

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_97972
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS AND COST BENEFIT OF LOW AND HIGH PROTEIN LEVELS IN SNAIL DIETS WITH CONSTANT LEVELS OF ENERGY AND CALCIUM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajraf.com/index.php/AJRAF/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Nowadays, Nutritional deficiency observed in human being, so it's helpful in medical purpose. No need to change title Yes Write separate Section (heading) for conclusion. And also acknowledgement added in manuscript. Yes, It's scientifically correct. Yes, enrich the discussion part and cite it in text. Add some more recent research paper as per your need with proper reference style correction needed in reference section in reference style; all reference arrange in one style (Harvard, APA.....) Additional comments mention in manuscript please correct	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	no	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gayatriben Bhagavandas Patel
Department, University & Country	Shree Maneklal M patel Institute of Science and Research, India