

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_98298
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE OF YOUNG PERSON INVOLVEMENT IN SMUGGLING OF GOODS IN THE BADAGRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF LAGOS, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajl2c.com/index.php/AJL2C/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. the manuscript important for scientific community relating to the perception of the public that is in the section This section focuses on how people in the study area perceive young persons engaged in smuggling in their communities. This perception includes how much respect is given to smugglers, how they spend, their alcohol and substance consumption, etc. 2. the title of the article suitable 3. subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate 4. the manuscript is scientifically correct 5. the references sufficient and recent	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ni Wayan Eminda Sari
Department, University & Country	Universitas Mahasaraswati Denpasar, Indonesia