

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geographical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGR_99419
Title of the Manuscript:	Remote sensing indexes assessment for Drought monitoring using sentinel satellite imagery :case study from Natanz county, Iran
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajgr.com/index.php/AJGR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community and could contribute to knowledge of other researchers and readers in general. The title is good and suitable for the study. <b>The abstract is not comprehensive enough and hence needs modifications</b> : Abstract should tell us about the aim of the study Statement of the Problem, Methodology employed, Results, Conclusion and Contribution to knowledge. Author should try and re-write the abstract to clearly reflect all the characteristics as listed. Subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate is not appropriate: Hence proper literature is essential to justify and shed more light on the purpose of the study Yes the manuscript is scientifically correct References not properly presented and therefore need modification (The Author should please use APA Format of references Presentations Additional suggestions have been provided in the Test of the study.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes the language quality is good, but needs to be revisited for proper editing	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	M.L Akinluyi
Department, University & Country	Bamidele Olumilua University of Education Science and Technology, Nigeria