

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_98766
Title of the Manuscript:	Ethnomathematics as an Exploration of Cultural Mathematical Concepts in Traditional Indonesian Engklek Games
Type of the Article	Journal article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. A very interesting paper that attempts at connecting scientific knowledge to local practices. 2. Title is suitably written. 3. Abstract is comprehensive. 4. Subsections and structure of the paper is appropriate. 5. Scientifically acceptable but its descriptive approach makes it less critical. 6. References are recent and relevant.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is suitable but the paper requires language editing to correct the grammatical errors.	
Optional/General comments	A good paper of publishable material but requires some language editing.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Syazliyati Ibrahim
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia