

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_98298
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE OF YOUNG PERSON INVOLVEMENT IN SMUGGLING OF GOODS IN THE BADAGRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF LAGOS, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajl2c.com/index.php/AJL2C/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1.It's very useful,the manuscript is important for scientific community. We can know about the background of the paper and we know about the Smuggling of Goods and the holistics in the Bulgaria</p> <p>2.The topic chosen by the author is of great social and practical significance, and as could be told, the author has gathered much related information and carried out in-depth analysis, moreover, the results obtained are reasonable, therefore, after the following considerations, this article is recommended to be published:</p> <p>3.The abstract must be clear.</p> <p>4.It's quite appropriate.</p> <p>5.It's quite scientific correct.</p> <p>6.It must add the reference every paragraph in the Introduction.</p> <p>1.At the beginning part, the main issue to be discussed could be led in in a more direct way.</p> <p>2.What are the objective to study ?</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1.Yes, it is.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1..Please check the formatting.</p> <p>2. Please check the References, they must relevant of the content.</p> <p>3. The authors mentioned the age of the respondents aged 15 -35 years but in the article , the respondents ,it has more than 35.</p> <p>4. The research uses Informants not Respondents because it is Qualitative Method.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kanlaya Daraha
Department, University & Country	Prince of Songkla University, Thailand