

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_99701
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth Performance and Interrupted Time Series Approach to Estimation of Immediate and Sustained Effects of Oilseeds Mission on Groundnut in India.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, Manuscript is important for scientific community. Title of the article needs some improvement. It should be "Growth Performance and Interrupted Time Series Approach to Estimate the Immediate and Sustained Effects of Oilseeds Mission on Groundnut in India". Abstract section needs to change. It is very lengthy so word limit should be maintained. Major findings of the study must be added and few keywords should be added at the end. Yes, all subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate. Yes, manuscript is scientifically correct and have some practical importance. More recent references should be added to justify the study.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language and grammar are correct	
Optional/General comments	Manuscript can be accepted after these corrections.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arindam Ghosh
Department, University & Country	Sister Nivedita University, India