is focused on presenting the most important nanomaterials with a role in food processing and packaging. The authors review the main techniques used in food processing, namely, nano-emulsions and nano-encapsulation. A special emphasis is placed on the description of the main nano-sensors, nano-composites and nanoparticles used in food packaging to monitor the quality of some foods, increase the shelf life of products and also to identify non-toxic and eco-friendly nano-composites. The topic addressed is very current and requires the improvement and adaptation of studies for the growing demands of the food industry. YES YES YES YES All my suggestions are as comments in the manuscript. I insist the authors check the manuscript for missing spaces or the existence of more than one space between words; rewriting the Bibliography by listed the References in the order that they appear in the text and not in alphabetical order. Also, some sentences are unclear or incomplete and, therefore, need to be improved.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gabriela Vochita
Department, University & Country	Institute of Biological Research, Romania