

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_99236
Title of the Manuscript:	TO STUDY THE EFFECT OF PARTICIPATION IN LEISURE AND RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES ON QUALITY OF LIFE AMONG PARENTS OF CHILDREN WITH AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDERS.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)

Review Form 1.7

<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. In addition to the descriptions of inter-correlations between leisure, recreation and quality of life (QoL), the authors should add more scientific and social-psychological backgrounds of autism, the impacts of autistic child on the whole family due to the behavior/cognitive deficits etc. Recent brain imaging findings of autism-related research should also be added.</p> <p>2. It might be better to update “TO STUDY THE EFFECT OF PARTICIPATION IN LEISURE AND RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES” as “EFFECT OF PARTICIPATION IN LEISURE AND RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES” for better clarification.</p> <p>3. The authors need to be concise and accurate in the Abstract. Remove redundant words such as “Thus,” in the 2nd paragraph. For the acronyms, please define them when first used in the text body, and not use repetitive definition. As an example, “QOL” is not defined in the Abstract. And in the textbody, “Quality of Life (QOL)” were defined several times; just use “QOL” after firstly defined in the textbody. And update “To my Knowledge, this study is the first study in Jaipur to gain a better understanding” as “The purpose of this study is to gain a better understanding”</p> <p>4. For the primary Table result: it is better and more readable to list as each article reviewed per each column with rows including the selected information of the articles, and several sub-tables could be used to list all the articles for space limits of number of columns. It is better to use “Left Alignment” for cell format.</p> <p>5. The authors need to be careful for illustrating essential scientific information. For instance, please specify in more details in the Methods sections: in the Inclusion criteria, “Participants must be a parent or caregiver of an autistic kid.”, please update as “Participants must be a parent or caregiver of an autistic kid. And the autistic kids were selected to meet the diagnostic criteria of Autism spectrum disorder with the following specifications. - Age 3-8 years”</p> <p>6. References: please list all references cited in the main text body in the references section at the end of the manuscript. Currently there are only 10 listed references and there should be more in the textbody. The authors should use the standard reference citation listed with numbers used in the text body and corresponding references listed in numbers at the end.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The authors need to double check spellings and grammar. For instance, please update word “criterias” as “Criterion” in 2. METHODS section beginning; change word “enrol” to “enroll” at the end of the Conclusion.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Yongxia Zhou</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>University of Southern California, U.S.A</p>