

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_99608
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthetic Aperture Radar Remote Sensing for Crop Classification
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes (This will be helpful for the researchers who are doing research on Agricultural and impacts of flood on agriculture, how it can be monitor and prevent from the flood. 2. Yes 3. No 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. Yes 1. (Author should explain the Crop in the start of Abstract and then come on the exact point of research that why, what is the cause to conduct this research or review and then start the procedure such as he/she have got started from A study on Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) satellite imagery was conducted in Chhattisgarh with the objective to evaluate the potential of different texture.....) 2. Not satisfied from the starting two sentences of the Introduction, Author should revise it.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Authors don't have need to write glcm in small letters, it should replace in GLCM instead of glcm in several places.	
Optional/General comments	Author should verify the spellings	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sindh Tevta
Department, University & Country	Shah Abdul Latif University, Pakistan