

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_98372
Title of the Manuscript:	THE RESPONSE OF LOCAL WHITE GUINEA YAM CULTIVARS TO THE VINE CUTTING PROPAGATION TECHNIQUE USING LOCALLY AVAILABLE ROOTING SUBSTRATES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalbjournal.com/index.php/BJI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- Yes , in countries that cultivating and use yam.</p> <p>2- Yes</p> <p>3- Yes</p> <p>4- Yes</p> <p>5- Yes</p> <p>6- Yes</p> <p><u>Apart from above mentioned 6 points,it is prefer to add some properties of the used growing substrates (pH, EC ,WHC)</u></p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1- The first letter of varieties in whole manuscript should be written in Upper Case – or Capital Letter .</p> <p>2- P < 0.001 should be corrected</p> <p>3- In summary the definition of the CRH (as :carbonized rice husk) should be written in the first time .</p> <p>4- The word cocopeat should be written as ‘ coco peat ‘</p> <p>5- Add (4WAP) and (6WAP) in materials and methods ,</p> <p>6- In results : highly significant (P < .001) should be corrected as the author used P < .05 as mentioned under Statistical Analysis.</p> <p>7- Yellow highlighted words are the correct of green high lighted</p> <p>8- Green highlighted words should be deleted .</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohamed A. El-Khateeb
Department, University & Country	Cairo University, Egypt