

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_99519
Title of the Manuscript:	CROSS RESISTANCE PATTERNS ASSOCIATED WITH SPINOSAD SELECTED RESISTANT HELICOVERPA ARMIGERA (HUBNER) IN SOUTH INDIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript develops a current theme, and of great importance at an agricultural level, however, the way the manuscript is presented is not the most appropriate.</p> <p>The title could be summarized a bit and fit more to the nature of the research: "Cross resistance patterns associated with <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> in South India".</p> <p>The abstract must clearly include the problem and the justification of the work. The methodology and results here are presented very general in such a way that they do not allow us to visualize the impact of the research.</p> <p>The manuscript contains the structure of a scientific article.</p> <p>The manuscript needs to be reviewed and complemented many of the items with updated information, especially the methodology and the discussion of results.</p> <p>Most of the manuscript cites very old, out-of-date, and contextualized research.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It is necessary to check punctually in some items the English.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It is recommended to improve the presentation of tables and figures. The authors developed current research of importance in agriculture, even more so in the current scenario of climate change. However, it is necessary to strengthen the writing in each of the items, with more recent information, with comparative studies at an international, national and regional level, which allows contextualizing the research. The abstract should be improved so that it motivates reading the rest of the document, considering the problem and the justification of the research and highlighting the most important aspects of the study at the biological and agronomic level. The methodology should be written in such a way that it is reproducible and lacks basic but important information for it. The results are presented in a very descriptive way and there is no in-depth discussion at the biological and/or agronomic level of them, which will make their impact more visible. References must be updated. Improve the presentation of tables and figures.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ana Cruz Morillo Coronado
Department, University & Country	Universidad Pedagógica y Tecnológica de Colombia, Colombia