

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |                                                       |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research       |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJFAR_98522                                        |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Fish skin mucus and its importance in fish and humans |
| Type of the Article      |                                                       |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Reviewer's comment                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments<br>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b><br>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b><br>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b><br>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b><br><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b> | Yes, it provided information on the antibacterial potential of fish mucus.<br><br>Yes, the title is suitable.<br><br>Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive.<br><br>Yes, they are appropriate.<br><br>Yes, based on the references cited by the author (or authors)<br><br>Yes, for a very short article. |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <b>Minor REVISION</b> comments<br>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Yes, very suitable.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Though, a very short article, but it was very well written.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                                                                                                                                                                               |

### PART 2:

|                                              | Reviewer's comment                                                    | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |                                                                                                                                                                               |

### Reviewer Details:

|                                  |                                |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Name:                            | Chika J. Mbah                  |
| Department, University & Country | University of Nigeria, Nigeria |