

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_98626
Title of the Manuscript:	INVASIVE DUCTAL CARCINOMA IN NIPPLE AREOLA COMPLEX PRESENTING AS NIPPLE ULCER: A CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1.- Yes. This article talks about a rare but important disease for women. 2.- Yes. 3.- Yes. 4.- The term "Invasive breast cancer deriving from the lactiferous duct and lobule that develops in the nipple is extremely rare, except in Paget's disease and skin cancer" is repeated several times in the article, it should have an abbreviation or be described with other words to avoid its excessive use. Since this phrase is the key of the article. The meaning of invasive cancer, ductal cancer and carcinoma, should not be explained or defined, since they are common terms in scientific journals that explain breast cancer. Instead, I suggest that it be briefly explained what the Paget's disease consists of. 5.- Yes. 6.- Yes	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ofelia Pérez Olvera
Department, University & Country	Universiad Nacional Autónoma de México, México