

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_99129
Title of the Manuscript:	Aqueous extract of the leaves of Ocimum Gratissimum (Lamiaceae) (false basil) as an alternative to the chemical antibiotics used in breeding cobb500 broiler chickens in the department of Azaguie (Côte d'Ivoire).
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejns.com/index.php/EJNFS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes. It is important in livestock.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No. This title should change. "The effect of aqueous extract of the leaves of Ocimum Gratissimum (Lamiaceae) in breeding broiler chickens" Yes.	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes.	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Yes.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes.	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes.	
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	This paper is applied in other livestock so it is good paper.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mu Mu Han
Department, University & Country	Myanmar Maritime University, Myanmar