

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Cardiovascular Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCD_98492
Title of the Manuscript:	Medicinal Plants with antihypertensive activity. A review
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijrc.com/index.php/AJRCD/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. Yes, the manuscript might be helpful to the scientific community.  2. As the body of the manuscript does not give an elaborated account of the different medicinal plants(except that just a list given in the table), the title/body must be changed accordingly.  3. Yes, but the abstract doesn't say much about the role of medicinal plants in hypertension.  4. The manuscript is written in a very unorganised manner and the the things are not properly categorised.  5. The manuscript seem to be scientifically correct.  6. The manuscript has only few recent references , kindly add atleast 15 references from recent years.	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	1. In many places the grammer has to be corrected and the sentences need to be reframed so that they make proper sense.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript is written in a very onorganised manner. Things need to be under proper headings/subheadings in order to prevent repetition of things . Author need to discuss more about the medicinal plants and their role in hypertension rather than just discussing only hypertension. References need to be justified.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Niki Nautiyal</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sardar Bhagwan Singh University, India</b>