

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_98298
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE OF YOUNG PERSON INVOLVEMENT IN SMUGGLING OF GOODS IN THE BADAGRY LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF LAGOS, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajl2c.com/index.php/AJL2C/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. This manuscript is very good in developing research on the involvement of youth groups in smuggling goods in border areas, especially in developing countries 2. the title of the article and the discussion in this study are good, the title can describe the contents of the paper discussed 3. abstract can be added keywords 4. the writing structure is good, but you can check the journal writing guide again so that it is in accordance with the journal template 5. this research is good, the findings obtained from the results of the author's research are satisfactory in accordance with the answers to the problems raised 6. For references, you may add more from reputable journals for the last 10 years to support the results of the researchers' findings	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	better proofread for this article	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	in the conclusion it is better to make paragraphs not in the form of points and can be combined with suggestions or recommendations	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elyta S. Sos
Department, University & Country	Universitas Tanjungpura, Indonesia